

## Biblical and Theological---New Commentator.

Nearly allied to this course of procedure, is your palpable inconsistency, in snarling at Commentators, and indeed at any thing "Biblical and Theological," and yet supplying the public with *your* **NOTES** on Scripture. *The great despiser of Commentators, turning Commentator himself!* What a piece of absurdity! Having collected and transcribed the various passages of Scripture on the subject of Baptism, why did you not stop there? Oh, that would not have answered your design. The word of God is not sufficiently plain, hence you must by *spelling*, and interrogatories, and bold assertions, intermingled with plenty of sarcasm and banter, endeavour to make it plainer. It needs interpretation and lo! you turn interpreter. To be sure, we must not read any thing written by the "talented" Watson, Dr. A. Clarke, or any of our modern commentators, for they know "Greek"; but any thing penned by William Jackson, any thing emanating from this latest, this *most modern commentator*, we may peruse. How great the privilege! I must beg pardon, Sir, for taking this opportunity of addressing others through this medium, but certainly the occasion demands it. "O Novascotians! haste to embrace the present proffered favour. Matthew Henry, and Clarke, Scott and Gill you must not touch. If you do not find it in your heart to consume their works, yet they must lie by wormeaten and neglected, for if you read their writings, you only obtain "men's notions;" but you may, Oh yes you may read the *notes* of William Jackson—they of course are not "human traditions"—they of course, will stand "when the writings and doctrines of men are burned up." And last, though not the least recommendation, they can be purchased for twelve pence."

I now return again to you. Perhaps you will endeavour to ward off this blow, by informing us that you recorded your declaration, that any thing you wrote was but "human." Admitted; and in much stronger terms than any you have employed, do all our Commentators testify their inability. But such inconsistency we had a right to expect, when but a few Sabbaths ago, before assembled multitudes, in what you designated your *farewell sermon*, you cried out against Doctors and Commentators, and then, will it, *can* it be believed, informed the congregation that you had for sale Dr. A. Clarke on the New Testament, Dwight's Theology, &c. After this we were fully prepared, for similar traits of inconsistency in your conduct.

## Things not to be found in the Bible.

Passing strange is it, Sir, that you should condemn Mr. R. for introducing facts, of which you are pleased to declare "neither