

The Toronto World

FOUNDED 1890.
A morning newspaper published every day in the year by The World Newspaper Company of Toronto, Limited, H. J. Maclean, Managing Director.
WORLD BUILDING, TORONTO, NO. 40 WEST RICHMOND STREET.
Telephone Calls
Main 5308—Private Exchange connecting all departments.
Branch Office, 16 Main Street East, Hamilton.

will pay for The World for one year, delivered in the City of Toronto, by mail or by express in Canada, Great Britain or the United States, \$2.00.

will pay for The Sunday World for one year by mail to any address in Canada or Great Britain, \$1.00. Postage extra to United States and all other foreign countries.

It will prevent delay if letters containing "subscribers' orders" for papers, complaints, etc., are addressed to the Circulation Department.

The World promises a before 7 o'clock a.m. delivery in any part of the city or suburbs. World subscribers are invited to advise the circulation department in case of late or irregular delivery. Telephone Main 5308.

WEDNESDAY MORNING, JUNE 17.

A SUMMER JOB FOR STATESMEN.

Someone has somewhere said that government and navigation do not go on at the same time in Canada. With the opening of navigation parliament is prorogued, members and ministers leave the capital, and little is heard of the problems of state until the emptying of the Rideau Canal gives warning to Ottawa that parliament is about to assemble. Hence it may be too much to hope that during the parliamentary recess the leading men of both sides of politics will calmly discuss and jointly bring forward an amendment to the constitution which will make our arbitrary and irresponsible senate subject to the people.

The amendment proposed by Mr. W. F. Maclean to the resolution providing for an increase in the senatorial representation of the west received little or no consideration from the party leaders, upon the ground that there was no time to discuss it in the dying days of the session. The prime minister said:

"Fault has been found with the constitution of the senate; many men in parliament have criticized it; and it has been criticized outside of parliament. A system of electing the senate prevails in the United States and in Australia, it has been subjected to the criticism which the hon. member for Edmonton put forward, that, in the final result, that system makes the senate a more powerful body than the lower house, and one cannot be sure that the system is working out with perfect satisfaction either in the United States or elsewhere. For us at the present time, unless the constitution is amended, to attempt to solve the problem to which the hon. member for South York has drawn attention is out of the question."

Sir Wilfrid Laurier immediately followed, and while admitting that both parties were pledged up to the hilt on the subject of senate reform, joined the prime minister in protesting that it was too late in the session to consider the Maclean amendment, and the house was told:

"Whatever merit there may be in the suggestion which has been made, as has been stated by the right hon. prime minister, it is too late in the session to give it consideration, and, besides, the suggestion itself is not at all germane to the question now before us. There is a great deal of force in what was said by the hon. member for North Ontario this forenoon, that part of the constitution relating to the senate, as it was planned and carried out by the Fathers of Confederation in 1867 and 1885, has not met with the same degree of success that has characterized the other parts of their work. I do not think, however, that this is the proper time, in the dying days of a session, to discuss such an important question."

These observations of the right hon. gentlemen had a great deal of force at the time they were delivered, because it was then anticipated that the joint resolution would be immediately passed by both houses and sent post haste to London, thus enabling the imperial parliament to act upon it this summer. But on the very next day the senate flatly refused to concur in the resolution unless it was agreed that the proposed increase in the senatorial representation of the west should not become effective during the lifetime of the present parliament.

Now that the joint resolution has been turned down the demand for haste disappears, and the party leaders have plenty of time to take up and discuss the question of the senate. This question will come up whenever federal affairs are discussed, and it may be the principal subject of discussion at the next Dominion general election, which some think is not far away. But in any event the whole question is before the people and open for discussion. In the hurry of getting thru a joint resolution on the last day of the session the prime minister and the leader of the opposition pleaded with some justification that nothing could be discussed but the propriety of giving the western provinces their proportionate representation in the upper chamber. Now, however, the discussion cannot be so narrow, but must concern itself with the constitutional senate, its powers, and especially the way in which its

SLAM BARROOMS IN OPENING BLAST

Ald. Maybee and W. E. Raney, K.C., Address Voters in Toronto S.W.

PROGRAM IS SWEEPING

Liberal Candidates Attack Opponents as Anti-Temperance Leaders.

