made in attempting to combat the disease. "The disease," he says, "manifests its presence by defects in the combs filled with brood, and which only contain a putrid mass; instead of the bee pupe there is only rottenness in the cells, which, however, being capped always preserve a healthy appearance. If these cells are broken open, a blackish liquid flows out, which spreads the infection through the hive. This disease only manifests itself in cells which contain a nearly mature larva or a capped one. The bees themselves remain in good health, and work with the same activity, but their numbers decrease daily. This disease, however, was not so general in a hive but that a small portion escaped; some new bees emerged, but in too small numbers to supply the daily losses Thus a hive attacked by this scourge will perish from scarcity of population. At first it was not noticed that this disease was epidemic, and the hives emptied by death of the bees, were filled with fresh swarms, and these contracted the same disease and perished. Yet The remains of the hives that were lost were another mistake was made. taken into the streets of the town to expose them to the sun, in order to extra. all the wax, and the bees from the neighborhood sucked up the honey, caught the disease, and communicated it to other hives, and all, without exseption perished in a short time. The epidemic, having reached the island, spread everywhere and the mortality among the bees was general, either from eating infected honey, or from stopping up the infected combs, or from the bees nourishing their brood on infected honey." Della Rooca criticizes Schirach's statement regarding the misplacement of the larvæ by the queen as a cause of the disease, because "everybody knows that the queen has nothing else to do but deposit eggs. These are then cared for and nourished by the bees, and when the larva is nearly ready to change into the pupa, the bees elose the cell, and every position which is given the larva depends on their good pleasure and not on the queen's." Della Rocca himself thinks that "some pestilential blight had without doubt corrupted the quality of the honey and the dust from the authers," and recommends "burning everything without pity, as there is no other resource when the disease is well established, as the pest is without doubt the most terrible in the natural history of bees."

Neither Wildman (9), Keys (10), Woolridge, Needham (11), Rhein, Reaumur (12), or other authors about the same time (latter end of the 18th

century) mention this disease.

Bevan (13) names the disease "Pestilence," and also quotee Schirach's name "Foul Brood," and says regarding it, that the "Pestilence has been attributed to the residence of dead larve in the cells, from a careless deposition of ova by the queen . . . it has also been attributed to cold and

bad nursing, that is, feeding with unwholesome food."

Nothing further of note appears in bee literature till the year 1860, when Dr. Leuckhart (14) writes that he was formerly of the opinion that foul brood was caused by the same fungus (Panhistophyton ovatum) which is noticed in a disease of the silk worm, but now after observation and experiment, is quite certain that the disease is caused by neither vegetable nor animal parasite. He also notes that the term "foul brood" is applied to a number of diseases affecting bees.

Molitor Mühlfeld (15) recognizes two forms, one contagious and the other not contagious, and thinks that the only cause of contagious foul brood is a fly (Ichneumon apium mellificarium) which lays its eggs on the young larvae

of the bre.

A discovery of note was Preuss's (16) in 1868. He contradicts Mühlfeld's statement about the fly, and states that foul brood cells can be detected by

small
Crypto
fermer
gained
notices
the lar
presen
fungus
be four

discuss lication V of foul

said the from a

with P

from H were m Dr gus, bu

fungus
worm f
"foul b
fungus
Ge

Preusa's mended 1:100 o

of the b Ha produce

he thou carbolic Fia

follows

According especial the next of beet keeping portion as anoth ated by the queethe causting the causting especial to the especia