

sentatives of the Canadian people we can down it forever we shall be performing a service to the Canadian nation.

I think all hon. members who have participated in the debate and who have brought forward all the arguments that could be used for or against such a contention. I agree with the hon. member for Stanstead that there will be no occasion hereafter to say that the decision which is to be made on this resolution is an *obiter dictum*. It is a thing which will have been argued and which will have been considered and about which the will and judgment of the representatives of the whole of the Canadian people will have registered their finding. May it be a finding that will endure.

Another objection is: Oh, yes, we want fairness, we want justice, but be careful; it is going to cost \$10,000,000 to give it to you. It is going to cost \$10 million to give Quebec that justice which Ontario is so anxious Quebec should have, but about which they want references to the courts; they want referenda to the population; they want the application of municipal law. All this is nice talk. "We want you to have that, but just try this, or that or the other thing before you get it; and in the meantime, of course, we will have", according to the hon. member for Broadview (Mr. Church), "another census, and we will try to see if you cannot do with a little less than we are so anxious to have you get, provided that you do not get it now".

I am glad that officially the Progressive Conservative party is taking the position that it is fair that Quebec should have this representation. I am glad and I think it will be helpful to national unity that the party go on record as taking that position. But I hope that it will not only do so only in words written into *Hansard*, that it will be not only a line of convenient pronouncement, but a line of actual conduct to be followed. I agree with the hon. member for Stanstead that it would be a more healthy situation if the party to which he belongs could get some representation in the constituencies of my province. It may be unfortunate that they cannot do so, but it is not my conduct that is the cause of that unfortunate result. I hope that the language used by the hon. member for Stanstead will be translated into action by the members of the party to which he belongs. It is by actions and only by actions that they can hope to win support from the constituencies of my province.

I think, Mr. Speaker, that this resolution has now been sufficiently debated and that the time has come when the question can be put to the members of this assembly.

[Mr. St. Laurent.]

The house divided on the motion (Mr. St. Laurent) which was agreed to on the following division:

YEAS

Messrs:

Archibald	Lafontaine
Argue	Lalonde
Arsenault	Langlois
Baker	Lapointe
Beaudoin	Laurendeau
Belzile	Leger
Benidickson	Lesage
Bertrand (Terrebonne)	Little
Blackmore	Low
Boivin	McCann
Bourget	McCuaig
Bridges	McCulloch (Pictou)
Bryce	McCulloch (Assiniboia)
Burton	McIlraith
Campbell	MacInnis
Cardin	McIvor
Castleden	McKay
Cleaver	Mackenzie
Cloutier	MacLean
Coldwell	Maloney
Coté (Matapedia-Matane)	Marier
Cruikshank	Marquis
Dechene	Marshall
Denis	Martin
Dion (Lake St. John-Roberval)	Matthews (Brandon)
Dionne (Beauce)	Matthews (Kootenay East)
Douglas	Maybank
Emmerson	Mayhew
Fair	Mullins
Farquhar	Mutch
Fontaine	Nicholson
Fournier (Hull)	Nixon
Fournier (Maison-neuve-Rosemont)	Quelch
Gauthier (Nipissing)	Raymond (Beauhar-nois-Laprairie)
Gauthier (Portneuf)	Raymond (Wright)
Gibson (Comox-Alberni)	Richard (Gloucester)
Gibson (Hamilton West)	Richard (Ottawa East)
Gladstone	Rinfret
Golding	Robinson (Simcoe East)
Gourd (Chapleau)	St. Laurent
Grant	Shaw
Hallé	Sinclair (Vancouver North)
Hamel	Sinnott
Herridge	Stewart (Winnipeg North)
Hlynka	Strum. Mrs.
Irvine	Tremblay
Isnor	Tucker
Jaenicke	Warren
Johnston	Weir
Jutras	Winkler
King, Mackenzie	Winters
Kirk	Zaplitny—107.
Knight	
Knowles	
Kuhl	

NAYS

Messrs:

Aylesworth	Church
Barrett	Drope
Blair	Fleming
Boucher	Fraser
Bracken	Fulton
Bradshaw	Macdonnell (Muskoka-Ontario)
Brooks	