The Liberal campaign was practically opened in Toronto Southwest yesterday by Ald. C. H. Maybee, and W. E. Raney, K.C., the Liberal candidates, in the issuing of an address to the voters, in which Hon. J. J. Foy and George H. Gooderham, the Conservative candidates, are referred to as "leaders of anti-temperance wing of the Conservative party in Toronto." It is expected that the address will be amplified at the opening Lib-

eral meeting in the Royal Templars' Hall, Dovercourt road and Queen street west, tonight, when, besides the party nominees, Rev. J. W. Aikens and Robert McKay, K.C., will deliver addresses. Ex-Ald. J. A. Austin will occupy the chair.

The full text of the electoral address of Ald. Maybee and Mr. Raney is as follows:

"The government candidates in Toronto Southwest, Hon. J. J. Foy and George H. Gooderham, are well-known as leaders of anti-temperance wing of the Conservative party in Toronto, and their candidature forces upon the voters of this constituency very pointedly these questions:

"(1) Shall the barrooms dominate politics in Toronto?"

"(2) Shall an anti-temperance party, not only because of their selfish, lawless and debauching influence in our politics?"

"Messrs. Foy and Gooderham have behind them the enthusiastic and undivided support of all the distilleries, breweries, barrooms and liquor shops with their vast wealth and great influence."

"We stand for the total abolition of the 110 Toronto barrooms, and of all club licenses, with power to the municipalities, by local option bylaws, to abolish the 225 liquor shops in the province by a majority vote."

"Nowhere else in the province is the issue so clear-cut as in Southwest Toronto. There is no doubt or uncertainty in the attitude of Mr. Foy or Mr. Gooderham. There is no doubt or uncertainty in ours."

"Men of Southwest Toronto, this is not our fight; it is yours. The barroom is looking after their interests. Will you look after yours and your boys?"

"The city council is taking a business view of the case, realizing that the mayor's proposals are the only clean-up plan in sight, to acquire all the franchises within the city limits, or that may come within the city limits, to unify all the various systems, private and civic; and to give a one-fare journey from any one part of the city to any other part. This is the straight business policy. All others are worthless limitations."

"ET TU BRUTE." The dukes and other "Die Hards" in the house of lords must be deeply grieved, not to say flabbergasted, by the desertion of Sir John Willison. That gentle courtier is objecting to the Dominion Senate vetoing bills passed by the popular chamber. He is preaching the outrageous and ridiculous doctrine that the people should rule.

Of course, this sort of thing will not do. If we once admit that the supreme authority in the state should be in the people and in their duly elected representatives, you upset the whole system which the dukes and the "Die Hards" are fighting to preserve. The true doctrine, of course, is that some irresponsible chamber should have power to deny the will of the people as expressed by the house of commons.

AT OSGOOD HALL

June 16, 1914.
ANNOUNCEMENTS.
Motions set down for single court for Wednesday, 17th inst., at 11 a.m.:
1. Laird v. Restorick.
2. Merriam v. Kenderline.
3. Re Crowe Estate.
4. Re Cedar Rapids M. and P. Co.
5. Re Hoffman and Griesman.
6. Barnett v. Wood.

CIRCUIT LISTS.

The Hon. the Chief Justice of the King's Bench.
Sept. 15—Sault Ste. Marie, both.
Sept. 21—Port Arthur, jury.
Sept. 28—Toronto, non-jury.
Oct. 5—London, weekly court.
Oct. 12—Kenora, both.
Oct. 19—Toronto, weekly court.
Oct. 26—Ottawa, weekly court.
Oct. 27—Hallebury, both.
Nov. 3—Barnett, non-jury.
Nov. 10—Warkenton, jury.
Nov. 17—Unassigned.
Nov. 24—St. Thomas, non-jury.
Nov. 30—Toronto, non-jury.
Dec. 5—London, weekly court.
Dec. 12—Ottawa, non-jury.
Dec. 19—Toronto, weekly court.

The Hon. Mr. Justice Kelly.

Sept. 14—Toronto, non-jury.
Sept. 19—London, weekly court.
Sept. 21—Unassigned.
Sept. 28—Toronto, weekly court.
Oct. 5—Ottawa, weekly court.
Oct. 10—Brookville, jury.
Oct. 20—Parry Sound, both.
Oct. 26—Hamilton, jury.
Nov. 2—Hamilton, jury.
Nov. 10—Peterboro, non-jury.
Nov. 16—Toronto, non-jury.
Nov. 23—Unassigned.
Nov. 30—Toronto, weekly court.
Dec. 6—North Bay, non-jury.
Dec. 13—Ottawa, weekly court.
Dec. 15—Warkenton, non-jury.

