

was to be an emblem of that lamb which was thereafter to be slain once for all. Then came the new or Christian worship, which required no priest, because the great High Priest of that dispensation had entered into the Holy of Holies once for all. His sacrifice as was declared alike in the Scriptures and the rubrics had been offered up once for all, and if that is insufficient, there remaineth no other offering for sin.

Archdeacon Palmer announced that they had been instructed by their Lordships to inform the House that they would confer with the House forthwith, and that they appointed this room as the place of meeting.

The Prolocutor then vacated the chair, and their Lordships, on entering, took their seats on the platform.

The Lord Bishop of Huron (the Lower House standing) rose and said: In compliance with the wish which was expressed by the Lower House I have appointed this room in which the proposed Conference between the Upper and the Lower Houses is to take place. I have done so because I thought it would be gratifying to the members of the Lower House that the Conference should take place here.

With reference to the resolutions which were sent down to the Lower House, I would merely say that we have had before us all the petitions which you have had here. All the petitions concerning Ritualism were addressed to us even as they were addressed to you, with the request to dispose of them this session. We therefore thought it would be well that we should take this subject into consideration, and knowing that a discussion had been for several days carried on in the Lower House with reference to this subject, we thought that by taking the subject into consideration, and sending down our opinions on it to the Lower House, it would assist them in coming to a conclusion, which it was earnestly desired they should arrive at in accordance with the prayer of the petitioners. With reference to the message sent down, those who have heard it read will remember that all those things which were established by law and disposed of, we have also fully adopted and most cordially received. But the two points which are yet uncertain at home we have mentioned, and mentioned our disapproval of them, and our determination to prevent their introduction into the Church in this country. We thought, in sending this message, that we were acting in accordance with the wishes of those petitioners, and of many in the Lower House who are anxious that this question should be disposed of by the Synod. It grieves me to learn that the message was not received in the spirit in which it was sent. I feel sorry at this, and I feel sure that many of those who, perhaps without fully understanding the motives of