

INDEX TO WITNESSES AND EVIDENCE

A

AIRD, SIR JOHN, PRESIDENT, CANADIAN BANK OF COMMERCE, TORONTO, ONT.

- Only recently heard of his son's dealings with Beauharnois company, 872
- Canadian Bank of Commerce hesitates now to make further advances to Beauharnois company, 873
- Beauharnois company is obligated to banks, 874
- Banks that underwrote the securities, 945

AIRD, JOHN, JR., ENGINEER, TORONTO, ONT.

- Produced letters from Toronto bankers to show he still has the bonds received from Mr. Griffith, 840
- Bonds were not accepted on behalf of a political party, 844
- Reason for getting bonds, 844
- Does not know why he gave no receipt for bonds, 847
- Never represented to Mr. Sweezy that he was acting for Ontario Conservative organization, 849
- Verbal agreement made with Mr. Sweezy that he was to receive \$120,000 for services, 851
- Told Mr. Sweezy how Ontario hydro contract could be procured, 854
- Never met any member of hydro commission in connection with contract, 855
- Has not transferred to any one any of bonds received, 855
- No other person in Toronto knew he obtained \$120,000, 856
- Can give no reason why remuneration was paid in bonds, 860
- Situations previously held by witness, 976
- Messrs. Sweezy and Griffith present when money received in Montreal, 978

ANDERSON, PERCY M., ASSISTANT COUNSEL, LAW BRANCH, DEPARTMENT OF RAILWAYS AND CANALS, OTTAWA, ONT.

- Produced Department of Railways and Canals File No. 16299 containing letter, July 29, 1929, to Secretary of Department of Railways and Canals from Beauharnois Light, Heat and Power Company for purchase of part of Hungry Bay Dyke, 270

ARGUE, JOHN F., M.D., OTTAWA, ONT.

- Declares medical certificate he issued respecting condition of Senator Haydon to be true in substance and in fact, 744

B

BERGEVIN, ACHILLE, MONTREAL, QUE.

- Early interest in St. Lawrence waterway scheme, 986
- Senator McDougald and Mr. Henry, 990
- Payments received from Mr. Sweezy, 993

C

CAMERON, KENNETH MCKENZIE, CHIEF ENGINEER, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS, OTTAWA, ONT.

- Respecting original plans, 222
- Approval of plans recommended, 223, 230
- Embankments changed, 227
- Plans under which work being done have not been approved by Minister or by Governor in Council, 228
- Canal to be turned over to Government, 229
- Department of Public Works employee at the work, 229, 247
- Department of Public Works has supervision, 229
- Plans the company now working to were first submitted in August, 1930, 230
- Company started work before witness saw plans, 230
- Approval of plans recommended, 230
- Plans amended, 232
- Plans for bridges over canal, 234
- Objection taken to remedial works plans not met yet, 234