

## THE PROTESTANT AND EVANGELICAL WITNESS.

### WEEKLY CALENDAR—MAY.

- Full Moon, 5th day, 26. 40m., morning.
- Last Quarter, 12th day, 26. 4m., evening.
- New Moon, 20th day, 26. 50m., evening.
- First Quarter, 27th day, 26. 51m., evening.

DAY	High Water	Low	Moon	Day's length
Month	Water	Water	set.	
20 Sunday	5 m 10	5 m	h m	15
21 Monday	4 27	27 11	5	9 25 15
22 Tuesday	4 26	28 11	5 1	9 47
23 Wednesday	4 25	29 0	6 10	10 3
24 Thursday	4 24	27 1	4 11	23
25 Friday	4 23	28 2	5 11	42
26 Saturday	4 22	28 3	4 26 (morn.)	

### MAILS—SUMMER ARRANGEMENT.

The Mails for the neighboring Provinces, &c., will, until further notice, be made up and forwarded as follows:—

For Nova Scotia, via Platts, every Monday and Thursday, at 12 o'clock, noon.

For New Brunswick, Canada and the United States, via Shadie, every Tuesday and Friday morning at 8 o'clock.

For Prince Edward Island, via Charlottetown, every alternate Monday at 12 o'clock noon, via—May 14th and 28th; June 11th and 25th; July 6th and 20th; August 6th and 20th; September 3rd and 17th; October 1st, 15th and 29th; November 15th and 29th.

### LEGISLATIVE DEBATES.

SATURDAY AFTERNOON, 24th March.

Hon. Mr. HAYLAND introduced the Road Scale for the current year. He proposed to grant £5000, to be appropriated as follows, that is to say—

£1,700 0 0

King's County,

1,550 0 0

Prince Edward,

200 0 0

Charlottetown and Royalty.

£5,000 0 0

Mr. CONOR moved the reconsideration of the scale. His district would require a special grant of £3000 or £3500 for a Bridge at Cansumpey, on which some £1200 or £1300 had been already expended.

Hon. Mr. BROWN was opposed to reconsidering the scale.

£5000 was the sum he could afford for his service.

While the districts should agree to it, the members of each County should meet and decide the apportionment to the different districts. When a particularly large outlay was required for any one district, it was usual for the rest of the County to contribute proportionately from the general funds. As to the bridge spoken of, he thought it most appropriate to appropriate the amount to its locality and the benefit to result from its public works.

Hon. Mr. COKE.—The members of the district should ascertain the relative cost of removing the bridge to another site, or of finishing it where it is at present located. Had the right of the bridge given to Charlottetown, True Queen's County paid a large portion of the revenue, but he should be satisfied that who received the benefit of the additional appropriation. Prince Edward had a much larger appropriation. The public wharf at Summerside required a repair, and a bridge in his district would take about £600, or £700. The western road must be made passable. He would therefore support the motion.

Hon. Mr. HAYLAND.—What had the people of New London, Belvoir, Campbell, and other places in Queen's County done for the maintenance of their roads? They should not be inconsiderate of Queen's County, and although he was the representative of a King's County constituency, he honestly considered Queen's County entitled to more than was proposed for her.

Mr. CONOR would not be satisfied with less for Prince County than the sum proposed by hon. Mr. Thornton.

He had written to the minister of finance, and there was no use his offering opposition to that gentleman at the time. He had him self subscribed £10 towards it.

Mr. COKE.—Political considerations should not interfere with the distribution of road money. The bridge at Montague, for instance, would require a special grant of £1000 more than the districts required for its maintenance.

Mr. CONOR.—The bridge required to be finished and the right of way secured for £3500. He had no personal or political interests to stand in the way. The fact was, the bridge would accommodate, principally, his political opponents. His only motive was the advancement of the interest of the district.

Hon. Mr. COKE always had opposed to the present situation in his district, the proposal of hon. Mr. Thornton, but nothing had been done, and there was no use his offering opposition to that gentleman at the time. He had him self subscribed £10 towards it.