The Hon. Mr. Justice Lennex.

Sept. 15—Unassigned.
Sept. 21—Toronto, non-jury.
Sept. 28—London, weekly court.
Sept. 29—Whitby, both.
Oct. 5—Toronto, weekly court.
Oct. 12—Perth, both.
Oct. 17—Ottawa, weekly court.
Oct. 20—Bracebridge, both.
Oct. 26—London, jury.
Nov. 3—Unassigned.
Nov. 17—Brantford, non-jury.
Nov. 24—Toronto, non-jury.
Dec. 1—Kingston, non-jury.
Dec. 8—Sudbury, non-jury.
Dec. 15—Unassigned.
Dec. 18—Ottawa, weekly court.

Master's Chambers.

Before J. A. C. Cameron, Master.
Re Solicitors—G. T. Walsh, for solicitor, obtained order for service of appointment to tax bill out of jurisdiction.
Standard Bank v. Main—Halkier (McWhinney & Co.), for defendant, obtained leave to issue third party notice on party in London, England. Time for appearance limited to 21 days. Costs in cases as between defendant and third party.

Before Middleton, J.
Royal Bank v. Smith—S. H. Bradford, K.C., for defendants, Smith and Fuddcombe, S. F. Washington, K.C., for Remko, third party. Third party proceeding by defendants to recover from P. W. Remko \$1220.50, being amount of judgment recovered by Royal Bank against defendants and which they contend third party is liable for. The third party counter-claimed against defendants. Judgment: Claim of defendants against third party dismissed and judgment against defendant-Remko, counter-claim of third party for \$1220.50, and against Smith for \$647.55 further, and declaring that upon payment by Remko of the bank's judgment the bank's liability against defendants for the amount remaining due thereon after giving credit for the proceeds of the sale of the Trusts and Guarantees stock, and for any other sums realized from or paid by the defendants. Third party to have judgment against them for costs, for costs through. Ten days stay.

Before Middleton, J.
Herries v. Fletcher—G. L. Staunton, K.C., and J. G. Farmer, K.C., for plaintiff, S. F. Fletcher, for defendant. Action by widow claiming from executors of John Fletcher, deceased, a sum alleged to have been promised to her by said John Fletcher if she would act as his housekeeper until his death, and for damages for sale of chattels claimed to be plaintiffs. Defendants counterclaimed. Judgment: Action dismissed without costs. Counter-claim dismissed without costs.

Before Middleton, J.
Cock v. Decker—W. Nesbitt, K.C., and A. M. Stewart for plaintiff, E. F. B. Johnston, K.C., and H. McKay, K.C., for defendant. Action by A. E. Cock, on behalf of himself and all other shareholders other than the individual defendants against defendants for order compelling them to pay to plaintiffs for Toronto Construction Co. of a certain contract between Dominion Construction Co. and C. J. Decker, for construction of Shore Line and for other relief. Judgment: Action dismissed with costs. Thirty days stay.

Before Lennex, J.
Robinet v. Marett—F. D. Davis (Windsor) for plaintiff, H. Rud (Windsor) for defendant. Action for specific performance of contract or agreement for sale of land, and for an order compelling defendant to convey lands in question, or in the alternative, damages. Judgment: There will be judgment dismissing the action, without costs and for the plaintiff for specific performance in the usual form without costs. Interest will be allowed to defendant, 7 per cent, to date of this judgment. Stay of 20 days.

Before Lennex, J.
Maroon v. Coleridge—D. L. McCarthy, K.C., for plaintiff, H. Wilson, K.C., for defendant. Action for one-third of the profits claimed to be plaintiff's proportion on sale of part of lot No. 84, Sandwich Beach, Essex. Judgment: Let judgment be entered for defendant dismissing the action with costs.

Before Lennex, J.
Kinsman v. Township of Mersea—M. Wilson, K.C., and W. T. Beaton (Leamington) for plaintiff, J. H. Rodd (Windsor) for defendant. Action by John Kinsman, on behalf of himself and wife, to recover \$5000 damages for death of his son, John B. Kinsman, caused by being thrown into a ditch beside road in the township, on which plaintiff was driving. Judgment: Let judgment be entered for plaintiff for \$400 damages with costs, and for \$100 to plaintiff personally and \$400 to his wife, the costs, if any, incurred by plaintiff not recovered to be borne pro rata by the shares of each. Stay of 30 days.

