

whether or not she is a granddaughter of the deceased. As she was always so-called by him, the onus to disprove this was on John Riddell, who must shew her real ancestry. Under the authority of *Knickerbocker Trust Co. of New York v. Webster*, 17 P.R. 189, and cases cited, Mrs. Pray, though resident out of the jurisdiction, could not be required to give security for costs. See *Rhodes v. Dawson*, 16 Q.B.D. 548, cited and approved in the *Knickerbocker* case. The Master said that this emphasised the distinction to be made according as an interpleader issue arises out of a Sheriff's application, or as in the present case. A. G. F. Lawrence, for the society. Featherston Aylesworth, for John Riddell. T. N. Phelan, for Adelia Pray.

---

MITCHELL V. HEINTZMAN—MASTER IN CHAMBERS—MARCH 16.

*Pleading—Statement of Claim—Negligence—Personal Injuries—Anticipating Defence—Particulars—Damages.*]—In an action to recover damages for injuries inflicted by the defendant's automobile, the defendant moved to strike out paragraphs of the statement of claim, and for particulars of injuries and of damages. The paragraphs attacked, the Master said, set out a good many things that might be evidence at the trial, in reply to a statement of defence; but at present they did not seem to be material. The similar case of *Lum Yet v. Hugill*, ante 521, shewed all that was necessary in a statement of claim in this action. The best order now to make would be to give the plaintiff leave to deliver an amended statement of claim, omitting the paragraphs attacked and giving particulars of injuries and of special damages alleged in the 9th paragraph. Any defence set up could be answered in the reply. Costs in the cause. T. N. Phelan, for the defendant. J. P. MacGregor, for the plaintiff.

---

HARRISON V. KNOWLES—MASTER IN CHAMBERS—MARCH 16.

*Venue—Motion to Change—Affidavits—Witnesses—Convenience—Jury Notice—Delay.*]—The facts of this case appear ante 688. The defendants now moved to change the venue from Toronto to London. One of the defendants made an affidavit in which he said that he himself, T. M. Knowles, and some three or four experts, all from the city of London, would be required at the trial. He also relied on the fact that the machine in ques-