continue to do so remains for further experiments te prove. Mr. Meckins says it may or may not succeed, but that it has done so; and the mode he has laid be-fore the public, who will enjoy the benefit of it if it does succeed."-Irish Farmer's Gazette.

me semme PROSPECT OF THE GRAIN MARKET.

We copy the following able and interesting article, int restme to wheat growers especially, from the New York Tribune, one of the best conducted Journals in the United States:-

Believing that the true position of our supply of wheat for the ensuing year is not properly understood either at home or abroad, and that it is the his hest consequence, both here and in Europe, that a thorough knowledge should be had at an early day. we proceed to lay before the public some facts and figures which seem to us to east light on this important subject.

The United States census for 1850 gives the whole product of wheat in the Union for 1849 at 100.485,-944 against 84.823,272 bushels in 1839. The annual gain during the ten years was equal to about one and a half mill oas of bushels. Those ten years were a far average as to productiveness and increase of population. It will therefore be safe to base estimates of future products upon these results. But that we may better understand the subject, we give below a table showing the amount produced by States in the years 1839 and 1849-putting those States together which produced less than half a million of bushels:

Arkansas, California, District of Columbia, Connecticut, Delaware Florida. Louisiana, New 1839. 1849. Hampshire, Rhode Island, Misbushels. bushels. siss ppraud Texas - - - The Territories - - - -1.1.5,373 1,442,499 517,5562, Alabama - -294,014 838,052 Georgia -1,088,534 1,809,830 - - - -Illinois 9,414,575 3,335,393 - 6,214,458 4,049,375 - 1,530,581 154,693 Ind.ana Iowa 154,693 - - - -Kentucky - - -- 2,142,322 4.803,152 Maine - - - - - - Maryland - - - -296,259 348,163 4,494,080 3,345,783 M.chigan - - - - - 4,925,880 2,157,308 Missouri - - - - 2,981,652 1,037,386 Missouri - - - -New Jersey - -1,691,190 774,203 North Carolina - - - - -2,130,102 1,960,855 New York 13,121,493 12,286,418 _ Ohio -14,487,351 16,571,661 15,367,691 13,213,677 - 1,066,277 968,354 Pennsylvania - -South Carolina - -968,354 4,569,692 Tennessee - 1,619,386 Vermont -535,955 495.800 11,212,616 10,109.716 Virginia -W irconsin - 4.286,131 212.116

100,485,944 34,823,272

By this table it appars that there was a loss in eighteen States during that period of about eight millions of bushels, while in fourteen there was a gain of about twenty-four millions, making the actual gain as before stated at about sixteen millions in the ten years. Thus, if there be no disturbing cause, we might expect that the wheat crop of 1855 would reach about one hundred and eight or ten millions of bushels; we will assume it to be the outside figure.

The present population is twenty-five millions, from which should be deducted the slaves as they

m'llions of coasum rs of twenty-one wheaten bread. Allowing half a pound of flour per head per day, the annual it dividual consumption would be equal to four and a half bushels of wheat, and this would require ninety-tour in Il ons of bushels for home consumption. The census esti-mates the number of acres sown in 1850 at eleven millions. At the same estimate the number for 1855 would be twelve in II ons, and as two bushels per acro is the usual quantity sown, it would require twentyfour millions for seed. If, therefore, the price of wheat was down to its lowest point, there would not be enough raised by the above estimate, to meet the demand by about eight m'llions of bushels.

Since 1850, however, there have been disturbing causes that d.d not exist previously. Wheat has been in great d. mand for three successive years, and has borne good prices during all the past five years. This has stimulated its cultivation, and probably there were more acres in wheat in 1855 than ever before. It nay be safe to admit that in nearly all the States where there was a loss before there has now been an equal gain. This would give all out-eight millions of bushels from that source. The other ten in thous which we admit to be gained in the natural course of events, mrs. have come mainly from the new States. Fer, the older States have nearly all the'r wheat lands under cultivation, and cannot mater ally surpass the yield of 1850, unless there should be an uncommon season in which larger quantities than usual are grown to the acre-This is particularly the case with the great wheat growing States of New York, Ohio. Pennsylvania and Virginia, whose crop in 1839 was 52, 180, 872, and in in 1849, 54, 189, 156 bushels, being in the latter year more than one-half of the whole crop of the Union. while the gain in the ten years is only a million and a half of bushels. From their proximity to the Atlantic markets, these States must be the great exporting States, and upon their crops more than upon the others the surr lus depends.

But while there have been such inducements to increase the cultivation of wheat, there are some countervailing considerations which must not be overlookcd. In the first place, most of those States which show the largest increase in the production of wheat are not naturally wheat-growing States, Indian corn being their most certain and staple crop. If, then, there has been any inducement to an increased cultivation of corn, wheat will be neglected. This is particularly the case with portions of Wisconsin, all of Indiana and Illinois, and most of Kentucky. During this time, corn has borne a high price, and beef and pork have gradually appreciated in value until they have reached such a point that there is little inducement to grow wheat to any great extent in those States. It is undoubtedly true that the cultivation of wheat has not materially increased in either of them. So, too, the tide of emigration has been sweeping past Michigan so rapidly that no marked increase, probably in the first of three millions and in the latter as much more, making possibly in these two States an increase of six millions of bushcls. Thus, allowing the States which lost before to make up the loss, and that the other States have increased at the rate of a million and half of bushels per year, and that we have this year a full crop, the most that can be claimed with any show of truth is one hundred and twenty-four millions of bushels for the entire crop of 1855. As the old stock is exhausted, we must look to the present one alone. In ordinary years, this would show a fair surplus of about six millions of bushels that might be exported without affecting the market.

Thus far, we have assumed that there was a full crop do not cousume much wheat; this leaves at in the year 1855. But we will now proceed to show