noble six shillings and eight pence, and a groat four pence; so that the difference between a royal and a noble is to a groats.

323 Line 7s; roun Burbary, -The horse is, apparently, an invention of Shakespeare's. No mention is made of it in any of the chronicles. But Froissut (chap. exit.) has a story of a favourite greyhound which deserted its master, Richard, and leaped on his rival.

324. Lines 81-84. The idea of sympathy between horse and rider may have been suggested to shake-peare by the following passage in the Egerton Ms. play (act i.), if the latter really was written before shake-peare's:

Kr. - but, not is vucle, I did obserne, what I have wonderd att, As we to day to d to not Westminster; We itought your horse, that wont to tread the ground, And pace as if he keck it is cornectally, Mount and arven, like strong Basephotas; To day he trod as slowe and mellandholy As if his leggs had fayld to beare his hard. He was not wont to heare such boads hadeed; A lundred oakse spron these shoulders hange.

To make me brane uppor your wedding day

— Kei mit, p. 15

325 Line 91: Spurk'd, Gall'd, and the'd by Amencing Bolingbroke. If Q 5 read spor gall'd. The reading in the text is that (substantially) of Q, 1, Q, 2, Q, 3, Q, 1. It is very probable that, in this case, the Polios are right. Congrave explains: "Janeer ru cheval. To stirre a horse in the stable till be be swart with all; or (as our) to janua; (an old word)." Januting occurs in Rom. and Jul. if. 5, 53:

To citch my death with jarouto ; up and down,

There Q. 3. Q. 4 have jaunsing, and it is evidently the same word—In this passage all the Qq. and Ff have jauncing. The word does not occur elsewhere in Shakespeare. It might appear that tir'd (tirde in Q. 1, tur'd in F. 1) was the same word as that used in Love's Labour's Lost, iv. 2. 130 (see note 10) of that play); but tire, whether used in the sense of "to diverse," or "to weary," is indifferently spelt tyre in F. 1; and "wearied," or "fatigned," makes here the better sense. Compare the following line in Beaumont and Fletcher's Mad Lover (v. 3):

Plague of your spars, allot conscience! does it tire now! —Works, vol. i. p. 307.

326. Lines 29-101 – Holinshed's account of this incident is as follows: "This knight incontinentlie departed from the court, with eight strong persons in his companie, and came to Poinfret, commanding the esquier that was accustomed to sew and take the assaic before king Richard, to doo so no more, salieng: Let him cat now, for he shall not long cat." King Richard sat downe to dimer, and was secued without conrecise or assaic, wherevoon much maruelling at the sudden enange, he demanded of the esquier which e did not his dutte; 'Sir (said he) I am otherwise commanded by Sir Piers of Exton, which is newlic come from K. Henrie." When king Richard heard that word, he tooke the kerning knife in his band, and strake the esquier on the head, saleng 'The dutel take Henrie of Lancaster and thee togither' (vol. iii. p. 14).

327. Line 106: WHAT MEANS death in this rade assault!—Stanuton proposes: "What! mean'st death in this rade assault!" which certainly makes better sense. The passage is very obscure; it may mean, "What is the meaning of such an attempt upon my life in such a rade assault!" but I confess it is not easy to make any sense of it. Death is spelt with a capital both in Q-1 and F. I in this line, and with a small d in the next line; otherwise one might suspect the word death had slipped up here from the line below. It may be that the poet's idea was that Richard had been expecting Death for some time, and was now surprised to see it come in so rade a shape.

ACT V. Scene 6.

328. Lines 2, 3:

the rebets have consum'd with fire Our town of Cicester in Glostershire.

From the account given by Holinshed It appears the rebel lords were in two different ions in Circneester, and their army lay outside the town, that the Earl of Kent and the Earl of Salisbury, in one inn, were besieged by the "bailife of the town with fourscore archers;" the Earl of Huntingdon and Lord Spenser, being in another inn, "set fire on diacrse houses in the towne, thinking that the assailants would leave the assault and rescue their goods, which thing they nothing regarded "(vol. iii. p. 11)—But the effect of this manierivre was unfortunate for the rebels, since their army, seeing the lire, thought King Henry's army had arrived, and "Eed without measure," leaving their chiefs to shift for themselves.

329. Line 8: The heads of Salishnry, Spencer, Blant, and Kent. 80 Fl. Q.5. The four first quartos read " of Oxford, Salishnry," an evident slip of the pen on the writer's part. The town of Oxford is frequently mentioned in connection with the conspiracy, and Shakespeare may have written the name by mistake for one of the conspirators; but there is no need to perpetuate the error

330. Line 14: The heads of Brocas, and Sir Bennet Seely.—Holinshed says: "Manie other that were prinie to this conspiracie, were taken, and put to death, some at Oxford, as sir Thomas Blunt, sir Bentet Clife Knight, and Thomas Wintercell esquier; but sar Leonard Brokas, and sir John Shellie Knights, John Mandelen, and William Ferbie chapleins, were drawne, hanged, and beheaded at London" (vol. iii p. 13).

331. Line 25: Chose out some secret place, &c.— Holinshed says: "The Bishop of Carleill was impeached, and condemned of the same conspiracie; but the king of his mercifull elemencie, pardoned him of that offense, although he died shortly after, more through feare than force of sicknesse, as some hane written" (vol. iii. p. 13).

Abs

Adı

AH-

Alu

App.

Арр

332. Line 30.—"After he (Richard) was thus dead, his bodie was imbalmed, and secred, and concred with lead, all sane the face, to the intent that all men might see him, and perceine that he was departed this life; for as the corps was conneied from Pomfret to London, in all the townes and places where those that had the conneience of it did state with it all night, they caused dirige to be soong in the eneming, and masse of requiem in the

480