Mr. OWEN.—Political considerations should not interfere with the distribution of road money. The bridge at Montague, for instance, would require a special grant of £1000 more than the districts required for its maintenance.

Mr. CONOR.—The bridge required to be finished and the right of way secured for £3500. He had no personal or political interests to stand in the way. The fact was, the bridge would accommodate, principally, his political opponents. His only motive was the advancement of the interest of the district.

Hon. Mr. COKE.—The members of the district should ascertain the relative cost of removing the bridge to another site, or of finishing it where it is at present located. Had the right of the bridge given to Charlottetown, True Queen's County paid a large portion of the revenue, but he should be satisfied that who received the benefit of the additional appropriation. Prince Edward had a much larger appropriation. The public wharf at Summerside required a repair, and a bridge in his district would take about £600, or £700. The western road must be made passable. He would therefore support the motion.

Hon. Mr. HAYLAND.—What had the people of New London, Belvoir, Campbell, and other places in Queen's County done for the maintenance of their roads? They should not be inconsiderate of Queen's County, and although he was the representative of a King's County constituency, he honestly considered Queen's County entitled to more than was proposed for her.

Mr. CONOR would not be satisfied with less for Prince County than the sum proposed by hon. Mr. Thornton.

He had written to the minister of finance, and there was no use his offering opposition to that gentleman at the time. He had him self subscribed £10 towards it.

Mr. COKE.—Political considerations should not interfere with the distribution of road money. The bridge at Montague, for instance, would require a special grant of £1000 more than the districts required for its maintenance.

Mr. CONOR.—The bridge required to be finished and the right of way secured for £3500. He had no personal or political interests to stand in the way. The fact was, the bridge would accommodate, principally, his political opponents. His only motive was the advancement of the interest of the district.

Hon. Mr. COKE.—The members of the district should ascertain the relative cost of removing the bridge to another site, or of finishing it where it is at present located. Had the right of the bridge given to Charlottetown, True Queen's County paid a large portion of the revenue, but he should be satisfied that who received the benefit of the additional appropriation. Prince Edward had a much larger appropriation. The public wharf at Summerside required a repair, and a bridge in his district would take about £600, or £700. The western road must be made passable. He would therefore support the motion.

Hon. Mr. HAYLAND.—What had the people of New London, Belvoir, Campbell, and other places in Queen's County done for the maintenance of their roads? They should not be inconsiderate of Queen's County, and although he was the representative of a King's County constituency, he honestly considered Queen's County entitled to more than was proposed for her.

Mr. CONOR would not be satisfied with less for Prince County than the sum proposed by hon. Mr. Thornton.

He had written to the minister of finance, and there was no use his offering opposition to that gentleman at the time. He had him self subscribed £10 towards it.

Mr. COKE.—Political considerations should not interfere with the distribution of road money. The bridge at Montague, for instance, would require a special grant of £1000 more than the districts required for its maintenance.

Mr. CONOR.—The bridge required to be finished and the right of way secured for £3500. He had no personal or political interests to stand in the way. The fact was, the bridge would accommodate, principally, his political opponents. His only motive was the advancement of the interest of the district.

Hon. Mr. COKE.—The members of the district should ascertain the relative cost of removing the bridge to another site, or of finishing it where it is at present located. Had the right of the bridge given to Charlottetown, True Queen's County paid a large portion of the revenue, but he should be satisfied that who received the benefit of the additional appropriation. Prince Edward had a much larger appropriation. The public wharf at Summerside required a repair, and a bridge in his district would take about £600, or £700. The western road must be made passable. He would therefore support the motion.

Hon. Mr. HAYLAND.—What had the people of New London, Belvoir, Campbell, and other places in Queen's County done for the maintenance of their roads? They should not be inconsiderate of Queen's County, and although he was the representative of a King's County constituency, he honestly considered Queen's County entitled to more than was proposed for her.

Mr. CONOR would not be satisfied with less for Prince County than the sum proposed by hon. Mr. Thornton.

