in session. The French copies were not quite ready when I entered the House. I apologize to the hon. member.

[Translation]

Mr. Adrien Lambert (Bellechasse): Mr. Speaker-

Mr. Speaker: I think that the hon, member for Bellechasse is rising on a point of order.

Mr. Lambert (Bellechasse): Mr. Speaker, in connection with the point of order raised by the Minister of Agriculture (Mr. Whelan), I would like to say that my remarks were not spiteful. However, since they were necessary and that it is possible in Canada to have qualified translators, I hope that from now on steps will be taken so that copies of important statements will be at least available in both official languages when they are made in the House.

[English]

CANADIAN BROADCASTING CORPORATION

BANNING OF GAELIC RADIO PROGRAM—REQUEST FOR UNANIMOUS CONSENT TO MOVE MOTION

Mr. Robert Muir (Cape Breton-The Sydneys): Mr. Speaker, I rise at this time to seek unanimous consent of the House to move a motion under Standing Order 43. I feel certain all members of the House, regardless of their political affiliation, will wish to support this motion.

An action was taken recently by the CBC that shook the foundations of culture in Nova Scotia to their very roots. That action was the announcement in the form of an edict by Lister Sinclair, a vice-president of the CBC, banning the Gaelic language from a weekly radio entertainment program in Cape Breton.

Some hon. Members: Shame!

• (1120)

Mr. Muir: Surely, Sir, you can appreciate the dangers inherent in such a decision. I would not like to see clan warfare between the MacEachens and the Sinclairs or any other Scots at this stage in international affairs. I urge all members to lend me their support in getting this program reinstated in its original form. I therefore move, seconded by the hon. member for Cape Breton-East Richmond (Mr. MacInnis), that this matter be referred to the Standing Committee on Broadcasting, Films and Assistance to the Arts.

May I say, before resuming my seat, I regret that the President of Privy Council, a noted scholar in the Gaelic language, culture and folklore, is not present in the chamber because I am sure he would wish to second this motion.

Some hon. Members: Hear, hear!

Mr. Speaker: This motion, put forward under the terms of Standing Order 43, requires unanimous consent. Is there unanimous consent?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Mr. Speaker: Is there unanimous consent?

Automobile Price Increases

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Mr. Speaker: I believe there is unanimous consent. I am not sure whether I can find the motion in the hon. member's statement. It may be in Gaelic somewhere.

Some hon. Members: Oh. oh!

Mr. Speaker: However, hon. members have heard the motion. Is it the pleasure of the House to adopt the said motion?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Motion agreed to.

INDUSTRY

PRICE INCREASE BY FORD OF CANADA—REQUEST FOR UNANIMOUS CONSENT TO MOVE MOTION

Mr. Edward Broadbent (Oshawa-Whitby): Mr. Speaker, I hope we shall achieve the same degree of unanimity with regard to this motion which is also put forward under the terms of Standing Order 43. The matter of urgent and pressing importance arises from the revelation today that the average increase of \$139 in the price of 1974 cars produced by Ford of Canada exceeds the increase for the same products sold in the United States. This is inflationary. But more to the point, this action further increases the unjustified spread between United States and Canadian car prices and completely violates the commitment made by that company to the Minister of Industry, Trade and Commerce.

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. I assume the hon. member is coming to the motion.

Mr. Broadbent: Right now, Mr. Speaker. I therefore move, seconded by the hon. member for Toronto-Lakeshore (Mr. Grier):

That this House instruct the Minister of Industry, Trade and Commerce to call to Ottawa forthwith the President of Ford of Canada for the purpose of instructing him to roll back the announced price increases.

Some hon. Members: Hear, hear!

Mr. Speaker: Is there unanimous consent?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

Mr. Speaker: There is not unanimity.