

*Point of Order—Mr. Hawkes*

I can look at the "blues" to see if there are any other points that would allow me to change my mind, but the Hon. Minister has offered to look at the document to see whether it is possible to table it without any injury to the public interest. Perhaps in the meantime, I can look at the "blues" after giving the House at this time my preliminary impression about what has just taken place in the House. That would allow the Minister time to reflect upon the nature of that document and tell the House whether or not he feels that it can be published without any injury to any matters of public interest.

## MR. FLIS—PRESENTATION OF PETITION

**Mr. Jesse P. Flis (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Transport):** Madam Speaker, I rise on a point of order. I stood up on a point of order right after you made your ruling on petitions, Madam Speaker. I would first like to thank you for ruling the petition I presented yesterday in order.

I draw your attention to yesterday's *Hansard*, Madam Speaker, where the Hon. House Leader of the Official Opposition got up on a point of order after I presented my petition. He said:

● (1220)

Mr. Speaker, I rise on the same point of order. I did indeed inspect the petition. It was not signed by three Members of Parliament. It has to be, to be in order.

Knowing the parliamentary gentleman that the Hon. Member for Yukon (Mr. Nielsen) is, I wonder if he would stand up in the House and withdraw those words. Or do petitions require three signatures by three Members of Parliament, as the House Leader of the Official Opposition got up in the House and said yesterday to try to discredit my petition by making that statement?

**Mr. Nielsen:** Madam Speaker, the Chair has ruled on the advice of her officers. I always respect those rulings and the advice which you receive.

## MR. HAWKES—ALLEGED ERROR IN GOVERNMENT PUBLICATION

**Mr. Jim Hawkes (Calgary West):** Madam Speaker, I rise on a point of order. I have in my possession a Government document which was issued this morning. On page 2 of that document it makes clear that unemployment insurance payments by employees and employers go up 10 per cent. On page 1 it indicates—

**Madam Speaker:** What is the point of order?

**Mr. Hawkes:** The point of order is that this is the earliest opportunity for the Government to correct an error that cannot co-exist with the facts at the same point in time.

**Madam Speaker:** I know that was the Hon. Member's question during Question Period. He was waving that document at me during the Question Period. He is just trying to

put in a question that he was not able to put in a few minutes ago. We will not have that.

## ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS

[English]

## FINANCE, TRADE AND ECONOMIC AFFAIRS

## PRESENTATION OF TWENTY-FOURTH REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

**Mr. John Evans (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council):** Madam Speaker, I have the distinct honour to present the twenty-fourth report of the Standing Committee on Finance, Trade and Economic Affairs in both official languages.

[Editor's Note: For above report, see today's Votes and Proceedings.]

\* \* \*

## PETITIONS

## MR. FULTON—PORNOGRAPHY—CALL FOR AMENDMENT OF BROADCASTING ACT

**Mr. Jim Fulton (Skeena):** Madam Speaker, I have received from the length and breadth of my constituency, petitions of concern about the degradation and exploitation of women, children and men through pornography, most recently from Telegraph Creek and the Queen Charlotte Islands. Today I have the honour to table petitions on this matter from Terrace residents.

Wherefore the undersigned, your petitioners, humbly pray and call upon Parliament to demonstrate its opposition to the denigration of women by enacting an Act to amend the Broadcasting Act, as introduced by the Hon. Member for Broadview-Greenwood (Ms. McDonald) on March 8, 1983. And as in duty bound your petitioners will ever pray.

## MR. ALTHOUSE—TESTING OF CRUISE MISSILE ON CANADIAN SOIL

**Mr. Vic Althouse (Humboldt-Lake Centre):** Madam Speaker, I have a petition from the residents of the town of Strasbourg in Saskatchewan to the House of Commons of Canada in Parliament assembled. The petition of the undersigned residents of the town of Strasbourg in the Province of Saskatchewan who do now exercise their right to present a grievance humbly sheweth that we do not need any more nuclear weapons or Cruise missiles and we call upon the Government to refuse to test the Cruise missile. Your petitioners as in duty bound will ever pray.