Appellate Division.
Before Mutch, C.J., Magee, J.A.; Riddell, J.; Gribble, J.; H. H. Dewar, K.C., and W. A. McMaster for defendant, H. H. Dewar, K.C., and E. F. Coatsworth for plaintiff. Appeal by defendants from judgment of Latchford, J., of March 25, 1914. Action by the Campbell Flour Mills Co. against the architects and contractors in connection with erection of a reinforced concrete feed mill and elevator, to recover \$40,000 damages for alleged material defects in said building, which will require to be demolished and re-erected. At trial judgment was awarded plaintiffs against all four defendants for \$19,500 and costs, subject to certain deductions as to which there was a reference to the master in ordinary. Appeal argued. Judgment reserved.

TO CAMP AT PETAWAWA.

KINGSTON, June 16.—The officers of the 14th Regiment have completed arrangements to go in camp at Petawawa, from June 21 to 25.

THE TORONTO WORLD

June 16, 1914.
ANNOUNCEMENTS.
Motions set down for single court for Wednesday, 17th inst., at 11 a.m.:
1. Laird v. Restorick.
2. Merriam v. Kenderline.
3. Re Crowe Estate.
4. Re Cedar Rapids M. and P. Co.
5. Re Hoffman and Griesman.
6. Barnett v. Wood.

CIRCUIT LISTS.

The Hon. the Chief Justice of the King's Bench.
Sept. 15—Sault Ste. Marie, both.
Sept. 21—Port Arthur, jury.
Sept. 28—Toronto, non-jury.
Oct. 5—London, weekly court.
Oct. 12—Kenora, both.
Oct. 19—Toronto, weekly court.
Oct. 26—Ottawa, weekly court.
Oct. 27—Hallebury, both.
Nov. 3—Barnett, non-jury.
Nov. 10—Warkenton, jury.
Nov. 17—Unassigned.
Nov. 24—St. Thomas, non-jury.
Nov. 30—Toronto, non-jury.
Dec. 5—London, weekly court.
Dec. 12—Ottawa, non-jury.
Dec. 19—Toronto, weekly court.

The Hon. Mr. Justice Kelly.

Sept. 14—Toronto, non-jury.
Sept. 19—London, weekly court.
Sept. 21—Unassigned.
Sept. 28—Toronto, weekly court.
Oct. 5—Ottawa, weekly court.
Oct. 10—Brookville, jury.
Oct. 20—Parry Sound, both.
Oct. 26—Hamilton, jury.
Nov. 2—Hamilton, jury.
Nov. 10—Peterboro, non-jury.
Nov. 16—Toronto, non-jury.
Nov. 23—Unassigned.
Nov. 30—Toronto, weekly court.
Dec. 6—North Bay, non-jury.
Dec. 13—Ottawa, weekly court.
Dec. 15—Warkenton, non-jury.

The Hon. Mr. Justice Lennex.

Sept. 15—Unassigned.
Sept. 21—Toronto, non-jury.
Sept. 28—London, weekly court.
Sept. 29—Whitby, both.
Oct. 5—Toronto, weekly court.
Oct. 12—Perth, both.
Oct. 17—Ottawa, weekly court.
Oct. 20—Bracebridge, both.
Oct. 26—London, jury.
Nov. 3—Unassigned.
Nov. 17—Brantford, non-jury.
Nov. 24—Toronto, non-jury.
Dec. 1—Kingston, non-jury.
Dec. 8—Sudbury, non-jury.
Dec. 15—Unassigned.
Dec. 18—Ottawa, weekly court.

Master's Chambers.

Before J. A. C. Cameron, Master.
Re Solicitors—G. T. Walsh, for solicitor, obtained order for service of appointment to tax bill out of jurisdiction.
Standard Bank v. Main—Halkier (McWhinney & Co.), for defendant, obtained leave to issue third party notice on party in London, England. Time for appearance limited to 21 days. Costs in cases as between defendant and third party.

