The Anti-Viet war people have persuaded the Administration to temporarily halt on-campus recruiting by Hawker-Siddley Aircraft Company.

Hawker-Siddley "is affiliated with an international company involved in the Vietnam war," according to the Anti-Viet people, and therefore has no right to recruit employees

Henry Best, Director of Student Services, has stated that Hawker-Siddley will not enter York Campus until ACSA decides whether any company is to recruit on this campus.

It was Dr. Ross, President of this university, who decided the problem should be dealt with by ACSA. It is Dr. Ross who said he personally agrees with the Anti-Viet people about the war.

Neither Dr. Ross nor his so-called Advisory Committee have any right to decide what companies shall offer a future livelihood to the senior students of this university.

Nor does the Committee to End the War in Vietnam have any right to demand employment be denied to York students.

This is a matter for all students of York to decide. It is the right of the students, all the students, or their representatives, to decide what kind of job recruitment we want.

We are not saying that we agree with the American policy in Vietnam nor with Hawker-Siddley's contributions to the war effort. But it would certainly be an injustice to ban any and all companies from recruiting on our campus, for this would infringe upon their basic rights of expression and the right of the individual to choose his vocation and the firm for which he will work.

Murray G., ACSA, Anti-Vietnicks; hands off.

Maybe for horse blankets

Founders College Student Council went too far.

A few weeks ago the council announced it was purchasing "distinctive" blazers for the council members, to make them easily identifiable from the masses. Great stuff, Founders.

The money for these blazers was supposed to come from the college students, the comicillors' pockets and the budget of someone else on the college administration level, each in a one-third proportion. Brilliant way to use the

students' money, Founders.

The council voted unanimously in favor of the jackets, the only strongly dissenting member absenting himself from the meeting. Founders president Mel Freedman told Excalibur November 10 the blazers would solve the "problem of communication between students and Council. Last year the students couldn't tell who their representatives were. Great, Mel.

Then Mel told us he hoped YSA would make the blazers available to everyone in the college. Uh, Mel, how's that again?

So now the distinctive Founders jackets are here and some council members don't want them.

Yup, at least three councillors have said they won't wear the blazers with "the flag" and the "Girl Guide badge" on it.

One other councillor -- yup, that lone dissenter again, has refused outright to pay for the blazer. (\$35.00 each, at a special rate through one councillor's connections. Well done, Founders.

So what are you going to do with all those distinctive

jackets, Mel?

Perhaps you could trade them in for horseblankets-they're twice as cheap, and just as distinctive.

Salut à Glendon

Glendon did something very important last weekend. On its own, Glendon collected, listened to, and discussed the people who want to separate Quebec from Canada. By the people who took part, and those who just came to listen, and perhaps to learn, Glendon was highly praised for the initiative, organization, and maturity with which Quebec Year 8 was conducted.

Such praise was well deserved.

Quebec separatism, Rene Levesque, economic freedom, or federalism--Glendon brought the Quebec problem, or the Canada problem, if you prefer, to Ontario.

We make no effort to assess the full results of the weekend--Glendon is far more qualified. We would only point out that Glendon College saw a responsibility to tackle such an issue, and did so in a commendable manner.

We wonder--are there such responsibilities awaiting consideration by the students and faculty of York Campus? Have we grown up enough yet?

Excalibur

editor-in-chief managing editor assistant editors news editor assistant news editor features editors entertainment editor sports editor photo editor assistant photo editor layout editor circulation office manager advertising

fred nix dave warga ross howard, anita levine mike snook linda bohnen kandy biggs, gale campbell don mckay frank trotter clark hill rick argals richard levine karen junke frances de angelis june carlson

excalibur is a member of the canadian university press and is published weekly by the students of york university, opinions expressed do not necessarily represent those of the student councils or the university administration.

room 019A, founders college, york university, downsview



we get letters

A BODY NOW!

Dear Sir:

We contend that those people who are merrily discarding SRC constitutions left, right and centre, are not keeping York's best interests in mind.

What York needs is some form of body NOW! We have more of a weak and imper-fect body now than a perfect (?) strong, and finalized body in a year or

Cannot you guys agree on a protem body to get things rolling so that the finalized SRC won't start cold after you fellows equate what you want and what is needed.

Think more about the present now, and then worry about the future.

Don Long Editor of Founders College "Fountain"

CONTINUED HARANGUE

How strange it is that a front-page article supposedly reporting on the Vanier Council press conference expresses limited fact and considerable amounts of the same editorial opinion expressed on page four under the headline "Where has all the money gone...'

I cannot refute your right to editorialize anything you wish but I can and do question the editorial judgment behind the page-one article on the Vanier press conference. I do not believe that what occurred on the afternoon of Tuesday November

21 was actually a press conference but rather a harangue of council by the managing editor of Excalibur. The continuation of that harangue on the front pages of the paper at the expense of actual answers to the questions asked shows both a shocking lack of reporting ability and editorial good sense.

Mr. Warga's misunderstanding of both the Vanier College Constitution and the Vanier College financial setup shows a shocking lack of comprehension of facts explained to him.

If the Vanier Council supposes to be the governing body of the entire college and it does, then the artificial and outmoded distinction between Master and student is irrelevant to Vanier. I believe that the guiding principle behind the work of Vanier Council is that a College program covering all areas of college life and involving all members of the college is of prime importance and the monies provided by the administration to the college are divided up with this principle in mind.

Richard E. Sand (Vanier II)

READ THE SIGNS

Dear Sir:

of being yelled at..hope the damn heds fit .. no arnim tonite ..

thanx to fran, el, mike, gail, linda star and star-eyed liebeck, don the speller..trotter, nimon et sportsies..ar-

gals to the bitter end..goodnight, says anita..OH NO,

I have become very disillusioned with the type of University. Last Friday, November 10, the York Com-

"intellectual" people I was supposed to find here at mittee to end the War in Vietoh god...it's been one of those nights again...but with pizzaon us for a change, thanks, greedy dave..and guess who's back (who needs him anyway)..how do you say, "off alone with a man" in italian, franchesca..ok rich, no more mister nice guy..perlove missed dinner again..forget the digital interaction, (yes you, p and c)..or jj says I can hit you sam, bob, claire with rulers..kandy & gale drafted?..june sick

nam protested the presence of the Defence Research Board on campus. There were boos and hisses from a substantial number of the York Students. This did not, on the whole, surprise me, but what thoroughly astonished me was that the two students who were counterdemonstrating were also heckled by the same people. At various times they had to say that they were not with the anti-war group and were forced to instruct the skeptical onlookers to please read their signs (which were in support of the Defense

Research Board). Under these circum-stances I can come to only one conclusion. Many students on this campus apparently walk around with their eyes closed and their mouths open. It appears that they just don't like demonstrations. They see a sign and immediately react against it without even reading what the sign says! I have always believed there was a state of apathy in this country but I never thought that it had come this far. Instead of being the hope of the future, it may be that the next generation is going to be even more apathetic than

I sympathize with those students whose intellectual endeavors (e.g. card games) were interrupted by anything so trivial as posters concerning the Vietnam war. I'm not saying that everyone must march in a demonstration of protest in a group, but I do think that on the university level one person should respect another one's opinions and convictions and at least read the signhe may be carrying vefore making any comments of dis-approval. And by comments of disapproval I don't mean the grotesque animal sounds that some York students seem to find indispensable; but good, sincere, constructive, criticism.

its predecessors.

Bob Roth (Vanier I)