COMPANY TAKEOVERS

Question No. 3,957-Mr. Nystrom:

1. In the takeover of H. Bernard (Canada) Ltd. of West Hill by Tonolli Co. of Canada., Mississauga, approved by the Foreign Investment Review Agency, what percentage of control will Tonolli acquire?

2. What is the total and/or per share price of the transaction, including the value of share transfers or other considerations?

3. Who were the principal shareholders of H. Bernard Ltd. and what control, if any, will they retain in the company?

4. Who are the principal shareholders of Tonolli Co. and what are their holdings in the company?

5. Does Tonolli Co. control and/or partially own, directly or indirectly, any other businesses in Canada and, if so, in each case, what are the holdings by per cent of control and value?

6. What will be the effect of the takeover on (a) the level and nature of economic activity in Canada (b) resource processing in Canada (c) utilization of parts components, materials and services produced in Canada (d) exports from Canada (e) productivity, industrial efficiency, technological development, product innovation and product variety in Canada (f) competition within any industry or industries in Canada (g) employment within H. Bernard Ltd. and within the industry?

7. (a) How many persons did H. Bernard Ltd. employ before the takeover (b) how many are presently employed?

8. (a) What are the unions, if any, who represented the employees (b) did they approve or disapprove of the takeover (c) was their opinion sought?

9. What is the degree and significance of participation by Canadians in the business enterprise or new business and in any industry or industries in Canada of which the business enterprise or new business forms or will form?

10. How is the takeover (a) compatible (b) incompatible with national industrial and economic policies, taking into consideration industrial and economic policy objectives of any province likely to be affected by the takeover?

11. What is the significant benefit to Canada of the takeover?

Mr. Marcel Roy (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Industry, Trade and Commerce): See reply to question 3.954.

COMPANY TAKEOVERS

Question No. 3,958-Mr. Nystrom:

1. In the takeover of the flavour division of Liquid Carbonic Canada Ltd. owned by Houston Natural Gas Corp. of Houston by Liquid Flavour Associates of Canada Ltd., jointly owned by Universal Flavor Corp. of Indianapolis and Liquid Carbonic, approved by the Foreign Investment Review Agency, what percentage of control will Liquid Flavour Associates acquire?

2. What is the total and/or per share price of the transaction, including the value of share transfers or other considerations?

3. Who are the principal shareholders of Houston Natural Gas Corp. and how much control will they retain over the affairs of Liquid Flavour Associates?

4. Who are the principal shareholders of Universal Flavor Corp. and what are their holdings in the company?

5. Do (a) Houston National Gas Corp. (b) Universal Flavour Corp. control and/or partially own, directly or indirectly, any other business in Canada and, if so, in each case, what are the holdings by per cent of control and value?

6. What will be the effect of the takeover on the (a) level and nature of economic activity in Canada (b) resource processing in Canada (c) utilization of parts components, materials and services produced in Canada (d) exports from Canada (e) productivity, industrial efficiency, technological development, product innovation and product variety in Canada (f) competition within any industry or industries in Canada (g) employment within the flavour division of Liquid Carbonic and within the industry?

Order Paper Questions

7. (a) How many persons did the flavour division of Liquid Carbonic employ before the takeover (b) how many are presently employed?

8. (a) What are the unions, if any, who represented the employees (b) did they approve or disapprove of the takeover (c) was their opinion sought?

9. What is the degree and significance of participation by Canadians in the business enterprise or new business and in any industry or industries in Canada of which the business enterprise or new business forms or will form?

10. How is the takeover (a) compatible (b) incompatible with national industrial and economic policies, taking into consideration industrial and economic policy objectives of any province likely to be affected by the takeover?

11. What is the significant benefit to Canada of the takeover?

Mr. Marcel Roy (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Industry, Trade and Commerce): See reply to question 3,954.

COMPANY TAKEOVERS

Question No. 3,959-Mr. Nystrom:

1. In the takeover of Stewart Hall Co. of of Mississauga, Ontario, by Cott Beverages Ltd. of Laval, Quebec, a subsidiary of Cott Corp. of New Haven, Connecticut, which is controlled by National Industries of Louisville, Missouri, approved by the Foreign Investment Review Agency, what percentage of control will Cott acquire?

2. What is the total and/or per share price of the transaction, including the value of share transfers or other considerations?

3. Who were the principal shareholders of Stewart Hall Co. and what control, if any, will they retain in the company?

4. Who are the principal shareholders of National Industries and what are their holdings in the company?

5. Does National Industries control and/or partially own, directly or indirectly, any other businesses in Canada and, if so, in each case, what are the holdings by per cent of control and value?

6. What will be the effect of the takeover on (a) the level and nature of economic activity in Canada (b) resource processing in Canada (c) utilization of parts components, materials and services produced in Canada (d) exports from Canada (e) productivity, industrial efficiency, technological development, product innovation and product variety in Canada (f) competition within any industry or industries in Canada (g) employment within Stewart Hall Co. and within the industry?

7. (a) How many persons did Stewart Hall employ before the takeover (b) how many are presently employed?

8. (a) What are the unions, if any, who represented the employees (b) did they approve or disapprove of the takeover (c) was their opinion sought?

9. What is the degree and significance of participation by Canadians in the business enterprise or new business and in any industry or industries in Canada of which the business enterprise or new business forms or will form?

10. How is the takeover (a) compatible (b) incompatible with national industrial and economic policies, taking into consideration industrial and economic policy objectives of any province likely to be affected by the takeover?

11. What is the significant benefit to Canada of the takeover?

Mr. Marcel Roy (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Industry, Trade and Commerce): See reply to question 3,954.

SOCIAL ASSISTANCE FOR TREATY INDIANS

Question No. 3,983-Mr. Neil:

1. How many Treaty Indians have left the reserve and entered the cities of (a) Vancouver (b) Prince George (c) Prince Rupert (d) Edmonton (e) Calgary (f) Prince Albert (g) Winnipeg (h) Kenora (i) Saskatoon (j) Toronto?