

LOCAL AND PROVINCIAL

Thomas Heffernon has been appointed a messenger in the customs service.

A new money order office has been established at Red Rapids, Charles Roberts postmaster.

The congregation of the Methodist church at Brookville has presented to the pastor, Rev. Jacob Heaney, a purse of \$50.

Rev. Charles Elliott McKenzie, the new archdeacon of the Diocese of Antigonish, was born in Pictou (N.S.), in 1838. He was rector of Shediac from 1882 to 1882.

The monthly meeting of the slaughter house commissioners was held Friday afternoon. Inspector Simon's report showed the following killing for the month: Kane & McGrath, 230 cattle, 1,453 sheep; John McCarty, 230 cattle, 1,453 sheep; M. J. Collins, 2 cattle, 5 sheep.

Twelve deaths occurred in the city last week from the following causes: Natural causes, two; acute disease of heart, cerebral apoplexy, meningitis, paralysis, epitheloma, bronchitis pneumonia, senility, cardiac disease, infantile heart disease, one each.

Friday morning Edward White, aged 70, caretaker of the Sussex road house, was cleaning the cab of the engine when the gauge glass burst. In trying to escape from the steam Mr. White fell between the tender and the engine, breaking two ribs and spraining his ankles.

The Bank of New Brunswick divided for the year ended Dec. 31, shows net profits of \$92,339.06 during the year. The sum of \$90,000 was paid in two half-yearly dividends at 12 per cent., \$35,000 was transferred to reserve account and \$13,910.39 carried forward. The rest account is now \$890,000.

A telegram from New York Friday announced the raw sugar market was very strong with upward tendency. Refined was also reported very strong and with good demand. An advance in refined was looked for. In London raw beet was three farthings higher, January delivery of beet being quoted at 3d.

The beautiful home of Mr. and Mrs. Joseph L. Trask at Little River (N.S.), will be the scene of a happy wedding Wednesday evening at 8 o'clock when their daughter, Miss Sadie Blanch and Bernard E. Outhouse will be married. The bride-elect is a niece of Mrs. Geo. Trask of the Sea View Hotel, Little River.

M. Rosenstein is a Jewish refugee who reached here last week in company with four associates. He fled from Russia to escape military service. The flight was attended by many dangers. He was obliged to swim a stream forming the border between a part of Austria and Russia. He made the swim under the fire of Russian rifles, but got away unhurt. He is a tailor by trade.

Eight men and a woman taken from a sinking craft.

(From Our Own Correspondent.) Gloucester, Mass., Jan. 6.—(Special.)—With the east wind and freshening breeze of the brigantine Ohio, Capt. Rupert Wry, from Kingsport (N.S.) for New York, on board, the fishing schooner Theodore Roosevelt reached here tonight. The Ohio was abandoned 100 miles off Thatcher's Island after her crew and Mrs. Wry, the captain's wife, had suffered terrible agonies for forty-eight hours.

To a telegraphic correspondent Captain Wry, who is about twenty-six years old, told a story of storm and disaster. "We left Kingsport Dec. 29 and had fair weather and made St. John for harbor. We left that port Jan. 3. The weather was clear and there was a good breeze blowing. After reaching the North channel it shut down thick with snow but did not commence to breeze up until about dark. We took in all the sails except those absolutely necessary for the wind increased from the north-northwest.

"A heavy squall struck us when we were about ten miles from Libby Island harbor, but the wind blew so terrific that it was impossible to reach the ship, my mate and I were there was nothing to do but let her run.

Vessel Sprung a Leak. "Meantime the vessel sprung a leak and the men were constantly at the pumps to keep her clear of water, but it was a terrible task. At 6 a.m. on the 4th judging by the log, we were about fifteen miles off Matineau Rock in a hurricane from the north northeast. A slanting sea struck us on the quarter carrying away the binnacle box and compass. Another came directly over the stern, tearing the wheel box and steering gear, lifting the sky-light and filling the cabin. "Joe," the Italian, who was steering, was found a bruised, bleeding and helpless mass just forward of the wheelbox. He was taken below unit for service.

"Fortunately there was no further danger. We rigged a tackle to the rudder and by this we were able to steer and headed the vessel southeast. We reached the shore already on the vessel and took a reef in the mainsail which we attempted to set but the moment the vessel struck it the sail was torn from its fastenings like tissue paper.

The vessel rolled and pitched in the heavy sea and our position was perilous. At 10 a.m. to keep the vessel afloat we started to throw the deckload over and while doing so two of the men, Italians, were severely frost-bitten, disabling them from further effort.

Four of Crew Disabled. "To add to our misfortune the vessel shipped a sea, which the deck cargo and breaking the starboard pump. Water working the port pump an avalanche of lumber descended to that side of the vessel striking the two men at the pump, injuring them and thus four of the crew were disabled. Joe, in the meantime, had recovered and resumed his duties. This left only four men, myself and my wife to battle with the gale during the terrible night of the 4th.

"I assure you it was a welcome sight when the Theodore Roosevelt was sighted at daybreak on the 5th. We hoisted our flag union down and the fishing vessel was soon ranging alongside. We were all exhausted and glad to change from the deck of our battered and leaking craft to the warm cabin of the fishing vessel.

Capt. McHenry, of the Theodore Roosevelt, said the weather was rough at the time but his crew eagerly manned the dories and, rowing to the Ohio, quickly transferred their people. They were all pretty well used up.

