A THE BRUNSWICKAN

OCTOBER 5, 1979

been destroyed at sea.

never be stopped.

and the Warsaw Pact.

Equipment malfunction, human

error, vandalism, all could touch

off a chain reaction that could

There is only one solution to war

and that is disarmament. The

simultaneous dissolution of the

two opposing military blocs, NATO

Initiatives for the promotion of

detente (cultural exchanges and

public awareness) are the first

steps. The signing of SALT II on

June 18 of this year was an

extremely important step towards

peace. The U.S. Senate, however,

is still the obstacle that stands in

With organizations like the

World Peace Council represented

at the United Nations and its

members like the Canadian Peace

Congress, the peace movement is

gaining strength. Let's grow up -

the path of its implementation.

Lu.m.

Will the next war end all life on earth?

Reprinted from THE EYEOPENER

by TIM FEHER

In just a short while we are about to witness the passing of another decade. Without trying to sound melodramatic, I seriously wonder if we will still be here to marvel at such an occurance again. The growth of the world's military machine has reached magnitudes never even imagined when our parents were growing up. As the arsenals of the major powers continue to overflow with scphisticated weaponry and nuclear hardware, the odds of an accident or provocation become increasingly possible. Existing nuclear stockpiles of the military are sufficient to destroy the earth 50 times over. It is needless to say therefore that the next "war to end all wars" would end all life on this planet forever.

rate of the large American World figures show that 23 concerns producing civilian conmillion soldiers are under arms. sumer goods was roughly 10 per One half of all scientists and cent while those corporations concentrating on arms production engineers working in the areas of research and development perhad a profit rate of more than 56 form those functions for the per cent. This did not mean an military. The world spends \$1 icrease in jobs. The arms industry million per minute on military Jhas a negative effect on forces and weapons. One tax employment opportunities, as I dollar in every six goes toward will show later on. military purposes. setter Pentagon contracts it helps

The insanity of such policies in humanitarian terms is obvious. to have the right connections. And, to be sure, they have them. When looked at in social and economical terms, one can't help U.S. Senate and Defence Departbut question certain governments ment surveys found 768 high-rankand what they choose as their largest military contractors in priorities. For instance, glcbal military expenditure has reached the horrendous level of \$400 estimate for yourself today's billion per year. The military sector consumes in one day more John M. Irwin was an assistant than the total amount of annual secretary of defense, and held aid that flows to the world's 25 various other key government least developed countries. Develpositions. Another IBM Director, Cyrus Vance, was during the oping nations despite severe food shortages, use five times as much 1960s respectively Secretary of foreign exchange for the import of the Army and Deputy Secretary of arms as for agricultural machin-Defense. He is now the Secretary

war, the corporate sector. This States to dismantle such weaponmilitary industrial complex, ry. against whose dominance the late President Eisenhower warned, is

headed by huge corporations like

Exxon, General Electric, General

Motors and International Business

Machines (IBM). They receive

government contracts for the

manufacture of tanks, missiles,

artillery pieces, electronic equip-

ment, napalm, fuels and war

Business

Other corporations involved are

Lochkeed, Boeing, McDonnell-

Doualas and North American

Aviation, who manufacture mis-

siles, bombers, fighters and other

hardware. Just what are their

rewards? In 1976 nine U.S.

companies each had military

contracts from one to over two

billion dollars. In 1973 the profit

In order to procure bigger and

ing officers employed by the 100

1959, and 2,072 in 1969. You can

figures. A current IBM Director,

gases.

Planned paranoia

I will now try to dispel some of the myths that the Pentagon and the corporate sector have implanted in the minds of North American Society

1) The Russians are coming. Commie, Pinko, etc. This is probably the most common reason given for armament in the West. However it has no substantial historical or philosophical backing. If you can't understand what I'm talking about it only proves my point. Continued ignorance of other societies, peoples and political systems is excellent ground for spawning hatred and fear. Many experts agree that the so-called "threats" from the East is not military but rather ideological. 2) Defense spending created jobs and a cut in such spending would increase unemployment.

Weveral U.S. studies including one by Senator Edward Kennedy have shown this statement to be false. Since defense industries tend to be very capital intensive, each dollar spent in almost any other area of the economy. In the United States each increase of \$1 billion in ilitary spending meant the loss of 11,600 jobs as compared with spending the money in other ways. In Canada, our disadvantaged position in relation to the U.S. economy would indicate a direct loss of between 90,000 and 176,000 jobs on the proposed \$4 billion in expenditures on military hardware over the next five years.

Canada's branch-plant economy is strained even more with its military commitments to the U.S.A. and NATO. We import nearly 70 per cent of our machinery in this country. We also pay high research and development costs to our American neighbors. Therefore military development in Canada only

zed modern peoples.

