

clear indication of why the Government should legislate these people back to work.

Without ever trying to contact me at my office or at home, 50 workers from CUPW showed up in front of my house to intimidate me and my family to the point that my children asked me whether it was safe to go outside. For that reason I believe that this is the correct kind of legislation. When mature adults do not know how to behave and resort to intimidation of people and their families, it is appropriate to put them back to work.

We are not only putting them back to work. We are prepared to appoint a mediator who will become an arbitrator. We are telling the Post Office and its workers that if they want to resolve this problem themselves they are quite welcome to do it with the help of a mediator, but if they want to resort to intimidation of the Canadians who are suffering the most as a result of this, those who lose their mail service, they should expect Parliament to put them back to work. I hope we do it right away.

\* \* \*

● (1640)

## PROCEEDINGS ON ADJOURNMENT MOTIONS

### SUBJECT MATTER OF QUESTIONS TO BE DEBATED

**The Acting Speaker (Mrs. Champagne):** It is my duty, pursuant to Standing Order 66, to inform the House that the questions to be raised tonight at the time of adjournment are as follows: the Hon. Member for York East (Mr. Redway)—Administration of Justice—Recommended television coverage of criminal trials; the Hon. Member for St. John's East (Mr. Harris)—Regional Development—Government projects in St. John's East, Newfoundland/Request for speedy start to projects; and the Hon. Member for Hamilton Mountain (Ms. Dewar)—Public Service—Recognition of principle of wage parity/Adjustment mechanism.

## GOVERNMENT ORDERS

[English]

### POSTAL SERVICES CONTINUATION ACT, 1987

#### MEASURE TO ENACT

The House resumed consideration of the motion of Mr. Cadieux that Bill C-86, an Act to provide for the resumption and continuation of postal services, be read the second time and referred to a legislative committee.

**Mr. Boudria:** Madam Speaker—

**The Acting Speaker (Mrs. Champagne):** Resuming debate. The time for questions and comments has elapsed.

### Postal Services Continuation Act, 1987

**Mr. Boudria:** A Tory asks me a question and does not allow me to answer. That is your sincerity.

**Mr. Epp (Provencher):** It is questions and comments.

**Mr. Boudria:** He asked me a question.

**The Acting Speaker (Mrs. Champagne):** Order, please. The Chair would be prepared to allow one minute for the Hon. Member to answer the question, but the Chair first wants the Hon. Member to withdraw that comment which the Hon. Member has made. It was a comment referring to the Chair and putting in doubt—

[Translation]

**The Acting Speaker (Mrs. Champagne):**—and by casting doubts on the impartiality of the Chair. If—

[English]

**Mr. Boudria:** It was not toward you, Madam Speaker.

**The Acting Speaker (Mrs. Champagne):** If somehow the Chair has misunderstood, I will certainly apologize to the hon. gentleman. However, if what I heard is correct, it should be withdrawn.

**Mr. Boudria:** Madam Speaker, I apologize if you believe that any comment I made was directed toward you. I was addressing myself to the Minister of National Health and Welfare (Mr. Epp) who refused me consent to answer the question.

**Mr. Epp (Provencher):** That does not help.

**Mr. Boudria:** It was not addressed to Madam Speaker. I am severe toward my opponents, but it is not my practice ever to criticize the Chair.

I just want to answer briefly the Hon. Member for St. Boniface (Mr. Duguay). I indicated in the House a few minutes ago that although I support the union in its position, I want to dissociate myself totally from the picketing of homes of Members of Parliament. In my view, M.P.s' homes are one location where Members of Parliament should have privacy. In my view, that is sacred for all of us. They did not picket my home, but the homes of Conservative Members. I said that I consider that kind of activity inappropriate and I want to dissociate myself from anything or anyone who does that to any Member of the House, regardless of their Party.

Notwithstanding that, the proposed law before us is still unjust. As I said in my opening remarks, extreme law is extreme injury. It is not justice, but the denial of it and I stand by that view.

**The Acting Speaker (Mrs. Champagne):** Resuming debate.

**Hon. Doug Lewis (Minister of State and Minister of State (Treasury Board)):** Madam Speaker, the House has been debating this motion for two days now. The House was on notice since last Friday that this matter would be debated