

British American Presbyterian.

Vol. 3.—No. 22.]

TORONTO, CANADA, FRIDAY JULY 10, 1874

[Whole No. 126

Contributors and Correspondents. NOR-WESTERN STATES.

(From our own Correspondents.)

THE SWING TRIAL AND THE CHICAGO PRESS.—DIVISION OF THE PEOPLE.

Permit me a correspondent to offer his congratulations on the fact that Union regulations have taken during the sittings of the Supreme Courts at Ottawa. Difficulties that appeared to be insurmountable in the end of May have been dispelled by the clouds before the brightness of the summer sun, and now all is bright and hopeful. I rejoice in the happy turn, I feel as glad as if I were a member of one of the Churches concerned. It presents me aspects to me, a general and a particular one. In general it is joyful news to hear of scattered and even branches of Presbyterianism anywhere in the world coming together, even though it were at the end of the earth. In particular, so far as this case is concerned, your correspondent happens to have many and warm friends in all the four adjoining Churches, in some of them more than in others, but there are a few at least in each. Therefore his interest in the work goes on to all the more intense. His prayer is that no other cloud may arise to darken the fair prospect. In that case the daughters of Scotland will have out-tipped their old and honored mother in the race, as why should they not?

It is almost too late to refer to the now famous Swing trial of Chicago, the echoes of which are fast dying away, and it will soon cease to be as prominent as it has been. I have no intention, however, of entering on the merits of the case, I merely wish to notice one or two phases of it. In the first place the secular papers so-called gave much space both to the reporting of the whole case and to the discussion of it on its merits. So far as the reporting of the case is concerned there is no room for complaint. On the other hand those of us that are professedly religious men feel that we are under deep obligations to the press of Chicago for such a minute report of the whole proceedings. For good or for evil, the readers of the papers in and around the fast city of the West got a full dose of some of ecclesiastical news. It may be presumed that a pretty large proportion of the readers have not perused as much of that class of reading for a quarter of a century as they have done in the last three months. The same satisfaction cannot be expressed in reference to the discussions that appeared in the daily papers. Whenever the merits of the question were touched on, it was abundantly apparent that the gentlemen who wield the facile pens on all topics that belong to the sphere of the daily paper, and every one knows what room there is for versatility in the breadth and endless variety presented by what is embraced in that sphere, are nevertheless utterly at sea when they propose to discuss that which belongs to the domain of theology. There have been many examples of the same or similar phenomena. When Kaku deals with Painters and Painting he is too all intents and purposes infallible, if he ever fails ordinary mortals are unable to tell. But when he dares to enter on the domain of political economy he becomes as other men, instead of being a god. Sir William Hamilton displayed a similar weak case when he left the charmed circle of Mental Philosophy and presumed to break a lance with Scottish Theologians on the subject of necessity and free will. These gentlemen of the daily press if they were modest enough to acknowledge that they do not know everything, but that they are slow to do, they would gain and keep the respect they deserve. But no, forthwith they must speak or castrate on that which has taxed the greatest minds from the time of Aristotle to the present day. It seems as if Professor Paton ought not to say a single word to his care until he has taken counsel with the editors of the Chicago daily papers. If that is what we have come to, a much more direct method might be adopted. Let the seminary be broken up, let the Professors send to pastoral work, or let them become missionaries, and let the students spend some years in the editorial rooms of the Tribune, Times or Inter-Ocean, and the work will be done both expeditiously and efficiently. We advise the Chicago Press Association to send up an overture to the Assembly that is to meet in Cleveland next year asking an enactment to that effect to be passed. Men that know how the Westminster Synodical, that it had to sign the Lord's Prayer, would

repeat the Lord's Prayer, that could hardly tell whether Hosea in the name of an Old Testament or a New Testament Book, can rush in where angels fear to tread, and name dogmas of their own at the same time that they deery dogmas with all their might. Now is this a young American phase of thought, or perhaps I should say want of thought. This same thing is seen in the Press of Scotland, old and old Presbyterian Scotland. Men like Knight are vitally defiled by the secular press, while all others are stigmatized as craven and illiberal and behind the age, as the liberal descendants of the Inquisitors of Spain and Italy. But I have dwelt long enough on that phase of the subject, perhaps too long.

