

*The Budget—Mr. Boulanger*

MacDonald (Cape Breton),	Urquhart,
MacMillan (Saskatoon),	Mackenzie (Vancouver),
McDade,	Dupuis,
McGibbon,	Power,
McGillis,	Deslauriers,
McLure,	MacLean,
Maloney,	Young,
Matthews,	Moore (Ontario),
Nicholson,	Bradette,
Perley, Sir George,	Marcil,
Rhodes,	Ralston,
Robinson,	Desrochers,
Ross,	Roberge,
Rowe,	Lacroix,
Ryerson,	Taylor,
Sauvé,	Séguin,
Short,	Heenan,
Simpson (Algoma),	Casgrain,
Smith (Cumberland),	Duff,
Spence,	Fraser (Northumberland),
Spotton,	Hepburn,
Stinson,	Hall,
Stitt (Nelson),	Beaubien,
Stitt (Selkirk),	Weir (Macdonald),
Sullivan,	Brasset,
Tummon,	Malcolm,
Weir (Melfort),	Motherwell,
Willis,	Brown,
Wilson,	Vallance.

Mr. BELL (St. Antoine): I was paired with the hon. member for Nicolet (Mr. Dubois). Had I voted I would have voted to sustain the Speaker's ruling.

Mr. WEIR (Melfort): I was paired with the hon. member for Melville (Mr. Motherwell). Had I voted I would have voted to sustain the Speaker's ruling.

Mr. YOUNG: I was paired with the hon. member for South Renfrew (Mr. Maloney). Had I voted I would have voted against the Speaker's ruling.

Mr. ELLIOTT: I was paired with the hon. member for South Waterloo (Mr. Edwards). Had I voted I would have voted against the Speaker's ruling.

Mr. BOULANGER: I was paired with the hon. member for Lake St. John (Mr. Duguay). Had I voted I would have voted against the Speaker's ruling.

Mr. VENIOT: I was paired with the hon. member for York-Sunbury (Mr. Hanson). Had I voted I would have voted against the Speaker's ruling.

Mr. McINTOSH: I was paired with the hon. member for Winnipeg South Centre (Mr. Kennedy). Had I voted I would have voted against the Speaker's ruling.

Mr. BRASSET: I was paired with the hon. member for St. Ann (Mr. Sullivan). Had I voted I would have voted against the Speaker's ruling.

[Mr. Speaker.]

Mr. BERTRAND: I was paired with the hon. member for Toronto Northeast (Mr. Baker). Had I voted I would have voted against the Speaker's ruling.

Mr. HANSON (Skeena): I was paired with the hon. member for Dauphin (Mr. Bowman). Had I voted I would have voted against the Speaker's ruling.

Mr. BRADETTE (Translation): I was paired with the hon. member for Algoma East (Mr. Nicholson). Had I voted I would have voted against the Speaker's ruling.

Mr. SAUVE (Translation): I was paired with the hon. member for L'Assomption-Montcalm (Mr. Séguin). Had I voted I would have voted to sustain the Speaker's ruling.

### THE BUDGET

#### CONTINUATION OF DEBATE ON THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENT OF THE MINISTER OF FINANCE

The house resumed from Thursday, April 21, consideration of the motion of Hon. E. N. Rhodes (Minister of Finance) that Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair for the house to go into committee of ways and means, the proposed amendment thereto of Mr. Ralston, and the proposed amendment to the amendment of Mr. Gardiner.

Mr. OSCAR L. BOULANGER (Bellechasse) (Translation): Mr. Speaker, previous to the adjournment of the debate, on Thursday evening, I compared certain statements made by our friend, the hon. Postmaster General (Mr. Sauvé) in the course of the elections of 1930 and 1931, with the recent statements he made in the house. I did so not simply to be disagreeable to him, but for the sake of truth. Ever since our hon. friend has held a responsible post in the council of the nation, he would gladly have forgotten and, especially, have people forget certain pledges and statements which he made at election time. There are certain historical facts in his political campaigns which it is but right to consign to Hansard, so as to refer to them when the opportunity arises.

There is, sir, an argument set forth by the hon. Postmaster General in his speech, on April 19, last, to which I want to reply. At page 2178 of Hansard, the hon. Postmaster states:

Our opponents forget also to mention that, under their regime, in 1905, farm products sold cheaper than they do to-day. Pork sold at \$5 per cwt. and hay at \$4 and \$5 per ton; poultry 50 cents a pair.