Before Middleton, J.
Royal Bank v. Smith—S. H. Bradford, K.C., for defendants, Smith and Fuddcombe, S. F. Washington, K.C., for Remko, third party. Third party proceeding by defendants to recover from P. W. Remko \$1220.50, being amount of judgment recovered by Royal Bank against defendants and which they contend third party is liable for. The third party counter-claimed against defendants. Judgment: Claim of defendants against third party dismissed and judgment against defendant-Remko, counter-claim of third party for \$1220.50, and against Smith for \$647.55 further, and declaring that upon payment by Remko of the bank's judgment the bank's liability against defendants for the amount remaining due thereon after giving credit for the proceeds of the sale of the Trusts and Guarantees stock, and for any other sums realized from or paid by the defendants. Third party to have judgment against them for costs, for costs through. Ten days stay.

Before Middleton, J.
Herries v. Fletcher—G. L. Staunton, K.C., and J. G. Farmer, K.C., for plaintiff, S. F. Fletcher, for defendant. Action by widow claiming from executors of John Fletcher, deceased, a sum alleged to have been promised to her by said John Fletcher if she would act as his housekeeper until his death, and for damages for sale of chattels claimed to be plaintiffs. Defendants counterclaimed. Judgment: Action dismissed without costs. Counter-claim dismissed without costs.

Before Middleton, J.
Cock v. Decker—W. Nesbitt, K.C., and A. M. Stewart for plaintiff, E. F. B. Johnston, K.C., and H. McKay, K.C., for defendant. Action by A. E. Cock, on behalf of himself and all other shareholders other than the individual defendants against defendants for order compelling them to pay to plaintiffs for Toronto Construction Co. of a certain contract between Dominion Construction Co. and C. J. Decker, for construction of Shore Line and for other relief. Judgment: Action dismissed with costs. Thirty days stay.

Before Lennex, J.
Robinet v. Marett—F. D. Davis (Windsor) for plaintiff, H. Rud (Windsor) for defendant. Action for specific performance of contract or agreement for sale of land, and for an order compelling defendant to convey lands in question, or in the alternative, damages. Judgment: There will be judgment dismissing the action, without costs and for the plaintiff for specific performance in the usual form without costs. Interest will be allowed to defendant, 7 per cent, to date of this judgment. Stay of 20 days.

Before Lennex, J.
Maroon v. Coleridge—D. L. McCarthy, K.C., for plaintiff, H. Wilson, K.C., for defendant. Action for one-third of the profits claimed to be plaintiff's proportion on sale of part of lot No. 84, Sandwich Beach, Essex. Judgment: Let judgment be entered for defendant dismissing the action with costs.

Before Lennex, J.
Kinsman v. Township of Mersea—M. Wilson, K.C., and W. T. Beaton (Leamington) for plaintiff, J. H. Rodd (Windsor) for defendant. Action by John Kinsman, on behalf of himself and wife, to recover \$5000 damages for death of his son, John B. Kinsman, caused by being thrown into a ditch beside road in the township, on which plaintiff was driving. Judgment: Let judgment be entered for plaintiff for \$400 damages with costs, and for \$100 to plaintiff personally and \$400 to his wife, the costs, if any, incurred by plaintiff not recovered to be borne pro rata by the shares of each. Stay of 30 days.

Appellate Division.

Before Mutch, C.J., Magee, J.A.; Riddell, J.; Gribble, J.; H. H. Dewar, K.C., and W. A. McMaster for defendant, H. H. Dewar, K.C., and E. F. Coatsworth for plaintiff. Appeal by defendants from judgment of Latchford, J., of March 25, 1914. Action by the Campbell Flour Mills Co. against the architects and contractors in connection with erection of a reinforced concrete feed mill and elevator, to recover \$40,000 damages for alleged material defects in said building, which will require to be demolished and re-erected. At trial judgment was awarded plaintiffs against all four defendants for \$19,500 and costs, subject to certain deductions as to which there was a reference to the master in ordinary. Appeal argued. Judgment reserved.

TO CAMP AT PETAWAWA.

KINGSTON, June 16.—The officers of the 14th Regiment have completed arrangements to go in camp at Petawawa, from June 21 to 25.

POISONOUS MATCHES

In less than two years it will be unlawful to buy or to use poisonous white phosphorous matches EVERYBODY SHOULD BEGIN TO USE

EDDY'S NON-POISONOUS

"SESQUI" MATCHES

AND THUS ENSURE SAFETY IN THE HOME.

MICHIE'S

GLEERNAN

Scotch Whisky

A blend of pure Highland malts, bottled in Scotland exclusively for

Michie & Co., Ltd., Toronto

Established 1835

due Huckle before presiding judge in chambers, on June 25.

