

the requirements of domestic law” which appears in various articles of the Draft Convention. The following is a detailed account of the votes taken in the Committee showing in each case how Canada voted.

Article 1

Pakistan proposal to delete the remainder of the Article commencing with the words “provided these offences are committed for purposes of gain”.

Vote: For 22, against 15 (including Canada), abstentions 5.

Article 1 as a whole as amended:—For 35 (including Canada), against 5 (including United Kingdom), abstentions 2.

Article 2

Chairman’s proposal to add “or rents” after “lets” in sub-paragraph (b).

Vote: For 31 (including Canada), against 2 (U.S.A. and U.K.), abstentions 7.

Article 2 as a whole as amended:—For 41 (including Canada), against 0, abstentions 1.

Article 3

Amendment to delete “subject to the requirements of domestic law”.

Vote: For 23 (including Canada, U.S.A. and U.K.), against 1, abstentions 17.

Article 3 as a whole as amended:—For 33 (including Canada), against 1, abstentions 8 (including U.S.A.).

Article 5

Vote: For 51 (including Canada), against 0, abstentions 0.

Article 6

Vote: For 36 (including Canada), against 3, abstentions 10.

Several amendments all opposed by Canada were defeated.

Article 14

Vote: For 47 (including Canada), against 0, abstentions 2.

Article 15

Vote: For 48 (including Canada), against 0, abstentions 1.

Article 17

Afghanistan amendment to substitute “take *and* encourage” for “take *or* encourage”.

Vote: For 13, against 25 (including Canada), abstentions 7.

U.K.-New Zealand amendment to add after the word “rehabilitation” the words “and social adjustment of victims of prostitution and of the offences referred to in this Convention”.

Vote: For 43 (including Canada), against 0, abstentions 7.

Article 17 as a whole as amended:—For 47 (including Canada), against 0, abstentions 3.