Consider some other factors. Eighty per cent of liquid nuclear wastes in the U.S. are not from nuclear power plants but from the manufacture of nuclear weapons. Consider also the fact that of the 41 Polaris submarines employed by NATO, 20 are constantly on the prowl, hidden in the deepest parts of the oceans. Each is equipped with 160 weapons each with an explosive force several times that of the Hiroshima and Nagasaki bomb com bined. These submarines, their crews and their arsenals are under the command

Subs in question

of one person.

Should the safety of these subs. the sanity of one commander or the stability of a Polaris crew be trusted as collateral for the existence of mankind? It is reported that malfunctions have already occurred within the Polaris fleet and that several have

The mods are back

not blow-up.

The punks are out and the Mods new Who movie, "Quadrophenia," are back -- in Britain anyway.

ers of fashion. It also spawned a distinctive style of rock and roll as Who.

rock. But the recent release of the mini-skirts. (Newscript)

has spawned a new Mod revival. The men's line includes such items as suits with three-button For the girls, there are stilletto

For those of you who are too At least two new Mod bands have young to remember the early just signed recording contracts in Sixties, 'the Mods' was a name London. And the Who has decided given to a youth movement whose to offer a complete line of Mod members were dedicated follow- clothing the the Christmas season. performed by such groups as the fronts and narrow lapels, and Rolling Stones, the Kinks and the shirts with button-down collars. Well, the Mods disappeared heel shoes, ribbed sweaters, with the advent of heavy-metal stretch ski pants and suede



tickets on sale NOW!!

ETERATION CONTRACTOR FOR ANTICAL STREET, STREE

ery.

Canadian contract

Canada is an active participant in this insanity. With 20 per cent times as much foreign exchange, poverty line, some one million members of the work force matter would perish. The soil unemployed, and a damaging rate would be left in a state of sterility of inflation, the Canadian govern- for years. ment, this past summer, has seen fit to make a purchase of 130 fireign built fighter air-craft at a cost of \$3.5 billion. This is of course added on to Canada's USSR did most of their research on annual defense budget of \$4 nuclear weapons (in the sixties) billion. With cuts in health, social the aim was to steer away from services and education fresh in such concepts as the neutron our minds it is important to realize bomb. It is known today however just where funds are being that the U.S. secretly pushed directed.

The increase in Canada's Livermore Radiation Lab in military spending is all part of its California, then directed by Dr. damaging and costly commitment Harold Brown. As early as 1963 to the North Atlantic Treaty Brown tested a neutron weapon in Alliance (NATO) and North Nevada. Brown later became one American Air Defense (NORAD) of the directors for IBM and today headed by Canada's overseer, the sits in President Carter's cabinet United States.

Since 1959, Canada has been involved in a Detense Production bomb has continued over the Sharing Program with the U.S. This years in the U.S. They come in all agreement integrated the produc- shapes and sizes now, the very tion of weaponry in the two smallest (fired from a gun) would countries, meaning that Canada have the explosive force of 100 has also played a major role in the tons of the most powerful TNT. production of nuclear arms.

The steady growth of arms has not yet pursued production of production in North America has the neutron bomb should put even given birth to the profiteers of more pressure on the United

of State in President Jimmy Carter's cabinet.

The ugliest piece of hardware to come out of North American arms production is the neutron bomb. The bomb's destructive capacity is contained in its ability to release massive doses of radiation. The blast is minimal, therefore structures would be left standing but all inhabitants and living

Pushing the neutron

When both the USA and the neutron bomb development at the

as secretary of defense.

The development of the neutron

The fact that the Soviet Union

increases the number of dollars that flow out of this country. It is needless to say that continued borrowing for military development, an industry which has in the first place a negative effect on the economy, can only add to Canada's huge deficit of \$12 billion.

A study by Dale Martin entitled: The Economy Benefits from Utilization of Funds Intended for Military Use in Canada, looks at the negative effect of military development in social and economic terms.

According to the report, if we were to cut \$1 billion from the defense budget and direct the money towards the construction of affordable housing we could create 50,000 to 83,000 new jobs and make thousands of homes and apartments for Canadians.

The study also shows how the military can easily be converted to a system which would be benefifial socially and economically. Diversification through avionics, electronics, computers, industrial machinery, telecommunications and mass transit would sustain most jobs presently in the military and create even more jobs.

3) Deterence and security mutual terror and the escalation of mutual terror is the path to peace. This is a favorite of the war mongers, however, it also has no historical backing. If anything, history proves it wrong. The logic is not even worth discussing if we seriously consider ourselves civili-