

Mr. Cavanagh was married in 1888 to Miss M. E. Rawlings, a daughter of the late Albin Rawlings, of Forest, who was a cattle exporter. They have two children, Maude R. and Irene. Mr. and Mrs. Cavanagh are members of the Church of England and in his political views he is independent.

HON. WALLACE NESBITT, K.C.

Hon. Wallace Nesbitt, one of the most able and learned lawyers practising at the Toronto Bar, was born May 13, 1858, near Woodstock, Oxford county, Ontario, and is a son of the late John W. and Mary (Wallace) Nesbitt, natives of Scotland and of the North of Ireland, respectively. The father came to Canada in 1837, and was an early settler of Oxford county. He served through the rebellion of that year and afterward turned his attention to general agricultural pursuits, clearing bush land and transforming the wild tract into richly productive fields.

Wallace Nesbitt, reared on the home farm, pursued his more specifically literary education in the Woodstock Baptist College, and took up the study of law in the office and under the direction of the firm of Bethune, Osler & Moss. In 1881 he was called to the Bar of Ontario, taking the old honor course in law at Osgoode Hall, also the second, third and fourth year scholarships. From 1881 until 1883 he practised in Hamilton with the firm of Velancey, Fuller & White, under the firm style of Fuller, White & Nesbitt. In the later year he came to Toronto with B. B. Osler and joined the firm of McCarthy, Osler, Hoskin, Plumb & Creelman, which relation was maintained until 1892, when with George Blackstock he joined the firm of Beatty & Blackstock. In the practice of law, where success depends entirely upon individual merit he has made steady advancement, displaying in the trial of cases a mind analytical, logical and inductive. Clear and cogent in reasoning and forceful in argument he easily won and held the attention of the court and jury and won victories in many forensic contests. In May, 1903, he was called to the Bench of the Supreme Court, whereon he remained until October 4, 1905, when he resigned and again resumed the private practice of his profession. He has been connected with many important suits, among them being the historical legal contest between the firm of Connee &