

and bigoted, is a Gallio after his own fashion. He cares for none of these things. He would unite all men to one another, not by the union of a common faith, but by that which is a rope of sand, I mean a sinking of all definite doctrine till what is left is colourless and vague. There are few expressions more abused in the present day than that of people agreeing to differ. We may do so on questions of politics, or other matters of mere passing moment. But on questions of the great verities of the Christian faith, we must hold our own, whatever happens or may happen. Our Lord foretold that one result of Christianity would be division, *not* union, not that the religion of the God of love is turbulent and quarrelsome in itself, but because of the infirmities of men. I do believe that there is more of real union among Christians of all denominations, and among members of our own Church, than most of us imagine; but he who would make out our differences as trivial and superficial, is a Gallio. The union he would bring about is false and hollow. In fact, brethren, strange as it may seem, opposition is often better than apathy. I would rather see people narrow, if they are earnest and honest, than indifferent to the issues of the strife which is raging around. For earnestness may be directed aright, and the