

4. Would all employees be expected to transfer to any new location being considered and, if not (a) what categories of employees would not be expected to move (b) for what reason (c) would they be assured other jobs in the Public Service without a reduction in salary?

5. What is the current annual budget for this Branch and what portion is allotted for salaries?

Hon. J. J. Greene (Minister of Energy, Mines and Resources): 1. A study has been underway for several months in the Department of Energy, Mines and Resources in an attempt to determine how the Department might best fulfill its scientific and regulatory roll in Eastern Canada and along the Atlantic Margin. In view of recent redistribution of functions within departments no plans could be definitely formulated. One of many proposals under consideration involves the transfer of some staff concerned with eastern activities on the Crustal Geology Division to the east coast. (a) The only location so far considered is at the Bedford Institute, Dartmouth, Nova Scotia. (b) Such a move is being considered to coordinate departmental activities related to increased offshore exploration. (c) Hopefully before the start of the next fiscal year.

2. (a) Two scientists of the Section (the "Branch" referred to in the question) were previously located at the Bedford Institute. (b) One scientist resigned and the second transferred to Ottawa in 1968. (c) The scientist concerned required a closer association with his scientific colleagues and better access to the scientific services provided in Ottawa.

3. Twelve continuing positions including nine scientists and seven man-years of casual employees, mainly summer students in geology.

4. According to the one proposal all would transfer.

5. \$277,000 of which \$228,000 is for salaries and wages.

Mr. Korchinski: Is No. 606 not to be answered, Mr. Speaker?

Mr. Jerome: Mr. Speaker, on the previous occasion when questions on the Order Paper were considered the hon. member who has asked question No. 606 made a similar inquiry. He has referred to the question again today. May I say briefly that the Canadian Wheat Board regrets the delay in answering the hon. member's question. The question is rather involved and the reply warrants extensive research. However, the reply is in the final stages of preparation and I will do my utmost to give the matter priority and see if we cannot bring down the answer on Monday next.

* * *

QUESTIONS PASSED AS ORDERS FOR RETURNS

NATIONAL CAPITAL COMMISSION—LAND OUTSIDE CITY OF OTTAWA

Question No. 272—**Mr. Papproski:**

1. What lands and properties are (a) owned (b) leased (c) administered by the National Capital Commission in the Capital region outside the City of Ottawa?

Orders for Returns

2. Of the lands owned, which were acquired by (a) sale (b) expropriation?

3. Of those lands acquired by sale or expropriation, when were transfers made and, what was the price (a) per acre for land (b) per square foot for property?

• Return tabled.

PRICE INCREASE REPORTS RECEIVED BY PRICES AND INCOMES COMMISSION TO OCTOBER, 1970

Question No. 289—**Mr. Orlikow:**

1. How many price increases have been brought to the attention of the Prices and Incomes Commission to the end of October 1970?

2. How many price increases or changes have been reported by companies, and how many have been reported by private individuals?

3. For each price increase report received by the Commission (a) what was the result of the initial investigation (b) what criteria were used in the evaluation of these price increases?

4. Of the total number of price increase reports received by the Commission (a) how many have been subjected to a preliminary investigation (b) how many have been subjected to a full-scale price review?

5. What criteria were used by the Commission for undertaking a comprehensive and full-scale price review into the particular price increase announced?

6. What is the breakdown, by product group, of all price increase reports received by the Commission to the end of October, 1970?

• Return tabled.

ADOPTION AND DESIGN OF LOGO SYMBOL

Question No. 420—**Mr. Hales:**

1. On what date was the logo adopted by the Department of (a) Consumer and Corporate Affairs (b) Industry, Trade and Commerce (c) Labour (d) Manpower and Immigration (e) National Health and Welfare?

2. Was it designed by the Department or an outside consultant?

3. If it was designed by a consultant, what was the fee charged?

• Return tabled.

• (2:10 p.m.)

Mr. Howard (Skeena): Starred question No. 40, relating to the so-called information leak in regard to the interim report of the LeDain commission, has been on the Order Paper since October 8, and the leak occurred some time last spring. I raised this matter before Christmas. At that time either the minister or his parliamentary secretary said they would look into it and provide an answer. Would they mind taking another look?

Mr. Jerome: I will certainly undertake to do that and make a statement about it on the next day.