Committee Reports

(Division No. 368)

YEAS

Messrs.

Allmand	Dupras	Lumley
Appolloni (Mrs.)	Erola (Mrs.)	MacBain
Axworthy	Evans	MacGuigan
Bachand	Ferguson	MacLaren
	Fisher	MacLellan
Beauchamp-Niquet	Fleming	Malépart
(Mrs.)	Flis	Maltais
Bégin (Miss)	Foster	Massé
Berger	Fox	McRae
Blais	Francis	Munro
Blaker	Garant	(Hamilton East)
Bockstael	Gimaïel	Nicholson (Miss)
Bossy	Gingras	Olivier
Breau	Gourd	Ostiguy
Bujold	(Argenteuil-Papineau)	Ouellet
Bussières	Guilbault	Parent
Caccia		Pelletier
Campbell (Miss)	Harquail	
(South West Nova)	Herbert	Pepin Pinard
Campbell	Hervieux-Payette	Portelance
(Cardigan)	(Mrs.)	
Chénier	Hopkins	Prud'homme
Comtois	Hudecki	Regan
Corbin	Isabelle	Reid
Corriveau	Ittinuar	(Kenora-Rainy River)
Côté (Mrs.)	Johnston	Roberts
Cousineau	Kaplan	Robinson
Cullen	Kelly	(Etobicoke-Lakeshore)
Cyr	Killens (Mrs.)	Rooney
Daudlin	Lachance	Rossi
De Bané	Lajoie	Roy
de Corneille	Lalonde	Savard
Deniger	Lamontagne	Smith
Desmarais	Lang	Tessier
Dion	Laniel	Tousignant
Dionne	Lapointe	Trudeau
(Chicoutimi)	(Charlevoix)	Turner
Dionne	Lapointe	Veillette
(Northumberland-	(Beauce)	Watson
Miramichi)	Leduc	Weatherhead
Dubois	Lefebyre	Yanakis—109.
Duclos	Loiselle	
Ducios	Loisene	

NAYS

Messrs.

Althouse	Hawkes	Murta
Beatty	Неар	Neil
Benjamin	Hees	Nickerson
Blenkarn	Howie	Nowlan
Bloomfield	Huntington	Ogle
Bradley	Jelinek	Parker
Cardiff	Kempling	Patterson
Carney (Miss)	King	Reid
Cook	Kristiansen	(St. Catharines)
Cooper	Lawrence	Schellenberger
Corbett	Lewis	Scott
Cossitt (Mrs.)	MacDonald (Miss)	(Hamilton-Wentworth
Deans	(Kingston and the Islands)	Scott
Dick	Malone	(Victoria-Haliburton)
Domm	Manly	Shields
Elzinga	Mayer	Siddon
Fennell	Mazankowski	Simmons
Forrestall	McCain	Skelly
Fretz	McDermid	Speyer
Friesen	McDonald (Ms.)	Stewart
Gamble	(Broadview-Greenwood)	Taylor
Greenaway	McGrath	Thacker
Gurbin	McKinnon	Towers
Gustafson	McKnight	Vankoughnet
Hamilton	McLean	Waddell
(Qu'Appelle-Moose	Miller	Wilson
Mountain)	Munro	Wise
Hargrave	(Esquimalt-Saanich)	Wright—76.
		Wright-76.

[Translation]

Madam Speaker: I declare the motion carried.

Motion agreed to and Bill read the third time and passed.

ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS

[English]

AGRICULTURE

ACCEPTABILITY OF TENTH REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

Madam Speaker: The tenth report of the Standing Committee on Agriculture was tabled earlier today. I am afraid I must intervene and make a few observations on the content of that report and on a committee's function when considering Bills referred to it by the House.

On Wednesday, March 6, 1983, as Members of the House may recall, Bill C-653, an Act to amend the Farmers' Creditors Arrangement Act, was given second reading and referred to the Standing Committee on Agriculture. In its tenth report tabled today, the Committee has made observations and substantive recommendations to the House. The Committee did not report back Bill C-653.

I want to remind Members of all committees considering Bills referred to them by the House of Citation 783 of Beauchesne's Fifth Edition:

There is no authority that a committee of the House, when considering a bill, should report anything to the House but the bill itself.

Speaker Lamoureux, who ruled on a similar procedural difficulty on December 20, 1973, stated the following:

The situation is quite different when the committee has before it a general reference, such as an annual report of a department when there is greater latitude in the submitting of substantive recommendations to the House. With respect, I suggest to Hon. Members there is no authority to support the contention that a committee of the House when considering a bill should report anything to the House except the Bill itself.

Speaker Lamoureux in the same ruling went on to quote Beauchesne's Fourth Edition, Citation 304(2):

A committee is bound by, and is not at liberty to depart from, the order of reference. In the case of a Select Committee upon a Bill, the Bill committed to it is itself the order of reference to the committee, who must report it with or without amendment to the House.

The Chair's principal concern in this case is that Bill C-653 is still before the Committee and that concurrently the Committee is attempting to place before the House questions related to that same Bill.

Hon. Members will agree with me that such recommendations and observations as contained in the tenth report of the Agriculture Committee would be highly irregular if they were proposed in the Committee of the Whole or at the report stage. There are other procedural avenues for the consideration of such substantive proposals, but this cannot be done by way of a report from any committee studying a Bill.

I have, therefore, no other option but to declare the tenth report of the Standing Committee on Agriculture out of order and consequently it cannot be received.

[Translation]

It being past 4 p.m., pursuant to Order made Thursday, June 23, 1983, the House will now proceed to consideration of Government Business, namely, motion No. 119 which is