

BARRISTERS, SOLICITORS, &c.
Urquhart, Urquhart & Wilkie
 Barristers, Solicitors, Conveyancers.
 Money to Loan.
 MEDICAL COUNCIL BUILDING,
 157 Bay St. Toronto. Phone 1870
 D. URQUHART THOS. URQUHART
 GEO. WILKIE.

E. A. FORSTER
 Barrister
MANNING CHAMBERS,
 DENTISTS

DR. JORDAN, L. D. S.
 DENTIST
 Hours: 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.
 Office & Residence: Cor. QUEEN & EATHERS STS.
 Ph. No. 1383. Over Dominion Bank.

J. B. LeROY & CO.
 REAL ESTATE BROKERS
 FIRE AND LIFE UNDERWRITERS
 710 Queen St. East

MARRIAGE LICENCES
J. B. KING
 Issuer of Marriage Licenses
 OFFICE: 63 & 64 Canada Life Bldg.
 Residence: 54 St. Mary St.

HOUSES FOR SALE
 \$275 BUILT UP IN FIVE
 roomed houses, \$25 per week
 for balance; rents, \$12.00
 each, side entrance, cellar, new
 MERRITT A. BROWN, Barrister,
 Opposite East Gate, Osgoode Hall

HOTELS
F. HALL T. DOWNEY
 PHONE MAIN 2515

THE STAG HOTEL
 N. E. COR. KING & YORK STS.
 TORONTO.

Volunteer Hotel
 JAMES FAWCETT, Proprietor
 240 Queen St. West, Toronto
 Union Bartenders and
 Union Clgars only.

The Broadway Hotel
 Cor. Spadina Ave. and Adelaide St.
 THE BEST WET GOODS IN TOWN.
DONN BROWN, Prop.
 The Blue Button behind the Bar

Theatre Patrons
J. J. McCAFERY
 (Day Tree Hotel)
 Quick service. Four Bartenders and Curtain
 Pull.
 Only Union Goods on Sale.

The New Carlton
 HOTEL AND CAFE
 Cor. Yonge & Richmond Sts.
 American and European Plan. Choice Wines,
 Liquors and Cigars. First Class Lunch
 and Dining Room in connection.
FRED TREMBLE, ALF. FINKHAM,
 Proprietors.

The Alexandra Hotel
 102 QUEEN WEST
JAS. E. MELRICK, Proprietor
 The Cheapest of Everything in Wet Goods, Dry
 Goods, Etc.

THE OFFICE
 Opposite West Side of CITY HALL
WM. HASSARD, Proprietor
 The best liquors served by Blue Button
 Men.

D. WARD
 Pawnbroker 104 Adelaide St. E.
 Money to Loan on all classes of personal property.
 Old gold and silver bought and exchanged.

B. CAIRNS
 LEADER IN
 RUBBER STAMPS
 SEALS, Etc.
 10 KING WEST, Toronto
 We are the only Union Rubber
 Stamp Works in Canada.

Drink Once! Drink Always
Cosgrave's

ALE! ALE!
XXX PORTER
HALF and HALF

Consumers pronounce our ALE unsurpassed
 for purity and taste.
 Everybody who drinks it says our XXX PORTER
 is strength, body and lasting maintaining effect,
 as well as in palatableness, has no equal
 among the best imported stout or porter. Phy-
 sicians recommend and patients gladly drink it.
 Our HALF and HALF is both in this and
 in being the only one of its kind. It is a de-
 lightful drink—beneficial and satisfying.

COSGRAVE
 Brewing Company, Toronto
 Ask all dealers and
 stockholders. Telephone Park 110

Official Organ of the Toronto District
 Labor Council

THE TOILER

Published Weekly in the Interests of
 the Workers.

50 CENTS A YEAR

THE TOILER PUBLISHING CO.
 LIMITED
 971 Adelaide St. West, Toronto
 JAS. WILSON, Manager.

Advertising Rates on Application.
 Copy for the reading columns of the paper should
 be addressed to the Editor.
 Efforts to ensure publication COPY for Ad-
 vertisements should be at this office no later
 than Wednesday noon.

TORONTO, AUGUST 28, 1903.

TRUTHFUL EMPLOYERS.

A circular is going the rounds and
 reaching the hands of those in search of
 work. It displays the advantages of this
 country to the worker looking for a job.
 The truth of it would no doubt be
 vouched for by the Employers' Association,
 but it would be stretching our consciences
 too much to give our sanction to saying that
 it is the truth. We give it to our readers,
 and leave it to them to decide the merits of
 the case for themselves.

A number of the foundries in the
 city of Toronto are in need of competent
 moulders for machinery and jobbing
 work to take the place of strikers who
 went out some time ago.

The foundries in Toronto are ex-
 ceptionally well situated, have nice shops,
 and a nice class of work, one of them
 employing about 100 moulders when run-
 ning to its full capacity.

There are a good many moulders there
 now from different parts of the country,
 to whom the foundrymen are paying \$2.75
 per day, in addition to a bonus of \$1.25
 per day, making a total of \$4.00 per day,
 and this rate will be continued for some
 time to come. As to workmen, would
 say they are paying \$2.25 per day in
 wages, and \$1.00 in bonus, making a
 total of \$3.25 per day.

