

saw them, and know what "show" birds *should* be.

Referring to his remarks on the yellow Jacobins—"blue eyed" as Mr. Walford calls them, whatever he means by that, for my letter reads "bull-eyed," and I think will be better understood by fanciers,—I owe an apology to Mr. James for the mistake, for on referring to my note book I find that the bull-eyed pair should have been placed to the credit of Mr. Hope, having made the error in copying.

Mr. Walford is certainly as far off in short-faced blue Antwerps as he is in most other things he alludes to, and shows how little he knows what he was writing about. Mr. Walford says the pair that I said were more like Tumblers (and I say so again) were winners last year at the same show with another judge. Now Mr. James took 1st last year with a cock bird that now has only one leg, and which he has yet, so he could not have made one of the pair shown this year, and as I took 2nd, and Mr. Adams 3rd last year neither of which were in the show this year, Mr. Walford must admit his error, and would refer him to the judge of last year's show to verify what I say.

Respecting the changing of the medals, in justice to Mr. Walford, I must say, that I did not, of course, see it done, but was assured by those whose word I had no reason to doubt that they were changed.

Re the dragoons, if Mr. Walford will refer to my letter again, he will find that I did *not* say that the birds *were* two cocks, which "shows what kind of a man he is."

In the Antwerp classes Mr. Walford says I "show little judgement." Because a pair of blues shown carried off 1st prize at Hamilton, Port Hope, Guelph, and three times at Toronto, I do not see that that strengthens Mr. Walford's judgement, for like him, they may have been very poor judges of that class of pigeons.

Mr. Walford says, "that man Jones was an exhibitor himself last year, and was defeated in every class where there was any competition." Surely he had not before him a list of the prizes awarded me last year when he wrote his article; if not I must respectfully refer him to it.

I had no trouble about 13 prizes he refers to, and which he says I had. I suppose he means the 7 extras. for which I got *red* tickets, and should have had cash for them as intended by the judge, and although I may not be "equal to such men as the secretary of the Industrial Association," I beg to say that he had no power to pay me the cash, however willing he might have been to do so, but will say he treated me very kindly in doing all he could for me in the matter. If Mr. Walford wants to be put right in this I would refer him again to the judge, who I am sure will fully explain to him.

As Mr. Walford has evidently more money to spare than I have, I cannot take up his challenge, and would not do so if I had *all* he has, and as I already give all I can spare to the city charities, I can do no more, but at the same time I believe I have more and better birds of my own than Mr. Walford has of his own, although I know neither Mr. Walford nor his birds, which I think I would do if he were much of a fancier.

I have gone much further with this than I intended to do when I began, and am afraid have intruded on your space, but as I do not expect to be in Toronto again until about the end of the year. I thought I might not have another opportunity.

I will close by saying that I am sorry that Mr. Walford, or the exhibitors, should feel aggrieved at anything I have said, but trust that in future they will give us something that will benefit the fancy and help to improve our future shows instead of making personal attacks in such a scurrulous manner.

J. B. J.

Detroit, Mich., Oct. 15th, 1881.

Editor Review,

I see in August number of REVIEW Mr. B. thinks I am greatly disturbed on account of him criticising rose comb Leghorns, at the same time he says he has no doubts about my honesty. That is all I want. Criticise rose comb Leghorns all you can; it will do them good, but don't throw doubts on a breeder's character, because he advertises rose comb Leghorns. Mr. B. asks, how are they to be judged? I have no objection; any way the poultry association see fit and proper. And his second question: "in case of two cages of Leghorns at a show side by side, one rose and the other single, where would the rose comb Leghorns be?" That is easy: they would be in the cage on which the first prize cards would be placed.

Yours truly,

C. A. GRAF.

The Lancaster Poultry Association will hold their 3rd annual show January, 12th to 18th, 1881. Our two previous efforts were very successful, the last show being the largest exhibition of poultry held in the State in 1881, and we propose having a larger and better one this year. The management are making every effort to make it an object for fanciers to send their best stock. The premium list will be issued about Nov. 1st.

Yours truly,

J. B. LIGHTY, Secy.

NEW BRUNSWICK POULTRY AND PET STOCK ASSOCIATION.—The fourth annual show of poultry &c. and second annual bench show of dogs at St. John, N. B., January 17th, 18th, 19th and 20th, 1882.

H. W. WILSON, Secy.

St. John, N. B.