[*Text*]

SECURITY REVIEW—DORCHESTER PENITENTIARY, N.B.

Question No. 1,583—**Mr. Howie:**

Was a major review of security carried out at Dorchester Penitentiary, New Brunswick in relation to identifying the principal reasons for escapes, disturbances, demonstrations and unrest and, if so (a) what are the reasons (b) will or have steps been taken to rectify the situation and, if so, what are they?

Hon. Bob Kaplan (Solicitor General): Yes, there was a major security review undertaken by senior officials at Dorchester Penitentiary, resulting in a general lockup of all inmates, and the development of an implementation plan for reopening later in the fall of 1980.

(a) Some of the factors contributing to the unrest at Dorchester Penitentiary appear to be (i) the settling of accounts amongst inmates, (ii) brew-making by inmates, and the resultant widespread intoxication, and (iii) the need for strengthened management and discipline within the institution.

(b) The phased implementation plan for rectifying the situation consists of conducting an intensive, detailed search of the entire penitentiary, including cell blocks, shops and recreation areas; defining and implementing immediately-required physical security changes, including the reviewing of operational procedures and making amendments as required. In addition, a newly-strengthened management team has been put in place.

DISTURBANCE AT DORCHESTER PENITENTIARY, N.B.

Question No. 1,587—**Mr. Howie:**

Was it necessary to initiate action by an emergency response team to break up a disturbance at Dorchester Penitentiary, New Brunswick, in the month of May, 1980, and, if so, what was the cause of the disturbance?

Hon. Bob Kaplan (Solicitor General): Yes, the institutional emergency response team was deployed to break up a disturbance on May 27, 1980, which had started on one range and quickly spread to another range. The administration had unsuccessfully tried to convince the inmates to return to their cells; however, when the inmates refused, it was considered that positive action was necessary before the situation became uncontrollable. When the institutional emergency response team were seen by the inmates, they returned to their cells peacefully. No injuries were sustained by staff or inmates.

The cause of this disturbance was a show of support and unity with four inmates, two of whom were protesting the fact that they were not returned to their regular cells after being released from dissociation, and two others who were dissatisfied because they had not been included in an interregional transfer which was taking place that day.

DEPARTMENT OF EMPLOYMENT AND IMMIGRATION AUDIT—ONTARIO REGION

Question No. 2,046—**Mr. Cossitt:**

1. Is an audit team presently conducting a special audit or an audit of any kind whatsoever within the Ontario region of the Department of Employment and Immigration and, if so, was it ordered by Mr. David Scott, Director of Finance and, if not, who ordered it and for what reasons?

2. Is Mr. Dan Yanaky, Chief of Internal Control, in charge of the audit and, if not, who is in charge?

3. Is the audit in any way in part due to a suspected misappropriation of funds by public servants or others with particular reference to purchases allegedly made for goods and materials to assist refugees from such places as Vietnam?

4. Was evidence uncovered of financial "kick-backs" to persons in the department or others and, if so, what are all the details?

5. Is the investigation completed and, if not, on what date is it expected that it will be completed?

Hon. Lloyd Axworthy (Minister of Employment and Immigration): 1. There is an Internal Audit Team currently in the Ontario region of CEIC. It was not ordered by Mr. David Scott, Director of Finance. This audit is a routine, planned internal audit that was part of the audit plan for the year 1980-81.

2. No, Mr. N. Nanda from Internal Audit Bureau of the CEIC is in charge of the audit.

3. No.

4. No.

5. The planned internal audit is scheduled to be completed on or about Monday, March 16, 1981.

DND—ACQUISITION OF LAND

Question No. 2,088—**Mr. Herbert:**

During the past ten years, by province, what is the value of any land that did not already belong to the Crown that was acquired for use by the Department of National Defence?

Mrs. Ursula Appolloni (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of National Defence): The value of lands that did not already belong to the Crown and was acquired for use by the Department of National Defence for the period January 1, 1971, to December 31, 1980, is as follows:

	Cost
Newfoundland	$ 1,291,666.00*
Prince Edward Island	5,000.00
Nova Scotia	43,490.00
New Brunswick	Nil
Quebec	219,765.00
Ontario	115,203.00
Manitoba	36,052.00
Saskatchewan	3,700.00
Alberta	40,807.66
British Columbia	1,174,356.00
Northwest Territories	49,000.00
	$ 2,979,039.66

* The purchase in Newfoundland included 156 permanent married quarters.

BILINGUALISM—PUBLIC SERVICE

Question No. 2,134—**Mr. Herbert:**

Does the government consider that a unilingual person occupying a designated bilingual position has an indefinite protection in that position and (a) if not, under what conditions can the employee be transferred (b) if so, what alternative means are utilized to ensure an adequate service in both official languages?