

## MISMANAGEMENT CHARGED

### Agent Criticizes Education Costs

By Allan Bragg

Irresponsibility and mismanagement led to exorbitant costs in the residence-food services complex, an Edmonton real estate dealer charged Sunday.

According to Tom O'Dwyer, both the university administration and the provincial government want authority to start projects, but neither wants the responsibility of carrying them through—so mismanagement results.

Mr. O'Dwyer first raised his opposition to the costs of the complex when he learned of plans for what he termed the "unnecessary duplication of such a costly complex in Calgary." A letter has been sent by Mr. O'Dwyer to both the provincial cabinet and the university board of governors indicating that if such duplication is carried out, he will try to initiate a full-scale inquiry into all phases of university spending.

#### "STUDENTS NOT CONSULTED"

"Where the university lost out," claims Mr. O'Dwyer, "was in not consulting the student body of the university on either the cost or kind of residences wanted. The empire-building clique which forms the administration of the university called in a group of unneeded experts for consultation without communicating with the government until finally the government was so confused that they threw up their hands and said build what you want."

"The concrete jungle we have here doesn't even look like a university," says Mr. O'Dwyer. "Instead of fostering education, our campus contains monuments to these empire-builders. This leads to a loss of intellectual attitude, which is only maintained by a dedicated group of individuals."

#### "HIGHER COSTS"

"Mismanagement by these empire-builders leads to higher costs of education, which ultimately leads to exclusion of qualified students from the university. The present administration is unable to effectively run the university and should re-emphasize the interests of the staff, students and taxpayers, instead of calling in so many experts."

According to Mr. O'Dwyer, public relations should be improved so that the common man will be proud of the university both as a cultural center and as a beautiful place to look at.

### Greater Freedom Characterizes Sex Revolution

LONDON (CUP)—A sexual revolution is in progress in the United States but hasn't reached Canada yet, according to Prof. W. E. Mann of the University of Western Ontario.

The revolution is characterized by greater freedom of speech, especially on such subjects as homosexuality, and by a younger age for dating and marriage.

Prof. Mann, speaking to a Student Christian Movement (SCM) meeting last week said that within five to 10 years the revolution will spread and increase in Canada. He suggested that perhaps an extreme puritanical movement will then emerge to control the loose morality.

"Men will start to get their hair done at a hair dresser's while women will start taking over some of the traditionally masculine jobs," predicts Prof. Mann.

#### NEW CONCEPTS

"The new concept of pre-marital chastity emerging seems to be that if you think you are in love then you can express yourself fully. According to Kinsey's successor, Prof. Ehrmann, of all young unmarried college students, two-thirds of the males and one-third of the girls have some pre-marital experience," he continued.

#### ABORTIONS INCREASE

The number of abortions is rising as are the number of contraceptives used. According to Prof. Mann of every 100 live births in Ontario, 3.2 are to unwed mothers.

To help meet these growing problems, high schools across the country are establishing sex classes. London's "facts of life" classes in grade 11 to 13 are typical. Local doctors visit these classes and fill out the regular training sessions with talks on the physical functions of the human body and the psychological effects of sex.

**Betrayal**  
(see page 4)



**PROGRESSIVE EDUCATION??**—An unheeding unreading Education student knickety splitted thru a panel that was unfortunately there to manifest a spider web in the multi-million dollar building. Broken doors? Stairways to nowhere? Cracks in the walls? A forecast from an uninformed source predicts the Ed Building will fall before Christmas.

Photo by Charles Avery

## Nuclear Physics Against Defense Policy - U of A Physicists

By Wendy Caywood

Nuclear physics will not allow the Canadian government to effect its present defense policy.

That is the claim of eight U of A physicists led by Dr. D. B. Scott.

Canada's defense relies to some extent on the ability of a Bomarc missile equipped with a nuclear warhead to "cook" an attacking hydrogen bomb before the bomb reaches its target.

#### BOMARC CAN'T COOK

Dr. Scott and his group contend that the nuclear nature of the bomb and its reaction to excessive outside neutron activity—created by the explosion of a Bomarc's nuclear warhead—will detonate the bomb, not deactivate it.

Canada's Defense Research Board (DRB) feels that even if the "cooking" process were unsuccessful and the hydrogen bomb should explode, Canada would be affected less by the resulting nuclear reactions if the bomb were to explode in some isolated site than if it were to reach its target.

This controversy arose last spring

when defense minister Harkness "argued strongly for nuclear warheads on Bomarc missiles to a large extent in terms of their ability to 'cook' attacking hydrogen bombs," says Dr. Scott.

#### PROPOSAL POOR

Unable to convince themselves that Mr. Harkness' proposal would work, the group felt obligated to present their views to the House of Commons and the Canadian public.

Their report was released to the press in March 1963. Subsequently, Dr. Scott, Dr. L. E. Trainor, and Dr. J. T. Sample were invited to appear before the Special Committee on Defense in Ottawa.

The day of their hearing, DRB released a commentary contradicting the report and described by Dr. Scott as "emotional, defensive, and lacking in physical content."

The three professors defended their views to the committee and suggested that the minister of defense and the Special Committee on Defense should have available a committee comprised of scientists from across Canada and not be restricted to the counsel of DRB.

Following the hearing, the group was asked to comment on DRB's commentary. The second report has been sent to the Clerk of the Special Committee on Defense and is to be released to the press on Oct. 25.