

made of heavy ducking, and was fastened by a buckle resembling an ordinary suspender buckle. The hips were padded with towels, and this bandage was drawn over them very tightly; in fact, so tightly that it produced sores on both the crests of the ilium in his first case. In Germany, and in most of the European countries, they have a large number of cases where the degree of contraction is so extreme that symphysiotomy is not a practical operation. The Galbiati knife is probably of great convenience to the operator.

(To be continued.)

Correspondence.

RE THE McCULLY TRIAL.

Editor of THE CANADIAN PRACTITIONER:

SIR,—I have the best of reasons for knowing that Dr. Sangster's attendance before the Discipline Committee of the Medical Council in the case of Dr. McCully was in obedience to a subpoena served upon him. His presence, therefore, at the discipline court was wholly unavoidable, and very much against his will. I also know that his testimony, had it been taken, would have been even more damaging to Dr. McCully than any given by those summoned upon the part of the Medical Council. These facts being well known to a part, at least, of the editorial management of the *Ontario Medical Journal*, I do not think that I use language any too strong when I say that no one, except a contemptible sneak, could have written or furnished the paragraph which appears on page 207 of the last number of that publication. Insinuating, as it does, that Dr. Sangster, with "several members" of the Defence Association, might be regarded as defenders and apologists of Dr. McCully's *infamous* conduct. Evidently the paragraph was intended to be offensive, and to discredit the Medical Defence Association. There is more than a suspicion or conjecture that the article was penned by a servile suckling of the Medical Council. Yours,

W. COBURN.