He had written to the minister of finance, and there was no use his offering opposition to that gentleman at the time. He had him self subscribed £10 towards it.

Mr. COKE.—Political considerations should not interfere with the distribution of road money. The bridge at Montague, for instance, would require a special grant of £1000 more than the districts required for its maintenance.

Mr. CONOR.—The bridge required to be finished and the right of way secured for £3500. He had no personal or political interests to stand in the way. The fact was, the bridge would accommodate, principally, his political opponents. His only motive was the advancement of the interest of the district.

Hon. Mr. COKE.—The members of the district should ascertain the relative cost of removing the bridge to another site, or of finishing it where it is at present located. Had the right of the bridge given to Charlottetown, True Queen's County paid a large portion of the revenue, but he should be satisfied that who received the benefit of the additional appropriation. Prince Edward had a much larger appropriation. The public wharf at Summerside required a repair, and a bridge in his district would take about £600, or £700. The western road must be made passable. He would therefore support the motion.

Hon. Mr. HAYLAND.—What had the people of New London, Belvoir, Campbell, and other places in Queen's County done for the maintenance of their roads? They should not be inconsiderate of Queen's County, and although he was the representative of a King's County constituency, he honestly considered Queen's County entitled to more than was proposed for her.

Mr. CONOR would not be satisfied with less for Prince County than the sum proposed by hon. Mr. Thornton.

He had written to the minister of finance, and there was no use his offering opposition to that gentleman at the time. He had him self subscribed £10 towards it.

Mr. COKE.—Political considerations should not interfere with the distribution of road money. The bridge at Montague, for instance, would require a special grant of £1000 more than the districts required for its maintenance.

Mr. CONOR.—The bridge required to be finished and the right of way secured for £3500. He had no personal or political interests to stand in the way. The fact was, the bridge would accommodate, principally, his political opponents. His only motive was the advancement of the interest of the district.

Hon. Mr. COKE.—The members of the district should ascertain the relative cost of removing the bridge to another site, or of finishing it where it is at present located. Had the right of the bridge given to Charlottetown, True Queen's County paid a large portion of the revenue, but he should be satisfied that who received the benefit of the additional appropriation. Prince Edward had a much larger appropriation. The public wharf at Summerside required a repair, and a bridge in his district would take about £600, or £700. The western road must be made passable. He would therefore support the motion.

Hon. Mr. HAYLAND.—What had the people of New London, Belvoir, Campbell, and other places in Queen's County done for the maintenance of their roads? They should not be inconsiderate of Queen's County, and although he was the representative of a King's County constituency, he honestly considered Queen's County entitled to more than was proposed for her.

Mr. CONOR would not be satisfied with less for Prince County than the sum proposed by hon. Mr. Thornton.

He had written to the minister of finance, and there was no use his offering opposition to that gentleman at the time. He had him self subscribed £10 towards it.

Mr. COKE.—Political considerations should not interfere with the distribution of road money. The bridge at Montague, for instance, would require a special grant of £1000 more than the districts required for its maintenance.

Mr. CONOR.—The bridge required to be finished and the right of way secured for £3500. He had no personal or political interests to stand in the way. The fact was, the bridge would accommodate, principally, his political opponents. His only motive was the advancement of the interest of the district.

Hon. Mr. COKE.—The members of the district should ascertain the relative cost of removing the bridge to another site, or of finishing it where it is at present located. Had the right of the bridge given to Charlottetown, True Queen's County paid a large portion of the revenue, but he should be satisfied that who received the benefit of the additional appropriation. Prince Edward had a much larger appropriation. The public wharf at Summerside required a repair, and a bridge in his district would take about £600, or £700. The western road must be made passable. He would therefore support the motion.

Hon. Mr. HAYLAND.—What had the people of New London, Belvoir, Campbell, and other places in Queen's County done for the maintenance of their roads? They should not be inconsiderate of Queen's County, and although he was the representative of a King's County constituency, he honestly considered Queen's County entitled to more than was proposed for her.

Mr. CONOR would not be satisfied with less for Prince County than the sum proposed by hon. Mr. Thornton.

