

There is a difference between just giving money away and targeting that money for a specific purpose.

Finally, he gives us the usual discourse on competitiveness that identifies the fault with labour. I find it strange that he did not cite the fact that the real income of ordinary Canadians has gone down since the middle of the seventies, but meanwhile the incomes of good Tory executives have consistently gone up during all that time. Moreover, those high interest rates somehow find their way into the pockets of the same people whose salaries are going up.

Why does Mr. Crow not represent the same model of tolerance of a salary kept at a zero increase so he can fight inflation too? When he does that, I think Canadian workers will maybe begin to listen.

Mr. Soetens: Mr. Speaker, is it not amazing that a member who represents an area of the automotive industry can cry foul that the automotive industry is being ravaged by the free trade agreement? It is interesting that in my riding and adjacent to it in that same two-year period the automotive industry has spent in excess of \$3 billion in creating new jobs, new investment. Maybe the problem is in his riding, not in Canada. I can only suggest that.

Another thing I would add is that obviously the gentleman who has just spoken does not really have much to do with the business community. I came from the business community and that lunch he criticizes, that lunch that I used in promoting business in New York City, he would deny the company access to it. It is funny he did not complain when I created through that business promotion lunch \$60 million worth of trade for Canada in the United States. He thinks that is an unjust expense. Little does he know about the business community and the methods under which it operates.

Business promotion is important. Part of the business promotion aspect is to make sure customers know who you are, where you are, and what the products are that you have to sell. He does not know that, and that is why he would make those negative comments about that particular area.

I should also like to add that last year in one town in my riding, an area that is supposedly being ravaged by the free trade agreement, there were 68 new companies which built new facilities. They were all small businesses. None of them were these large corporate conglomerates he is talking about. They created 360 new jobs in one town in one part of my riding.

Supply

The hon. member can carry on about all the negatives that go on in this country. He ought to travel to some part of Canada where there are some positives which means: just leave his riding and he will see it everywhere.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. DeBlois): It being seven o'clock p.m., it is my duty to interrupt the proceedings and put forthwith every question necessary to dispose of the business of supply, pursuant to Standing Order 81(14).

The House has heard the terms of the motion. Is it the pleasure of the House to adopt the motion?

Some hon. members: Agreed.

Some hon. members: No.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. DeBlois): All those in favour of the motion will please say yea.

Some hon. members: Yea.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. DeBlois): All those opposed will please say nay.

Some hon. members: Nay.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. DeBlois): In my opinion the nays have it.

And more than five members having risen:

The Acting Speaker (Mr. DeBlois): Call in the members.

The House divided on the motion, which was negatived on the following division:

(Division No. 393)

YEAS

Members

Allmand	Arseneault
Assad	Axworthy (Saskatoon—Clark's Crossing)
Bellemare	Berger
Bevilacqua	Black
Blondin	Boudria
Breaugh	Brewin
Caccia	Callbeck
Campbell (South West Nova)	Catterall
Clancy	Comuzzi
Copps	de Jong
Dingwall	Duhamel
Ferguson	Fisher
Flis	Fontana
Foster	Gaffney
Gagliano	Gardiner
Gauthier	Gray (Windsor West)
Guarnieri	Harb
Harvey (Edmonton East)	Hunter
Jordan	Karpoff
Kilger (Stormont—Dundas)	Kilgour (Edmonton Southeast)