## HIGHWAY OVERPASSES ANTIGONISH-WEST-VILLE, N.S.

Question No. 1,229-Mr. Armstrong:

Are any highway overpasses planned between Antigonish and Westville?

**Mr. Deschatelets:** No, no correspondence has been received from the province of Nova Scotia relative to further proposed overpasses between Antigonish and Westville.

> HIGHWAY OVERPASS, ROUTE 7 AND ANTIGONISH BYPASS, N.S.

## Question No. 1,230-Mr. Armstrong:

Was an overpass proposed at the juncture of route 7 and the Antigonish bypass?

**Mr. Deschatelets:** No, no correspondence has been received from the province of Nova Scotia relative to an overpass at the juncture of route 7 and the Antigonish bypass.

TRANS-CANADA HIGHWAY SAFETY STANDARDS

#### Question No. 1,236—Mr. Armstrong:

Has the federal government any jurisdiction over safety standards on the trans-Canada highway?

Mr. Deschatelets: Yes. The trans-Canada highway agreements jointly signed by the provinces and the federal government, contain ruling dimensions for curvature, gra-dient, sight distances and bridges for the highway. With the exception of the portion of the trans-Canada highway through the national parks, regulations pertaining to safety standards, such as signs, speed limit, lighting requirements, vehicle dimensions, etc., are the responsibility of the province concerned and are generally contained in the relevant provincial highway traffic act. In the case of the sections of the highway through the national parks, safety regulations are the responsibility of the Department of Northern Affairs and National Resources.

## QUESTION PASSED AS ORDER FOR RETURN

#### PRINTING CONTRACTS

Question No. 970-Mr. Pascoe:

1. How many contracts for printing have been let by the (a) printing bureau (b) Department of Industry, from April 22, 1963 to date?

2. How many of said contracts were over \$500? 3. What are the names of all companies or individuals who did such printing, and the amount of each contract?

Return tabled.

### MOTIONS FOR PAPERS

Mr. J. B. Stewart (Parliamentary Secretary offence, since the Canadia to Secretary of State): Mr. Speaker, mo- the adoption of two flags.

# Motions for Papers

tions Nos. 124 and 126 are acceptable to the government. Motion No. 121 is acceptable subject to the reservation that it be limited to correspondence which the department can identify in their files and which was not submitted in confidence.

Notwithstanding the offensive way in which motion No. 125 is formulated, it will be accepted. I ask that motion No. 123 be allowed to stand.

Mr. Diefenbaker: What is offensive about it?

Mr. MacNaught: The wording.

**Mr. Speaker:** Subject to the reservation expressed by the hon. member, is motion No. 121 agreed to?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Hon. Théogéne Ricard (St. Hyacinthe-Bagot): Mr. Speaker, I rise on a question of privilege. Last Monday I asked the Minister of Labour when he expected to be giving me an answer to a question I had handed in in my name, and he answered that he was going to give it to me today. I find today that I have no answer, so I want to point out this is an urgent matter and I would like to have an answer as soon as possible.

**Mr. Speaker:** One moment, please. I am a little in doubt about motion No. 123. Motion No. 123 is to stand?

**Mr.** Pickersgill: On a point of order, Mr. Speaker, was it not understood that if the hon. member for Antigonish-Guysborough (Mr. Stewart) indicated that the motions were acceptable, then they were passed and they did not have to be called separately?

**Mr. Speaker:** Yes, that is my understanding. [*Translation*]

Mr. L. J. Pigeon (Joliette-L'Assomption-Montcalm): I rise on a question of privilege, Mr. Speaker.

Notice of motion for production of papers No. 125 reads as follows:

That an order of the house do issue for a copy of all telegrams received by the Prime Minister since the introduction of the proposed resolutions concerning the two flags and the two anthems appearing on the order paper as items 44 and 45.

The hon. member answers: "That was an offensive question". I do not think the question is such as to offend the Prime Minister and I am convinced it would be in the public interest to have those photostat copies produced in the house. Only the protests received by the Prime Minister constitute an offence, since the Canadian people are against the adoption of two flags.