Capt. McHenry put on board the Ohio a prize crew of eight men. Later the Ohio staggered into port and anchored in a good position on the pancake ground.

Capt. Wry did not know the names of his crew, who were Frenchmen, Italians and Portuguese. The mate is Enos Barkhouse and the second mate, Henry Bunt, was the most severely frost-bitten of the party. All the men will be taken to the hospital tomorrow. Capt. Wry and his wife came ashore with Capt. McHenry this evening.

Capt. Wry has been on the water since he was fourteen years old and sailed over the world, but he says this was his worst experience.

U. S. Navy Chaplain Dismissed. Washington, Jan. 7.—Chaplain Joseph F. MacFarland has been dismissed from the navy by order of the president. He was tried by court martial on the charge of scandalous conduct.

A Roman Catholic priest will be appointed in his place.

Three marriages were celebrated in the city last week. Fifteen babies were born, nine boys.

A dead horse was lying on the Westmorland Road Monday. An empty whiskey bottle was between its teeth, but no conclusive proof has been brought forward to show that intemperance was the cause of death.

Much sympathy is being felt for the family of Joseph Cook, the blind man, whose organ strains are familiar in the streets, because of their loss by fire recently. Any contributions may be sent to Mrs. T. McAvity, 192 King street, who has consented to receive them.

Mrs. Ellen Collins, widow of Daniel Collins, formerly of St. John, died Saturday in Brockton (Mass.), where she had made her home for years. She had been sick for a long time. John J. Collins, steward of this city, is a son of deceased, and he has gone to Brockton and will bring his mother's body here for burial.

Gurney Division, S. of T., has installed H. W. Belding, W. P. P.; Mrs. Hear, W. A.; L. A. Belding, R. S.; Mrs. B. Budge, A. R. S.; J. Stark, P. S.; C. A. Everett, Treas.; Miss L. Daniels, Chap.; Mrs. H. W. Belding, Supt. Y. P. W.; J. B. Belding, Cont.; Mrs. L. A. Belding, A. C.; Mrs. H. W. Belding, I. S.; B. Budge, O. S.; T. Blanche, P. W. P.; Mrs. L. A. Belding, pianist; Joshua Stark, D. C. W. P.

Owen Jones, brother-in-law of Lady Tilley, who died recently in London, left \$100,000 for the commissioners of the General Public Hospital in this city. The money has been received and invested, pending a decision as to the use to be made of it. The probability is that it will be expended in the erection and equipment of a special surgical department. Mr. Jones made other bequests, including \$1,000 for an enclosure around the old cemetery at St. Andrews.

Thomas Starke, the aged Englishman, who had been detained at Sand Point, died there Monday morning. He had come to this country to join his wife in Seattle, but was detained by the United States officials until bonds should be given that he would not become a public charge. His relatives at Seattle were Monday, by wire, notified of his death. Until directions as to his disposal have been received Undertaker Bestley has taken charge of the body.

Says & Holly received word Saturday morning that their new store at Chipman, Queens county, was destroyed by fire last night. The building, erected only a few months ago, at a cost of more than \$5,000, was insured for \$3,000, of which \$2,000 is in the Caledonia and \$1,000 in the Western. The stock was insured for \$14,000, divided: Caledonia, \$5,000; Western, \$4,000; Home, \$2,000; and Phoenix of Hartford and Chicago, \$3,000 each. The amount would not nearly cover the loss.

William W. Robbins Found Horribly Battered in Cabin Where He Lived Alone; Robbery Likely the Motive.

Deer Isle Fisherman Brutally Murdered.

Accidental Death of Young Man at St. George.

Raymond Judson Probably Burst Blood Vessel by Striking Head Against Beam.

Killed Horses with Powdered Glass.

Correspondent Tells of Outrage at Upper Clifton.

Weddings.

8600 Bathurst Fire.

Captain James A. Hatfield.

Sunlight Soap.

Directions for Use.

Twenty-four meals a day is the newest record in the eating line. It has been established by a baby at the Bridgeport (Conn.) hospital. The baby is less than seven inches in length and weighs exactly two pounds.

SYDNEY PROMISED A CIVIC SCANDAL

It is Alleged a Shipbuilding Company is Trying to Work Council into Granting Big Bonus Without Consideration.

Sydney, N. S. W., Jan. 9.—(Special.)—A big sensation was created here today by the publication of a letter in the local press charging the city council with resorting to star chamber methods in promoting a scheme for the establishment of a shipbuilding plant, together with steel floating dock and wrecking steamer on Sydney harbor.

Two years ago legislation was secured, enabling the town to borrow \$250,000, with any company in the construction of a shipbuilding plant at Sydney, but only after they had spent \$100,000 in work and gave a guarantee that no fewer than 300 men would be regularly employed. In November last a company was organized to a capital of \$1,000,000. James T. Burchell, manager of the Govrie colliery, was made president, and A. S. McClelland, manager of the Sydney and Geelong Bay tram line, vice-president. The company secured the \$250,000 guarantee from the city.

Two or three members of the council opposed making the amendments, and protested against spending so much of the people's money without anything tangible being shown for it. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more than \$250,000, with nothing in return. The meeting was a stormy one, and ended by an adjournment being taken for a week. Those fighting the scheme contend that it is nothing more or less than a case of "fronted finance," where the promoters would float the stock of the company, and after receiving their money in a boom, they would let it pass into the hands of a receiver, when the city would find itself forced to pay more