

will be asked to declare who is a resident and who is a non-resident, what is the value, and so on. If I have to swallow that I want to know the reason why. We are sent here to find out who wrote this section 32. We should take section 32 and examine it word by word. Who is a resident? If you look up the interpretation on page 3 you will find that a non-resident is defined as a person other than a resident. Did you ever hear of such a play on words? A non-resident is any person other than a resident.

What is domicile? I should like to know who wrote section 32. I tried to find out in a respectful manner, but I could not. I know the two gentlemen sitting in front of the minister only for their reputation as good men and able officials. I have nothing to say about our expert staffs because they all did good work during the war. They represent in peace what I would call a bureaucratic staff. The minister and the deputy minister and all ministers are tied up to bureaucrats; they are bound and gagged. If this section is to pass we might just as well go home and let the experts in front of the minister decide the liberty of the subject under section 32 and everything we are talking about to-day.

Under section 32 you were going to have a permit from the bureaucrats. Every minister is getting a permit system. The minister of health has it. I asked him what could be done about certain permits and he said he would have to consult the provinces, if you please. It is getting so bad that the hon. member for New Westminster will have to consult the provinces in order to be able to play the bagpipes. Everybody has to get a permit. Soon we shall all have to have permits; we shall have to bow down and worship these bureaucrats. This is not real Liberalism. As I said the other day, there is no real Liberal on the government benches except the hon. member for Temiscouata. He is wearing the school tie and the mantle of Sir Wilfrid Laurier and his principles, and all the others should wear the school tie of real Liberalism. They may have to get permits from the bureaucrats to wear that tie.

The result of this will be that business men will have to appear in the Toronto police court before a lay or legal magistrate. Men who have never been in court before will be dragged before the magistrate and be charged by some representative of the bureaucrats under this section of lack of a permit or for dealings with a non-resident. They do not leave it to the crown attorney; they engage a lot of Liberal lawyers to appear in the police court and charge these men with not having

a permit or not following this section. They are charged with not being residents, in dealing with non-residents, what is real value, what is remuneration, and other matters. I studied this bill from beginning to end last night; I read it three times, and I am surprised that I did not know about it before last night. The business men do not know all about it and I do not know what is going to happen when they do find out about section 32. Who is going to decide who is a resident and a non-resident in order that he may get a permit to do business? The business man will have to get his remuneration in cash or on the counter; he must have it within six months. How are you going to conduct business under those conditions?

There are other sections, but they are all related to this one. The chairman is a lawyer and he knows that you must read the marginal notes, the headlines and the interpretation section into every section. Into section 32 will be read four pages of the interpretation. When you get a permit you have to be paid in six months. What happens then? They go to work and they make every excise officer and every customs officer an officer of the board. Every Tom, Dick and Harry who boards the train at Hamilton bound for the border will be an agent. I do not know what American officers are doing on a train. The United States customs officers are there and the Canadian officers and they will be asking everybody to produce permits because they are officers of the board. There will be deputies all over the country of the bureaucrats who are running this country.

I want to pay a tribute to the civil service, because there are good men there. We have a fine civil service. Some of the men attached to the foreign exchange control board have been in the civil service a long time, and they are not to blame for the mistakes of the administration. However, none of the bureaucrats have had very much training in practical business, or they would not have written in section 32. How in the world can you conduct a retail or wholesale business with this horde of inspectors who under this bill will be inspecting you to death? There will be all the red tape, there will be all these regulations, there will be an army of officials, miles of restrictions, gallons of official red ink and an army of clerks and stenographers. How is any man going to conduct business? I do not know.

We shall have to change our ways. The House of Commons might as well be abolished because we are virtually signing the death knell of parliamentary and responsible government in this country by such acts as these of bureaucratic control. I would rather resign