APPENDIX IV

3. How should Senators be elected?

LFRID

906.

nt. I

y too

s one

er in-

Senate

i deal

me a

ıld be

dered.

ection other

econd,

years?

γ,

RIER.

1906.

}., etc.,

our two ve you uestion

ar with

Crown nce-an (a) By the Government as at present? No.

(b) By the local Legislatures or any composite or iancy Franchises? No.

(c) By direct vote of the people? Most decidedly "Yes," for it is in accordance with true Liberal principles, would be universally popular with the masses of the people of all the Provinces, and when tried in old Canada was quite successful.

4. Should appointments be for life or a term of years? For not more than ten years. Life membership has few, almost

5. Should your Government reform the Senate soon? Most certainly, for you and the Liberal party are pledged to the teeth to do so, and we are now-having a large majorityfairly chargeable with violating our promises of Reform for mere party advantages.

I may add that the people feel more deeply on the Senate question than appears on the surface, and you can scarcely find a Tory or a Grit who has not long ago made up his mind that it should be changed; in other words brought more into harmony with the recognized principles of popular gov-

Under these circumstances, if Mr. Borden had foresight enough to declare for an Elective Senate, he would put you in a tight place, and I also believe that if, as Prime Minister, you add Reform of the Senate by popular vote to the other great measures of your Administration, it will place you on a plane with either Brown or Macdonald, and add to the political triumphs you have already won.

I remain, my dear Laurier, Very truly yours,

JAMES YOUNG.