SPECIAL TABLE D'HOTE

NUMBER BEACH HOTEL

FRESH CAUGHT FISH and Home-killed Chicken served daily. Phone—Park.

M. F. CONNELLY, Mgr.

Before Middleton, J.
Jose v. Fairington, J. King, for defendant, moved for order expediting trial of action. G. Russell for plaintiff. Upon defendant undertaking not to sell property, order made vacating his pendens. Costs in cause.

Before Middleton, J.
Hick v. Palangio—J. Haverson, K.C., for plaintiff, J. H. Haverson, K.C., for defendant, obtained order on consent of attorney-general quashing conviction made by police magistrate at North Bay, on May 4, 1914, for selling liquor without license.

Before Middleton, J.
That.
Royal Bank v. Smith—S. H. Bradford, K.C., for defendants, Smith and Fuddcombe, S. F. Washington, K.C., for Remko, third party. Third party proceeding by defendants to recover from P. W. Remko \$1220.50, being amount of judgment recovered by Royal Bank against defendants and which they contend third party is liable for. The third party counter-claimed against defendants. Judgment: Claim of defendants against third party dismissed and judgment against defendant-Remko, counter-claim of third party for \$1220.50, and against Smith for \$647.55 further, and declaring that upon payment by Remko of the bank's judgment the bank's liability against defendants for the amount remaining due thereon after giving credit for the proceeds of the sale of the Trusts and Guarantees stock, and for any other sums realized from or paid by the defendants. Third party to have judgment against them for costs, for costs through. Ten days stay.

Before Middleton, J.
Herries v. Fletcher—G. L. Staunton, K.C., and J. G. Farmer, K.C., for plaintiff, S. F. Fletcher, for defendant. Action by widow claiming from executors of John Fletcher, deceased, a sum alleged to have been promised to her by said John Fletcher if she would act as his housekeeper until his death, and for damages for sale of chattels claimed to be plaintiffs. Defendants counterclaimed. Judgment: Action dismissed without costs. Counter-claim dismissed without costs.

Before Middleton, J.
Cock v. Decker—W. Nesbitt, K.C., and A. M. Stewart for plaintiff, E. F. B. Johnston, K.C., and H. McKay, K.C., for defendant. Action by A. E. Cock, on behalf of himself and all other shareholders other than the individual defendants against defendants for order compelling them to pay to plaintiffs for Toronto Construction Co. of a certain contract between Dominion Construction Co. and C. J. Decker, for construction of Shore Line and for other relief. Judgment: Action dismissed with costs. Thirty days stay.

Before Lennex, J.
Robinet v. Marett—F. D. Davis (Windsor) for plaintiff, H. Rud (Windsor) for defendant. Action for specific performance of contract or agreement for sale of land, and for an order compelling defendant to convey lands in question, or in the alternative, damages. Judgment: There will be judgment dismissing the action, without costs and for the plaintiff for specific performance in the usual form without costs. Interest will be allowed to defendant, 7 per cent, to date of this judgment. Stay of 20 days.

Before Lennex, J.
Maroon v. Coleridge—D. L. McCarthy, K.C., for plaintiff, H. Wilson, K.C., for defendant. Action for one-third of the profits claimed to be plaintiff's proportion on sale of part of lot No. 84, Sandwich Beach, Essex. Judgment: Let judgment be entered for defendant dismissing the action with costs.

Before Lennex, J.
Kinsman v. Township of Mersea—M. Wilson, K.C., and W. T. Beaton (Leamington) for plaintiff, J. H. Rodd (Windsor) for defendant. Action by John Kinsman, on behalf of himself and wife, to recover \$5000 damages for death of his son, John B. Kinsman, caused by being thrown into a ditch beside road in the township, on which plaintiff was driving. Judgment: Let judgment be entered for plaintiff for \$400 damages with costs, and for \$100 to plaintiff personally and \$400 to his wife, the costs, if any, incurred by plaintiff not recovered to be borne pro rata by the shares of each. Stay of 30 days.

Appellate Division.