In coming into contact with the people in this part of the country I have noticed the diversity of opinion that is entertained regarding the trial. Diversity of course may be expected. So far as my experience has gone, but that is not very far, the majority sympathize with Professor Paton. Not only do they admire the ability with which he conducted the case all through, as well as the moderation and good temper that characterized his procedure, they are strongly of the opinion that he was right, that he was under an obligation to do what he did. The feeling is growing on the part of very many that indefiniteness in the Church ought to be watched more carefully than it has been, and while the making of a man a heretic for a word is never to be encouraged yet it should be understood that any departure from a point of faith that is vital is not to be borne for a moment. Considerable surprise is felt at the attitude assumed by some of the Church papers of the east. That the Evangelical should be hostile to Professor Paton is not very strange considering its antecedents, though it has avowed very emphatically that Mr. Swing is not an exponent of the new school side, a position which most understand before. It is however, exceedingly strange that the Observer should so recently persist in advising Professor Paton to withdraw from the Prosecution. The Observer has hitherto been regarded as an ultra defender of the Calvinistic faith, but it cannot be considered so any longer. Whether enthusiasm for the principles of the Evangelical Alliance has carried the editor of the latter paper away from the moorings of old school doctrines, or has infused into him a spirit of exceeding liberality beyond what is due to characteristic him, I know not, at all events many feel much disappointed at the position of the Observer on the controversy. It is to be hoped, however, and prayer is to be devoutly offered up, that the Lord will overrule all these distracting elements and make them in the end to work out the further development of sound doctrine and the promotion of the Church of Christ in the world.

Michigan, July, 1874.

DR. FRASER'S MEETINGS.

In accordance with the decision of Assembly, Dr. Fraser, the Medical Missionary of the C. P. Church to China, is now visiting the congregations throughout the church. Dr. Fr. began by going to Montreal where he preached on Sabbath June 28th. In the morning in Erskine Church, and in the evening in Cole Street Church. In both churches the congregations were large and a deep interest was manifested in the services. At the close attention was especially directed to the work of Foreign Missions. Nazareth St. and St. Joseph St. S. Schools were also visited during the afternoon and a contribution towards outfit and passage. On Wednesday evening the Dr. held a meeting at LaCite, and on Thursday evening at St. Andrew's.

The good results of these meetings is easily seen. The interest of the people is deepened in Foreign Missions. Especially in that Mission to which our attention as a church is now particularly directed by seeing and hearing the missionary who is soon to be engaged in the work, and in the case of S. Schools, which the L. never fails to visit, and address if possible, an impression is made which can never be effaced and one which gives great power to the teachers in speaking to the children on the subject of Missions.—Con.

Brigham Young, Jr., according to the Salt Lake Tribune, has an original way of complying with Scriptural injunctions. At a conference meeting recently, he thus instructed the brethren:—"I pray for you, brethren, but I always pray that they may go to hell!"

BEAVERTON

LARGE CONCURRENCE AT LATE EVENING SERVICE.
PRAISE MEETINGS.—A NEW BUILDING WANTED.

Mr. Elliott, it is, I think, not a small service rendered to the Church by your paper, that thereby the Church is helped to know herself. "The members—the generally distant congregations and individuals, and mission fields too, will more likely have the same ear-ear for another," and whether one member suffer—we shall hope to see more—all the members suffer with it; or one member be honored, all the members rejoice with it.

I have seen members of the C. P. Church wonder at something incredible, when they were told of what large congregations going up to the house of God daily for nearly a week, and that not as some extraordinary revival; but not less frequently than on two occasions in every year, Communion seasons. Others, I have found, looking at these cases as instances of congregations yet enshrouded in the old foggy clothes of past forms, or rigidly cold in the shapes of unprogressive ideas of worship. Well, sir, I am for progress, and with little patience of submission to forms and practices in the House of God, whose only or chief claim to acceptance is, that they are "of the old time," and are venerable "traditions of the elders." On the other hand it is, I think, a "popular fallacy," that unwise, absurd, and obstructive prejudices are to be feared and looked for only among things and ideas that seemed old-fashioned because existing in the past. Let us penetrate to the root, and always seek to discover what is scripturally true, spiritually and morally good, and for adoption.

I would regard it as a sign and step quite the opposite of true progress, if a body of, for instance, the congregation of the C. P. Church at Beaverton, proposing to shorten the extension or diminish the number of holy religious services, at Communion seasons. I had the privilege of taking part in the disputation of the Lord's Supper there on Sabbath the 28th of June last, and in the evening at a reading session I felt it to be there evident to a large amount of genuine religious life, the born of the Spirit of God, widespread throughout the congregation. Meetings for public worship extended from Tuesday to Sunday, and on the 28th inclusive. Large numbers came. During that period several sermons were preached, besides several addresses at prayer meetings by members. In addition to these services, the congregation resolved itself into a number of prayer meetings at different convenient points. At least four or five additional prayer meetings were held daily. These were conducted by members. The office of the eldership is not understood at Beaverton, to be merely honorary, nor only for the Session consultation and bearing of the vessels of the Lord at communion seasons.

