

CONTENTS.

prognostication of the True Church—Late attempts to undermine it' page 132.

LET. XXXI.—The True Church, *Apostolical*.—So described by the ancient Fathers.—**APOSTOLICAL TREE** of the Catholic Church explained, by a brief account of the Popes and of distinguished Pastors, also of Nations converted by her, and of Heretics and Schismatics cut off from the True Church, page 136.

LET. XXXII.—Apostolical succession of Ministry in the Catholic Church.—Among Protestant Societies the Church of England alone claims such succession—Doctrine and conduct of Luther, and of different Dissenters, on this point—Uncertainty of the Orders of the Established Church from the doctrine of its founders—from the history of the times—from the defectiveness of the form—Apostolic Mission evidently wanting to all Protestants—They cannot shew an ordinary Mission—they cannot work Miracles to prove an extraordinary one, page 208.

LET. XXXIII.—Objections to the Rev. Joshua Clark answered.—Apostolical ministry not interrupted by the personal vices of certain Popes—Fable of Pope Joan refuted—Comparison between the Protestant and the Catholic Missions for the Conversion of Infidels—Vain predictions of Conversions and of Reformation by the Bible Societies—Increase of crimes commensurate with that of the Societies, page 217.

POSTSCRIPT.—Recapitulation of things proved in the foregoing Letters, page 224.

PART III.

LET. XXXIV.—**INTRODUCTION.**—Effects produced by the foregoing Letters on the minds of Mr. Brown, and others of his Society—This in part counteracted by the Bishop of London's (Dr. Porteus) Charges against the Catholic Religion, page 229.

LET. XXXV.—Observations on the Charges in question.—Impossibility of the True Church being guilty of them—Just conditions to be required by a Catholic Divine in discussing them—Calumny and misrepresentation necessary weapons for the assailants of the True Church—Instances of gross calumny published by eminent Protestant writers now living—Effects of these calumnies—No Catholic ever shaken in his faith by them—They occasion the conversion of many Protestants—They render their authors dreadfully guilty before God, page 230.

LET. XXXVI.—Charge of Idolatry.—Protestantism not originally founded on this—Invocation of the Prayers of Angels and Saints grossly misrepresented by Protestants—truly stated from the Council of Trent, and Catholic Doctors—Vindication of the practice—Evasive attack of the Bishop of Durham—Retorted upon his Lordship—The practice recommended by Luther—Vindicated by distinguished Protestant Bishops—Not imposed upon the faithful—highly consoling and beneficial, page 238.

LET. XXXVII.—Religious Memorials.—Doctrine and Practice of the Catholics, most of all, misrepresented on this head—Old Protestant versions of Scripture corrupted to favour such misrepresentation—Unbounded calumnies in the Homilies, and other Protestant publications—True doctrine of the Catholic Church defined by the Council of Trent, and taught in her books of instruction—Errors of Bishop Porteus, in fact and in reasoning—Inconsistency of his own practice—No obligation on Catholics of possessing pious images, pictures, or relics, 244.

LET. XXXVIII.—Objections refuted.—That the Saints cannot hear us—Extravagant addresses to Saints—Want of candour in explaining them—