

371. Are you clear enough to say that you did not? Yes, I think I can say that I did not, for I have no recollection of having done so.

372. In the summer of 1885, and in the months of June and July, a number of tickets were issued at Mr. Robinson's? Yes, to servants of Inch Arran House.

373. And to employés? No, not to employés.

374. Well, it is only a similar term; employés would be servants? I thought you meant employés of the railway.

375. No; railway employés go free? If travelling on railway business, of course.

376. I see some of the tickets produced here by Mr. Cormier, and issued on account of Inch Arran, have the name of the person to whom they were issued upon them? That may be.

377. And some have not the names? Yes.

378. Would they all be issued to servants? All the same—issued to servants.

379. How do you know they were servants who used these tickets? I presume they were from the fact that Mr. Robinson told me they were for servants.

380. I see the names on the tickets are evidently those of servants? It is not probable that the manager of the hotel would pay for the general public going to his hotel.

381. The returns which Mr. Robinson made do not show for what class of people these tickets were issued. Just look and state whether it is so or not. Of your own knowledge you do not know who used these tickets? No, I do not.

382. From the face of the return which came under your notice could you ascertain at the time? I can show you the account Mr. Robinson rendered.

383. I just wish to ask you with reference to those issued in June. The document which Mr. Robinson forwarded to the head office in June for that month's tickets, and in July for that month's tickets, did not, on the face of it, show to whom, or for whom, those tickets were issued? It might not; I cannot say. They were issued to "Dalhousie, Inch Arran House."

384. Now, there could be no objection to your answering my question whether from the face of that document, you can gather for whom, or for what class of people, these tickets were issued? This does not say.

385. Therefore you had no knowledge at that time to whom they were issued? I believed they were issued to servants of the Inch Arran House, or certainly they would not have got my authority.

386. When Mr. Cormier went to you at first did you give him authority to pass the return? I want to state that Mr. Cormier never came to me. I never had any communication with him. His statement in that regard is incorrect. When I give authority I give it to the agent who issues the ticket or to the auditor. I have nothing to do with clerks in matters of that kind.

387. Is that authority given by you? (See Exhibit E.) Yes, that authority is given by me.

388. Just read it? "Mr. Bruce, please accept Mr. Robinson's report of these tickets, as per this memo., for the present."

389. You see that each of these tickets is marked "nil" on the return which you authorized to be accepted? Yes.

390. You were aware that Mr. Bruce was to accept this return without any pay? Yes.

391. It is the same in July? There is no question of his having authority to accept the return. It is admitted.

392. Now, look at the original document again, and tell me if that is Mr. Pottinger's writing upon it? Yes, I believe it is.

393. That refers to some of the tickets on that return of Mr. Robinson's? I presume so.

394. You are an official of the road, and this document comes from your office, and it contains a memorandum from you to pass it? Yes.