

terests area of the north east part of the proposed riding of Rosedale.

5. That part of the proposed riding of Beaches commencing at Jones Avenue and Queen Street and running north on the east side of Jones Avenue to Gerrard Street and extending east on the south side of Gerrard Street to Greenwood and extending south on the west side of Greenwood to Queen Street constitutes an integral and community of interests area within the general area extending from Greenwood and Gerrard Street on the east, to Broadview Avenue and Gerrard Street on the west and south from Gerrard Street to Lakeshore Boulevard East.

6. That a revision could be accomplished by making part of the proposed riding of Beaches described in paragraph 5 part of the riding of Broadview-Greenwood by substituting the area commencing at the south side of Mortimer and Greenwood Avenues and extending easterly on the south side of Mortimer Avenue to Woodbine Avenue to form part of the proposed riding of Beaches.

This recommendation is almost the same as the Commission's recommendation in their 1973 Report and gives a common northern boundary of Mortimer Avenue to the proposed ridings of Broadview-Greenwood and Beaches.

7. That part of the proposed riding of Broadview-Greenwood north of the limits of the City of Toronto and extending to the Don River on the north and also extending to Greenwood on the east and Broadview on the west does not give due consideration to the municipal, geographical, historical, cultural and community of interests aspects of the area.

8. In addition to the revision recommended in paragraph 4 above a further revision could be accomplished by making part of the proposed area of Broadview-Greenwood described in paragraph 7 commencing on the north side of Pape and Mortimer Avenues and extending easterly on the north side of Mortimer Avenue to Greenwood Avenue and thence northerly on the west side of Greenwood Avenue to Donlands and Pape Avenues and thence southerly on the east side of Pape Avenue to Mortimer Avenue to be part of the proposed riding of York East.

This recommendation is almost the same as the Commission's recommendation in their 1973 Report and constitutes a municipal, cultural, and community of interests area with part of the proposed riding of York East.

9. That the three recommendations contained herein constitute a better geographical, municipal, cultural and community of interests integration of the general area, minimizes the movement of people from the former ridings to the newly proposed ridings and corresponds to the electoral quota for the Province of Ontario.

10. That the proposed riding name of Broadview-Greenwood be named Broadview to more accurately describe the constituency and to avoid confusion.

11. And such other objections that the undersigned members may consider applicable in order to carry out the spirit and terms and conditions of the Act in question.

Signatures of Members:

J. Gilbert (Broadview)
S. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre)

L. Nystrom (Yorkton-Melville)
M. Saltsman (Waterloo-Cambridge)
D. Orlikow (Winnipeg North)
W. Firth (Northwest Territories)
T. C. Douglas (Nanaimo-Cowichan-The Islands)
A. Hogan (Cape Breton-East Richmond)
A. Peters (Timiskaming)
L. G. Benjamin (Regina-Lake Centre)
J. E. Broadbent (Oshawa-Whitby)

That, pursuant to Section 20 of the Electoral Boundaries Readjustment Act (Chapter E-2, R.S.C., 1970), consideration be given by this House to the matter of an objection to the provisions of the Report of the Electoral Boundaries Commission for the Province of Ontario, laid before this House by Mr. Speaker on February 27, 1976, on the grounds set forth hereinafter:

I would like to raise objection to the recent Report of the Electoral Boundaries Commission Tabled in the House February as it applies to the riding of London West.

On October 14, 1975, I appeared before the Federal Boundaries Commission, and my concern then, as now, revolves around approaching this matter with as little disruption as possible.

In order to achieve the population balance for both London West and London East, I would propose a minor westerly shift in the boundary in the north and with no change in the southern section.

I would like to add that these changes will have no effect on the boundaries of the surrounding ridings.

Both, Mr. Turner, the Member from London East and myself, consider this change to the Commission's proposal to be within the criterion of the Commission.

Signatures of Members:

J. Buchanan (London West)
L. Condon (Middlesex-London-Lambton)
C. Douglas (Bruce-Grey)
J. Flynn (Kitchener)
C. Turner (London East)
F. Philbrook (Halton)
Wm. Andres (Lincoln)
M. MacGuigan (Windsor-Walkerville)
M. Raines (Burnaby-Seymour)
R. Young (Niagara Falls)
G. MacFarlane (Hamilton Mountain)

That, pursuant to Section 20 of the Electoral Boundaries Readjustment Act (Chapter E-2, R.S.C., 1970), consideration be given by this House to the matter of an objection to the provisions of the Report of the Electoral Boundaries Commission for the Province of Ontario, laid before this House by Mr. Speaker on February 27, 1976, on the grounds set forth hereinafter:

1. The Commission failed to give adequate attention and consideration to geographical, political, and demographic factors when planning the redistribution of the riding of Essex-Windsor and Essex-Kent, factors which are specifi-