The first day of these religious services bore the impression strongly in upon my mind, that it was an immense regard for venerable forms and the customs of the fathers that drew the people together for that early assembly of countenances, earnest, yet lively and eager, seemed to utter one voice, "I was glad when they said unto me, Let us go into the house of the Lord." There were no occasions of delay in confirming this happy first impression. All through, the souls of the people manifestly were feeding on the word. The deeper the truth the people felt, the more they went down into the recesses of spiritual and experimental life, one could see the stronger souls and more familiar-like responses. The service of song was delightful. There was a variety of hymns drawn from the Psalms and dead congregations. Any imperfections of harmony were more than forgotten amidst the breathings and energy of the great waves of the new music, that were sent down from heaven. The collection plates went round every day. The people put their hands in their pockets, and awaited the approach of the pastor, as of a welcome and familiar friend. It is a good indication of healthy spiritual worship, when giving the gold and silver to the Lord is a cordial part of it.

The pastor, the Rev. John McNabb, who was invited there on the 15th of July last, has already deeply intrusted himself in the confidence, esteem and affection of the people. After a tedious and discouraging journey of about two months, he arrived at Beaverton, and the people's hearty reception and thanking God, that He sent them a pastor evincing decided ability, godliness, zeal and piety. They are very desirous that he should feel contented and enjoying himself here. In addition I feel very anxious, that they should proceed without another six months delay, to the erection of a new church. The necessity of a new church is felt by all, and the next Autumn and Winter, and the building should be in progress early next Spring. Congregations often indulge in very erroneous conceptions as to the things that constitute a revival. In addition to the things of these "popular fallacies" is, that a truly address with a special donation, is the golden means of showing a minister's zeal and strengthening his hands. When a true pastor's heart is set on advancing Christ's kingdom in some particular line of special despatch or relating shortcoming, and his people are not in the appreciation of him by some tribute to his hands, he will be grieved and say, "Would to God that they would help me as they should and could, in the Lord's work." Now, I cannot think of

the Beaverton congregation as judiciously attributable with either liberality or lack of concern in the propriety of the Lord's vineyard. But there appear to me obvious symptoms of their wholesome caution (except in all respects and matters relating upon which a desired prompt and vigorous and well organized effort to build a suitable house for the public worship of God immediately.

J. Thos.

MANITOBA MISSIONARIES.

EDITOR BATHURST AMERICAN PRESBYTERIAN.

Sir,—In your last issue, an extraordinary letter appeared headed "What does it mean?" I have no anxiety to relieve the distress of the writer, but lest some true friends of Manitoba should be misled by his untruthful statements I write a correction. The writer states that the "College of Manitoba" is now in Session, and wishes it to be inferred that the Professors are neglecting their duties toward it. This is not the case. The College has been almost entirely a fortnight now, and had its classes fully maintained till the last. The writer appears to forget that there has been a General Assembly. Professor Bryce was unwilling to come again this year to the General Assembly, but was urged by the College Council to come, and was appointed by the Presbytery. He left Manitoba on the 15th of May, and arrangements were made by the Rev. Mr. Robertson, of Winnipeg, to take his classes. It was not the intention of Prof. Hart to come down at the time of the departure of Prof. Bryce. The "Manitoba Mission Committee" of the Church of Scotland, however, telegraphed to him to be present at the Synod. Prof. Hart was urged to go for wise reasons by the members of our Presbytery, and the Rev. Mr. Black undertook his classes for the few weeks of the session still remaining. After the Assembly a special effort was made to have the writer's resignation before the end of the Session to be of any service. Dr. Clark the second missionary of the Church of Scotland, who seems to be considered as an absentee was in Ottawa under the instructions of his own church, and these two missionaries are now under the orders of their own Manitoba Mission Committee. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner.

The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the writer is perfectly untruthful. In so far as I know Prof. Bryce is the only minister of our church now absent from Manitoba. Prof. Bryce has received the sanction of the Assembly it may be well to state that the presence of Prof. Bryce in Ontario is accounted for in the following manner. The impression sought to be conveyed by the