

TABLE III.
CASES APPEALED FROM THE
RAILWAY AND CANAL COMMISSION, 1889-1904.¹

YEAR.	Name of Case.	Appealed by	Point involved in Appeal.	Result.
1890	Tuff Vale Ry. Co. v. Barry Docks & Ry. Co.	defendant.	Differences under special act.	Com. sustained.
1891	Sowerby & Co. v. Great Western Ry. Co.	plaintiff.	Terminal charges.	"
1891	Rhymney Ry. Co. v. Bute Docks Co.	"	Facilities between parties.	"
1892	Pickering Phipps et al. v. L. & N. W. Ry. et al.	"	Undue preference.	"
1892	Liverpool Corn Traders' Ass'n. v. Gt. W'n. Ry.	"	Undue preference.	"
1894	North-eastern Ry. v. Scarborough & Whitby Ry.	defendant.	Construction of working agreement.	overruled.
1894	Darlington Local Board v. L. & N. W. Ry.	"	Reasonable facilities.	sustained.
1895	Mansion House Ass'n v. Gt. W'n. Ry.	"	Unreasonable rates.	"
1896	Greenwood & Sons v. Lanc. & Yorkshire Ry.	plaintiff.	Rebate on sidings' charges.	"
1896	Walson, Todd & Co. v. Midland Ry. & L. & N. W. Ry.	defendant.	Terminal rebates.	"
1896	Mansion House Ass'n v. L. & N. W. Ry.	"	Unreasonable rates.	"
1897	Diddot, N. & S. Ry. v. Gt. W'n. Ry. & L. & S. W. Ry.	both parties.	Through booking of passengers.	"
1898	North-eastern Ry. v. North British Ry.	defendant.	Running rights.	"
1898	Salt Union v. North Staffordshire Ry.	defendant.	Rebate on sidings' charges.	"
1898	Gt. Northern Ry. v. N. E. Ry. & N. B. Ry.	"	Differences under special act.	"
1899	Huntingdonshire County Council v. Simpson	"	Reasonable facilities.	"
1900	Postmaster-General v. Corp'n of Glasgow	"	Telegraph connections.	"
1900	Postmaster-General v. Corp'n of Glasgow	plaintiff.	Telegraph rates.	"
1900	North British Ry. v. N. B. Ry. & G. & S. W. Ry.	"	Differences between railways.	overruled.
1900	L. T. & S. Ry. v. Gt. Eastern Ry.	"	Rebate on sidings' charges.	sustained.
1901	Cowan & Sons v. North British Ry. (No. 2)	defendants.	Application for details of plaintiffs profits to use in suit.	"
1901	Black & Sons v. Cal. Ry., N. B. Ry., & G. & S. W. Ry.	"	Reasonable facilities.	"
1901	Cowan & Sons v. North British Ry. (No. 3)	defendant.	Reasonable facilities.	"
1901	Huntington et al. v. Lanc. & Yorkshire Ry.	plaintiff.	Ownership of siding.	"
1901	Rhymney Ry. v. Great Western Ry.	"	Differences between parties.	"
1901	Gt. Western Ry. v. Metropolitan Ry.	defendant.	Rebate on sidings' charges.	"
1902	Compton & Co. v. Lanc. & Yorkshire Ry.	"	Rebate on sidings' charges.	"
1902	Vickers, Sons & Maxon v. Midland Ry. et al.	defendants.	Rebate on sidings' charges.	"
1902	London & India Dock Co. v. Gt. Eastern Ry. & Midland Ry.	"	Rebate on sidings' charges.	"
1902	Lanc. Brick & Terra Cotta Co. v. Lanc. & Yorkshire Ry.	"	Rebate on sidings' charges.	"
1902	Gt. Western Ry. v. Metropolitan Ry.	"	Rebate on sidings' charges.	"
1902	Mold & Denbigh J'n. Ry. v. L. & N. W. Ry.	plaintiff.	Rebate on sidings' charges.	sustained. ²
1902	Abram Coal Co. v. Gt. Central Ry.	defendant.	Rebate on sidings' charges.	"
1903	London & India Docks v. Midland Ry.	plaintiff.	Rebate on sidings' charges.	"
1903	Great Western Ry. v. Postmaster-General	defendant.	Rebate on sidings' charges.	"
1903	Akers, Whalley & Co. v. Gt. Central Ry.	defendant.	Rebate on sidings' charges.	"
1903	North British Ry. v. Caledonian Ry.	defendant.	Rebate on sidings' charges.	"
1904	Lancashire & Yorkshire Ry. v. Wright	plaintiff.	Rebate on sidings' charges.	overruled.

¹The official report of cases for 1904 is not yet available. ²In part.