

supplementary. I will first recognize the hon. member for Vegreville.

Mr. Mazankowski: Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, for your indulgence. I should like to ask the minister one more supplementary question. Is he disposed to undertake discussions with the Indian people of northeastern Alberta on a long-term educational program, in a consultative way, in order that they may have a say in the self-determination of their educational rights?

• (11:50 a.m.)

[Translation]

Mr. Chrétien: Mr. Speaker, I am willing to start discussions with the Indians of northern Alberta as soon as the children return to school.

I have already discussed this matter with the chief of Keeheewin and I am to confer Tuesday with the chief of Saddle Lake about the future policy. As far as Cold Lake is concerned, we require, before any settlement is considered, that the children first return to school.

[English]

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. The hon. member for Ontario on a supplementary.

* * *

INDUSTRY

GALVANIZED SHEET STEEL PRICE INCREASE BY DOFASCO AND STELCO—SUGGESTED ACTION TO ACHIEVE ROLLBACK

Mr. Norman A. Cafik (Ontario): Indeed, Mr. Speaker, I am exercised, but a little exercise will not do any harm, considering my weight.

I have a question for the Minister of Industry, Trade and Commerce and in his absence would like to direct it to the acting minister. In light of Dofasco's and Stelco's announcement of a 4½ per cent to 5½ per cent price increase on galvanized sheet steel to take effect this Sunday at midnight, and with a view to preventing damage to Canadian firms which are trying to export manufactured goods to the United States, would the minister investigate the possibility of a voluntary rollback in these price increases by Dofasco and Stelco?

Hon. Alastair Gillespie (Acting Minister of Industry, Trade and Commerce): Mr. Speaker, we are all impressed by the energy of the hon. member for Ontario. He has galvanized the House on more than one occasion.

Some hon. Members: Oh, oh!

Mr. Gillespie: I think I should point out that ours is a market economy and that these sort of relationships are normally those which are determined between suppliers and customers. However, having said that, I will undertake to make inquiries and see if there are any implications for Canada's export trade.

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. I must point out that I was under the impression that the hon. member for Ontario was rising for the purpose of asking a supplementary question. A number of other members were seeking the

Income Tax Act

floor who were not recognized because they had already had an opportunity to ask one question, and I was trying to give an opportunity to as many members as possible to ask questions. As a result I think an injustice has been done to some members but I will try to make up for that when we meet on Monday. Orders of the day.

[Translation]

Mr. Adrien Lambert (Bellechasse): I rise on a point of order, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker: Order. The hon. member for Bellechasse on a point of order.

Mr. Lambert (Bellechasse): Mr. Speaker, while I respect the authority and competence of the Chair, I regret that no member of our party has had any opportunity to put one single question today. This makes me better understand to what extent certain minorities can sometimes suffer—

Mr. Speaker: Order. I suggest to the hon. member that when I looked in that corner of the House, none of his colleagues tried to put a question. If, during the next question period, either the hon. member or any of his colleagues have questions they wish to ask, I shall recognize them as I always do.

Mr. Lambert (Bellechasse): Mr. Speaker, I rise on a question of privilege.

Mr. Speaker: Order. The hon. member for Bellechasse on a question of privilege.

Mr. Lambert (Bellechasse): Mr. Speaker, I must mention that I rose several times. I deeply regret that the Chair did not see me. I understand that I sit at the other end of the House but in all justice, I must say that I rose several times.

• (12 noon)

[English]

GOVERNMENT ORDERS

INCOME TAX ACT

The House resumed, from Tuesday, November 23, consideration in committee of Bill C-259, to amend the Income Tax Act and to make certain provisions and alterations in the statute law related to or consequential upon the amendments to that act—Mr. Benson—Mr. Honey in the chair.

The Chairman: In accordance with the statement of the Parliamentary Secretary to the President of the Privy Council, as recorded at page 9777 of *Hansard* for November 22, 1971, the committee will now proceed to consideration of sections 14 to 19 inclusive, 22 to 26 inclusive, 28, 32, 33, 34, 36, 37, 50 to 59 inclusive, 104 to 108 inclusive, 122, 130, 131, 132, 138 to 142 inclusive, and 208 to 211 inclusive, dealing with business and property income. I must bring to the attention of the committee that sections 15, 16, 18,