

NAYS :

Messieurs.

Bain (Soulanges),	Fortin,	O'Brien,
Barker,	Foster,	Orton,
Barnard,	Gault,	Paint,
Bell,	Gordon,	Reid,
Benoit,	Grandbois,	Robertson (Hamilton),
Bergin,	Guillet,	Robertson (Hastings),
Blondeau,	Hall,	Royal,
Bowell,	Hay,	Scott,
Burnham,	Hesson,	Shakespeare,
Burns,	Hickey,	Shanly,
Cameron (Inverness),	Hilliard,	Small,
Campbell (Victoria),	Homer,	Sproule,
Carling,	Hurteau,	Stairs,
Caron (Sir Adolphe),	Ives,	Taschereau,
Chapleau,	Jamieson,	Tassé,
Cochrane,	Jenkins,	Taylor,
Costigan,	Kilvert,	Temple,
Coughlin,	Kranz,	Thompson,
Curran,	Langevin (Sir Hector),	Townshend,
Cuthbert,	Mackintosh,	Tyrwhitt,
Daly,	Macmaster,	Wallace (Albert),
Dawson,	Macmillan (Middlesex),	Wallace (York),
Dickinson,	McDougall (C. Breton),	Ward,
Dodd,	McGreevy,	White (Cardwell),
Dundas,	McLelan,	Wigle,
Everett,	McNeil,	Wood (Brockville),
Farrow,	Moffatt,	Wood (Westm'nd).—82.
Ferguson (Welland),		

Amendment negatived.

Mr. CASEY. It has been already held, on the admission of the hon. member for West Toronto himself, that he had a direct pecuniary interest in this motion, and that he could not vote upon it.

Some hon. MEMBERS. No.

Mr. CASEY. If the hon. gentleman does not make that admission, I insist on his voting.

Mr. SPEAKER. What is the question of order ?

Mr. CASEY. The question of order is this: If the hon. gentleman is not capable of voting on this motion, is he capable of moving it ?

Mr. SPEAKER :

"A member interested in a Bill may take part in a debate thereon, or propose a motion or an amendment in relation thereto."

Motion agreed to, and the House resolved itself into committee.

(In the Committee.)

On section 4,

Mr. WATSON. I move that a clause be added as clause 5 to insert the words in section 1, of 47 Vic., chap. 72, "not farther west than Brandon." That simply places the starting point of this road at a point not farther west than Brandon. As the House is aware, it started at Melbourne, but the Government have seen fit to make the land grant start from Brandon. I wish also to add after the word "council" in the ninth line of the 1st section the words, "and from thence to the town of Rapid City, in the Province of Manitoba." I understood from some members of the Government, and from the promoter of this Bill, that there are no objections to these amendments.

Some hon. MEMBERS. Lost.

Mr. WATSON. I hope the Government will accept these amendments. If they will not, I would like to know the reason why. Hon. members may laugh, but this is a serious matter. This road was started at Melbourne, and was to be run to Rapid City. The road is graded to Rapid City, and I simply want this provision to make sure that the road will

run to that town. It has bonused the road, and it has been waiting for five or six years to get it; and if the Government intend to do what is right for the settlers along that line of railway, they will adopt these amendments. I think the hon. member for North Perth (Mr. Hesson), who knows that country, should use his influence in favor of them. I understood from the promoter of the Bill that if the members of the Government had no objection to them, he had none; and I interviewed the hon. Minister of the Interior, the hon. Minister of Public Works and the hon. Minister of Railways this afternoon, and none of them appeared to give any reason why these amendments should not be made, and I took it for granted that they were favorable to them.

Mr. HESSON. Had the hon. gentleman been with the deputation at the time they visited the Government, he would have learned that the Government determined that the land grant could not be made from Melbourne for the reason that the line ran parallel to the Canadian Pacific Railway, and would be a competitor for at least 20 miles, and therefore it must start from Brandon or some point west. As the hon. gentleman who has just proposed this motion had the audacity or the courage to vote against the Bill altogether, it is rather a strong point for him now to dictate to the Government. I prefer that the matter should be left in the hands of the Government to deal with. I think it is perfectly safe with them.

Mr. WATSON. The hon. member's remarks are quite uncalled for. If I had the audacity to vote for the three months' hoist, I was simply acting on the resolution passed unanimously by the Legislature of Manitoba; and if the hon. gentleman had seen fit to act upon the sentiments of the hon. member of that House who seconded the resolution, he ought to support these provisions I propose. I have no doubt as to what my duty was here to-night; my duty was clear and straight, and I acted upon it. I only hope the present company, seeing the Government has determined to stand by them, will be able to construct the road, and I had hoped from the statements of the hon. Minister of Public Works, as chairman of the Railway Committee, that he would have seen that the present company was in a position to do so before he extended the time.

Amendment negatived.

Bill reported, and read the third time and passed.

REPRESENTATION OF THE N. W. T.—ADDRESS TO HER MAJESTY.

Sir HECTOR LANGEVIN moved that this House do concur in the address of the Senate to His Excellency the Governor General by filling up the blank therein with the word "Commons."

Motion agreed to.

Sir HECTOR LANGEVIN moved :

That a message be sent to the Senate to acquaint their Honors that the House will agree to the address to His Excellency the Governor General praying him to submit the joint address to Her Majesty the Queen, in relation to the representation in the Parliament of Canada of the several Territories forming part thereof, but not included within the limits of any Province.

Motion agreed to.

Sir HECTOR LANGEVIN moved the adjournment of the House.

Motion agreed to; and the House adjourned at 1:55 a.m., Tuesday.