THE DECLINE IN U.S. CLEARING HOUSE RETURNS.

The returns of the American Clearing Houses for June, up to the third week, show some remarkable variations from those of corresponding period last year. Whether they indicate a decline in the general volume of trade in the States is a question somewhat too complicated to allow of a positive conclusion being drawn, but, whatever their significance really is, the facts give colour to such a theory. The four weeks Clearing House returns for the whole of the United States, including the last week in May and three in June, were as follows:—

Date of returns.	1902.	1901. \$	Decreases.
June 21 14 May 7	2,032,584,387 1,860,577,975 2,104,307,355 1,676,360,832	2,403,024,465 2,313,921,147 2,748,926,266 1,820,465,235	370,440,078 453,343,172 644,618,911 144,104,403
Totals	7,673,830,549	9,286,337,113	1,612,506,564
Percentage of de	cline to total of	4 weeks in 1901	17.36 per cent.

It will be noticed that the total clearings in the United States in the week ending 31st May last were little more than the total amount by which they had fallen off in the four weeks ending on that date. If we take the clearings for same periods in 1900 and 1899 we find them to have been as follows:

Date of returns.	1900. \$	1899. \$	Decreases.
May 31 June 7 " 14	1,382,087,472 1,549,812,235 1,524,972,850 1,468,468,287	1,530,971,431 1,653,371,538 1,786,795,876 1,562,810,655	148,883,959 103,559,303 261,823,126 94,342,368
	5,925,340,844	6,533,949,600	608,608,756
Increase of 1901 over 1900	\$3,360,986,271		
Dearence of 1902	\$1,612,506,564		

Between June, 1900 and June, 1901, the bank clearings of the United States rose, in round figures, from 6 billions (\$5,925,340,844) to 91/4 billions (\$9,286,337,113), an increase in one year of over 31/4 billions (\$3,360,986,271), whereas between June, 1901 and June, 1902 there was a decrease from 91/4 billions (\$9,286,337,113) to about 734 billions (7,673,830,549), a decrease in the year ended in June last of over 11/2 billions (\$1,612,506,564). the increase movement kept up another year proportionately with the increase which is shown by the advance of June, 1901 over 1900, the total clearings for the June period 1902 would have been 141/2 billions, or nearly double what they were, that is, the decline in 1902 compared with the advance in 1901 made a practical decrease of over 71/2 billions of dollars in the clearings. The marked contrast between the respective years is shown more distinctly still by the following comparative figures:

Date of returns.	Increase of 1901 over 1900.	Decrease of 1902 from 1901.
May 31	\$ 438,377,763 1,199,114,631 788,948,297 934,556,178	\$ 144,105,603 644,618,911 453,344,772 370,440,078
Totals	3,360,996,269	1,612,506,564
Percentage of Increase 1901 over 1900	56.7 per cent.	
Percentage of Decrease 1902 from 1901		17.3 per cent.

The following shows the four principal cities where a falling off is shown in 1902 below 1901:

	May 31.	June 7.
	\$	8
New York, 1901	1,177,423,186 1,015,072,980	1,899,334,382 1,241,207,232
10021111	June 14.	June 21.
New York, 1901	\$ 1,537,329,885 1,095,280,986	\$ 1,637,368,355 1,230,698,007
1302	May 31.	June 7.
	\$	\$
Philadelphia, 1901	92,857,095 91,869,399	144,855,684 118,851,942
	June 14.	June 21.
	*	\$
Philadelphia, 1901	131,243,653 $101,848,898$	127,784,563 114,931,271
	May 31.	June 7.
	\$	
Pittsburg, 1901	35,785,563 36,315,089	46,525,926 43,988,748
100211	June 14.	June 21.
P. Degrada and A. Colonia	\$	\$
Pittsburg, 1901	38,541,054 37,495,850	48,913,885 40,677,0 4 2
	May 31.	June 7.
e and	\$	\$
Lowell, 1901	462,946 364,847	759,750 612,159
1	June 14.	June 21.
	\$	\$
Lowell, 1901	671,491 535,240	583,149 516,802

The total decrease in 1902 in each of the above cities for the period named below 1901 was as follows:

01101101		****	
New York	Philadelphia	Pittsburg	Lowell
Decrease.	Decrease.	Decrease.	Decrease.
Decremoe.		\$	*
1.669.186.603	69,139,485	11,289,699	448,288

Taking the whole five months' Clearings up to June last and comparing them with those of same period 1901, for all America, we find them in 1902 to have been \$10,392,502,583, and in 1901 \$12,831,374,494, a reduction of \$2.438,871,911, which is much too large a decrease to be without significance as an indicater of the movement of trade. Against this unfavourable aspect may be put the increased dividend disbursements recently, or shortly to be made, which amount to about \$4,000,000 in excess of those in 1901, chiefly owing to the railway earnings having been so large in the year just closed. This, however