Before Mutch, C.J., Magee, J.A.; Riddell, J.; Gribble, J.; H. H. Dewar, K.C., and W. A. McMaster for defendant, H. H. Dewar, K.C., and E. F. Coatsworth for plaintiff. Appeal by defendants from judgment of Latchford, J., of March 25, 1914. Action by the Campbell Flour Mills Co. against the architects and contractors in connection with erection of a reinforced concrete feed mill and elevator, to recover \$40,000 damages for alleged material defects in said building, which will require to be demolished and re-erected. At trial judgment was awarded plaintiffs against all four defendants for \$19,500 and costs, subject to certain deductions as to which there was a reference to the master in ordinary. Appeal argued. Judgment reserved.

TO CAMP AT PETAWAWA.

KINGSTON, June 16.—The officers of the 14th Regiment have completed arrangements to go in camp at Petawawa, from June 21 to 25.

THE TORONTO WORLD

June 16, 1914.
ANNOUNCEMENTS.
Motions set down for single court for Wednesday, 17th inst., at 11 a.m.:
1. Laird v. Restorick.
2. Merriam v. Kenderline.
3. Re Crowe Estate.
4. Re Cedar Rapids M. and P. Co.
5. Re Hoffman and Griesman.
6. Barnett v. Wood.

CIRCUIT LISTS.

The Hon. the Chief Justice of the King's Bench.
Sept. 15—Sault Ste. Marie, both.
Sept. 21—Port Arthur, jury.
Sept. 28—Toronto, non-jury.
Oct. 5—London, weekly court.
Oct. 12—Kenora, both.
Oct. 19—Toronto, weekly court.
Oct. 26—Ottawa, weekly court.
Oct. 27—Hallebury, both.
Nov. 3—Barnett, non-jury.
Nov. 10—Warkenton, jury.
Nov. 17—Unassigned.
Nov. 24—St. Thomas, non-jury.
Nov. 30—Toronto, non-jury.
Dec. 5—London, weekly court.
Dec. 12—Ottawa, non-jury.
Dec. 19—Toronto, weekly court.

The Hon. Mr. Justice Kelly.

Sept. 14—Toronto, non-jury.
Sept. 19—London, weekly court.
Sept. 21—Unassigned.
Sept. 28—Toronto, weekly court.
Oct. 5—Ottawa, weekly court.
Oct. 10—Brookville, jury.
Oct. 20—Parry Sound, both.
Oct. 26—Hamilton, jury.
Nov. 2—Hamilton, jury.
Nov. 10—Peterboro, non-jury.
Nov. 16—Toronto, non-jury.
Nov. 23—Unassigned.
Nov. 30—Toronto, weekly court.
Dec. 6—North Bay, non-jury.
Dec. 13—Ottawa, weekly court.
Dec. 15—Warkenton, non-jury.

The Hon. Mr. Justice Lennex.

Sept. 15—Unassigned.
Sept. 21—Toronto, non-jury.
Sept. 28—London, weekly court.
Sept. 29—Whitby, both.
Oct. 5—Toronto, weekly court.
Oct. 12—Perth, both.
Oct. 17—Ottawa, weekly court.
Oct. 20—Bracebridge, both.
Oct. 26—London, jury.
Nov. 3—Unassigned.
Nov. 17—Brantford, non-jury.
Nov. 24—Toronto, non-jury.
Dec. 1—Kingston, non-jury.
Dec. 8—Sudbury, non-jury.
Dec. 15—Unassigned.
Dec. 18—Ottawa, weekly court.

Master's Chambers.

Before J. A. C. Cameron, Master.
Re Solicitors—G. T. Walsh, for solicitor, obtained order for service of appointment to tax bill out of jurisdiction.
Standard Bank v. Main—Halkier (McWhinney & Co.), for defendant, obtained leave to issue third party notice on party in London, England. Time for appearance limited to 21 days. Costs in cases as between defendant and third party.

Before Middleton, J.
Royal Bank v. Smith—S. H. Bradford, K.C., for defendants, Smith and Fuddcombe, S. F. Washington, K.C., for Remko, third party. Third party proceeding by defendants to recover from P. W. Remko \$1220.50, being amount of judgment recovered by Royal Bank against defendants and which they contend third party is liable for. The third party counter-claimed against defendants. Judgment: Claim of defendants against third party dismissed and judgment against defendant-Remko, counter-claim of third party for \$1220.50, and against Smith for \$647.55 further, and declaring that upon payment by Remko of the bank's judgment the bank's liability against defendants for the amount remaining due thereon after giving credit for the proceeds of the sale of the Trusts and Guarantees stock, and for any other sums realized from or paid by the defendants