

Mr. DOUGLAS (Weyburn): I have.

Mr. CRUICKSHANK: He must have passed through very quickly, and he certainly never stopped in the Fraser Valley.

I am not speaking disrespectfully, and I do not wish it to be so understood when I refer to the organization known as Jehovah's Witnesses. We can say to them, however, that we can thank God we have the Minister of Justice (Mr. Lapointe). Owing to the fact that it is a religious organization, I shall have nothing more to say about it. But I should like to say a word or two about the technocrats. That is an organization defended by the hon. member for Weyburn.

Mr. DOUGLAS (Weyburn): No, no.

Mr. CRUICKSHANK: Pardon me; the hon. member had his time, and I shall have mine.

Mr. BRADETTE: And he had plenty of time, too.

Mr. DOUGLAS (Weyburn): I refuse to have words put in my mouth. I merely said this was an organization which had been banned, without having been given an opportunity to make a defence on its own behalf.

Mr. CRUICKSHANK: I am glad to be charged with putting words in my hon. friend's mouth. I would rather have words put in my mouth, even by a member of my hon. friend's group, than have them put in my mouth by Mr. Howard Scott of New York. That gentleman attempted to tell the Prime Minister (Mr. Mackenzie King) how to run Canada, what we should do in connection with the war. Then my hon. friend wonders why they are barred. I remember hearing the Minister of National Defence for Air (Mr. Power) refer to a member last year and saying that if he were the Minister of Justice, the member would be interned. That is just what I should like to do with Howard Scott and with anyone who is a supporter of Howard Scott. Hon. members are entitled to their opinions and I am entitled to mine. I know my hon. friend means well. If he would let me put more words in his mouth before he makes his speeches, they would be much better.

I have no particular complaint to make about these defence of Canada regulations, nor have I any broad suggestions to make in that connection. I am in favour of some of the suggestions put forward by my hon. friend, but I should like to tell him how we do things in British Columbia. In Canada some 3,000,000 out of a population of 11,000,000 are of foreign extraction. There is one member in this house from my province who

came out here as a poor immigrant forty years ago. I shall not mention his name because he would not like it if I did, but I can tell the house where he comes from—he comes from Skeena. He tried to enlist in the last war but he was turned down. He has a son serving in the air force in this war and a son-in-law in the army. He has a record which no member of that group can equal. He invested \$40,000 in non-interest bearing bonds in this war. That is a record of which any Scandinavian family could be proud.

An hon. MEMBER: Where did he get it?

Mr. CRUICKSHANK: He got it by hard work hewing ties. If we had a little more of that spirit in this country, we would not need the defence of Canada regulations.

An hon. MEMBER: Hear, hear.

Mr. CRUICKSHANK: I am going to have my innings. I have risen in my place only twice this session, but the hon. member has risen to speak eleven times. One of the most necessary things in Canada is unity. Everyone should know that who is aware of the tremendous French-Canadian population. There is one man in this country who, in my humble opinion, has contributed more than anyone else to unity, particularly at this time. I refer to the Minister of Justice. If we did not have unity we would not be able to continue to function as a dominion. We would need to have more severe regulations. If I remember his remarks correctly, the other day the Minister of Justice said that he did not care particularly what regulations were brought down, but as long as they were the law of the land, it was his duty to enforce them.

There were no objections raised last year to the recommendations which some hon. members made in connection with these regulations. Surely everyone realizes that there must be restrictions during war time. Surely every hon. member realizes that we cannot have everyone getting up and saying exactly what he feels like. I do not know what the hon. member for North Battleford (Mrs. Nielsen) said, but anything she says will be all right with me if I agree with it. There are, however, certain members of the provincial house with whose remarks I do not agree. Anything that one member in particular would say would be all wrong with me. That member has been preaching pacifism for the last twenty years, and it is because of such preachings that we are in the position in which we find ourselves to-day.

I shall not try to equal the remarks of the hon. member for Cariboo because he is one