"The laws against picketing and unlaw-
 ful interference with the right of an in-
 dividual to earn his living in any man-
 ner he may wish are rigidly enforced in
 Canada. It is no more difficult to make any
 definite promise in a communication, but
 there can be no doubt but that these
 concerns will be employing moulders for
 many weeks to come, and anyone who
 receives this letter should be assured that
 they will not be discriminated against if
 they apply for employment.

"If you should happen to go to Tor-
 onto, would suggest that you call upon the
 Toronto Employers' Association, at the
 Victoria Arcade Building, No. 18 Victoria
 street, and ask for Mr. Raplan, who
 will be able to advise you on the names
 of the concerns who are in need
 of men, as they are employing men for
 the members of their association at all
 times."

RALPH SMITH, M. P.
 It was with real regret that the many
 friends of Ralph Smith learned of the
 illness of Mr. Smith. It was his home in
 British Columbia before the termina-
 tion of the session at Ottawa. His
 presence in the House is a tower of
 strength to labor, and his absence in
 view of the possibility of the Beique
 and Loughheed Bills coming before the
 House before the end of the session, will
 be very much felt.

The part played by Mr. Smith in hard-
 ly any other matter, but most of the
 success that crowned the efforts of or-
 ganized labor in opposition to the bill
 was due to the tact, earnestness and firm-
 ness of Mr. Smith. It was he who mar-
 shalled labor's forces before the Sen-
 ate; he made the suggestion that the
 work of Senator Loughheed to help
 to defeat his own measure (a promise
 which was not honored); he suggested
 the outline of the document presented
 to the Senate containing the views of
 labor and that was such approval from
 the Senators; it was his personal influ-
 ence that afforded the attitude of our
 opponents and generally his personal
 worth was a great influence in deter-
 mining the action of many who might
 otherwise have been opposed to the
 bill. Mr. Smith played a member of the
 House of Commons does not appear on
 the surface, and it is fair to say that
 labor never had a more thoroughgoing,
 honest, capable and vigilant representa-
 tive in the House of Commons than the
 honorable member for Vancouver. Rumor
 has it that there is a possibility of his
 transfer from the field of Dominion poli-
 tics to that of the Province of British
 Columbia to assume the leadership of
 one of the parties there. While such a
 move would no doubt add strength to
 the party he would lead, labor would
 regret his absence from the House of
 Commons, but will remain entirely as-
 sured that, no matter where may be the
 scene of his activities, his whole-souled
 sympathy with the cause of labor will
 always make itself manifest.

ARTHUR W. PUTTEE, M. P.
 The most indefatigable attendant in
 the Dominion House of Commons is Ar-
 thur Puttee, the member for Winnipeg.
 Morning, noon and night the honorable
 member is at his desk attending to the
 business of his constituents, and he may
 very properly be designated, so far as
 labor is concerned, the Watchdog of the
 Dominion House. Quiet and reserved as
 his manner is, those who know him recog-
 nize in him a well-informed labor leader,
 an ardent advocate of labor's rights,
 a herald of its duties, a staunch support-
 er of its uplifting, whilst, at the same
 time, an outspoken and fearless critic
 of its opponents, be they government, op-
 position or independent. It is safe to
 say that Mr. Puttee has not missed a
 single sitting of the House since it as-
 sembled, and it is the ever-present rep-
 resentative that gathers the plans in
 Parliament. No measure has been pre-
 sented to the House that has not passed
 the scrutiny of his eye, and amendments
 have been moved by him, bills criti-
 cized and amendments suggested as occasion
 demanded.

and does not that look like an outrage
 on blue blood?
 However, the Marquis of Salisbury had
 some exceptional qualities. While it was
 essentially a boor.
 As an evidence of this, it is said that
 when King Edward was at Hatfield
 House—the Marquis' country seat—on
 one occasion the deceased statesman took
 up a portrait of the King and in his
 presence and hearing remarked, appar-
 ently in an absent minded way, looking at
 it, "I wonder if he is as big a fool as
 he looks!"
 The King told the story himself, so it
 must be true.
 If all this does not constitute great-
 ness, what more would you ask?
 Should more be wanting, you can find
 it in the late Marquis of Salisbury. He
 treated all—or nearly all, even members
 of his Cabinet—as anyone might the
 wooden Indian sign in front of a cigar
 store.
 "But the story of 'his early poverty'
 is really harrowing. How some of us
 would like to be harrowed that way!"

CUT DOWN THE WEEDS.

The majority of citizens endeavor to
 beautify their surroundings by sodding
 and keeping cut and free from weeds at
 least the lawns and boulevards in front
 of their houses, and the pleasing
 effect is becoming more noticeable every
 year. But there are other kinds of citi-
 zens as well as corporate institutions who
 keep the lawns and boulevards in front
 of their houses, and let them run wild with
 weeds of the most pernicious character,
 and when these go to seed they go far to
 destroy or increase the work of those
 who are engaged in beautifying the city.
 These are two facts, simply stated, and they seem to
 call for action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law
 should be enacted requiring every person
 holding property, occupied or vacant, to
 keep the weeds on it cut, or in case of
 failure on the part of the owner, pro-
 viding for their being cut by the civic
 authorities and charging the expense up-
 against the owner. These are two facts,
 simply stated, and they seem to call for
 action from aldermen. A by-law