In our Synonymical Catalogue (1865) the species is entered as follows:

Euproserpinus Grote & Robinson.

16. phaeton.

Proserpinus Phaeton Boisduval MSS.

Euproserpinus Phaeton Grote & Robinson (1865).

Habitat—Western District!

In the descriptional part (p. 30) we say: "We are indebted to Mr. J. W. Weidemeyer for the information respecting this singular little species, which, we believe, has not been hitherto described, while an excellent figure, shown us by Mr. S. Calverley, enables us to present the present description and to fix the species. It appears that Dr. Boisduval has etiquetted a specimen in his cabinet as *Proserpinus Phaeton*."

So that both in the Catalogue itself and in the description we give Dr. Boisduval credit for the name Proserpinus Phaeton. What more it was possible for us to do I cannot see. There is not the faintest desire on our part to avoid giving the fullest credit to all parties known to us to have anything to do with the species. Mr. Calverley's figure came probably from Dr. Behr or Mr. Hy. Edwards with the name Phaeton. figure was engraved for a hitherto unfinished work on the Sphingidæ. Of this work I have an incomplete copy; the last plate is numbered xxvi. The plates are headed: "North American Lepidoptera." The first two plates have in the lower left-hand corner: "Published by J. W. Weidemeyer and S. Calverley, New York." Plate iii. et seq. have the name of Mr. W. H. Edwards added. I believe the plates were lithographed and colored by Chas. Walo between 1863 and 1868; possibly these dates are not strictly accurate. To the last plates I contributed originals. of the plates (Nos. 18 and 19) were executed in England; W. West, imp.; E. W. Robinson, delt. On plate 19, fig. 1, is a figure of Lapara (not Lipara) bombycoides Walk., which I have long ago shown the reasons. for believing to be Ellema harrisii or a closely allied species. On plate 13, fig. 5, "Proscrpinus Phacton" is figured. In 186S, three years afterwards, we redescribed this species from a specimen loaned us by Dr. Boisduval. The specimen was not labeled to my recollection, and as we were under the impression that Dr. Boisduval knew our Synonymical Catalogue, there could be no question as to the name. We were much puzzled afterwards by Dr. Boisduval disowning the name phaeton, describ-