He had written to the minister of finance, and there was no use his offering opposition to that gentleman at the time. He had him self subscribed £10 towards it.

Mr. COKE.—Political considerations should not interfere with the distribution of road money. The bridge at Montague, for instance, would require a special grant of £1000 more than the districts required for its maintenance.

Mr. CONOR.—The bridge required to be finished and the right of way secured for £3500. He had no personal or political interests to stand in the way. The fact was, the bridge would accommodate, principally, his political opponents. His only motive was the advancement of the interest of the district.

Hon. Mr. COKE.—The members of the district should ascertain the relative cost of removing the bridge to another site, or of finishing it where it is at present located. Had the right of the bridge given to Charlottetown, True Queen's County paid a large portion of the revenue, but he should be satisfied that who received the benefit of the additional appropriation. Prince Edward had a much larger appropriation. The public wharf at Summerside required a repair, and a bridge in his district would take about £600, or £700. The western road must be made passable. He would therefore support the motion.

Hon. Mr. HAYLAND.—What had the people of New London, Belvoir, Campbell, and other places in Queen's County done for the maintenance of their roads? They should not be inconsiderate of Queen's County, and although he was the representative of a King's County constituency, he honestly considered Queen's County entitled to more than was proposed for her.

Mr. CONOR would not be satisfied with less for Prince County than the sum proposed by hon. Mr. Thornton.

He had written to the minister of finance, and there was no use his offering opposition to that gentleman at the time. He had him self subscribed £10 towards it.

Mr. COKE.—Political considerations should not interfere with the distribution of road money. The bridge at Montague, for instance, would require a special grant of £1000 more than the districts required for its maintenance.

Mr. CONOR.—The bridge required to be finished and the right of way secured for £3500. He had no personal or political interests to stand in the way. The fact was, the bridge would accommodate, principally, his political opponents. His only motive was the advancement of the interest of the district.

Hon. Mr. COKE.—The members of the district should ascertain the relative cost of removing the bridge to another site, or of finishing it where it is at present located. Had the right of the bridge given to Charlottetown, True Queen's County paid a large portion of the revenue, but he should be satisfied that who received the benefit of the additional appropriation. Prince Edward had a much larger appropriation. The public wharf at Summerside required a repair, and a bridge in his district would take about £600, or £700. The western road must be made passable. He would therefore support the motion.

Hon. Mr. HAYLAND.—What had the people of New London, Belvoir, Campbell, and other places in Queen's County done for the maintenance of their roads? They should not be inconsiderate of Queen's County, and although he was the representative of a King's County constituency, he honestly considered Queen's County entitled to more than was proposed for her.

Mr. CONOR would not be satisfied with less for Prince County than the sum proposed by hon. Mr. Thornton.

He had written to the minister of finance, and there was no use his offering opposition to that gentleman at the time. He had him self subscribed £10 towards it.

Mr. COKE.—Political considerations should not interfere with the distribution of road money. The bridge at Montague, for instance, would require a special grant of £1000 more than the districts required for its maintenance.

Mr. CONOR.—The bridge required to be finished and the right of way secured for £3500. He had no personal or political interests to stand in the way. The fact was, the bridge would accommodate, principally, his political opponents. His only motive was the advancement of the interest of the district.

Hon. Mr. COKE.—The members of the district should ascertain the relative cost of removing the bridge to another site, or of finishing it where it is at present located. Had the right of the bridge given to Charlottetown, True Queen's County paid a large portion of the revenue, but he should be satisfied that who received the benefit of the additional appropriation. Prince Edward had a much larger appropriation. The public wharf at Summerside required a repair, and a bridge in his district would take about £600, or £700. The western road must be made passable. He would therefore support the motion.

Hon. Mr. HAYLAND.—What had the people of New London, Belvoir, Campbell, and other places in Queen's County done for the maintenance of their roads? They should not be inconsiderate of Queen's County, and although he was the representative of a King's County constituency, he honestly considered Queen's County entitled to more than was proposed for her