

Dominion, and it is no argument to say that, because a certain piece of legislation is within the power of a local parliament, therefore the legislation is not to be disturbed. By the same Act of Parliament by which power is conferred upon the local legislature, the duty and power—because where there is a power there is a corresponding duty—are cast upon the Governor-in-Council to revise, and review, the Acts of the legislative bodies. If you are to say that because a law has been passed within the legislative authority of the Province, therefore it must remain, we can easily see, sir, that before long these Provinces, instead of coming nearer together, will go further and further apart. We can see that *the only way of making a United Canada, AND BUILDING UP A NATIONAL LIFE AND SENTIMENT IN THE DOMINION, is by seeing that the laws of one Province are not offensive to the laws and institutions, and it may be to the feelings, of another*—I will go so far as to say that they must be to some extent taken into consideration."

The authorship of the latest rejoinder of the Greenway Government is easily discoverable, by comparing the language of one phrase in it, with a sentence from the above speech:

MR. M'CARTHY'S SPEECH.

"The *worship* of local autonomy . . . is fraught with great evil to this Dominion."

MR. M'CARTHY IN THE REJOINDER.

"The *remedy* sought to be applied is fraught with great danger to the principle of provincial autonomy."

In the case of the Protestant appeal, local autonomy is "fraught with great evils"; but in the case of the Catholic appeal, everything but local autonomy is "fraught with great danger"—"great evils" if Protestants cannot appeal, "great danger" if Catholics can!

THE HON. MR. GREENWAY.

In his address to the electors of Mountain, recently issued, Mr. Greenway said:

"I assert that our people are perfectly competent to deal with their own educational concerns."

The Hon. Mr. Greenway, when in the House of Commons, voted in favor of the following resolution, which was seconded by Mr. Edward Blake:

"This house regrets that the School Act recently passed in New Brunswick is unsatisfactory to a portion of the inhabitants of that Province, and hopes that it may be so modified during the next session of the Legislature of New Brunswick as to remove any just grounds of discontent that now exist."

"That this house regrets that the hope expressed in the said resolution has not been realized."