

Mr. Knowles, seconded by Mr. Brewin, moved,—That the House do now adjourn.

And more than five members having risen to object to the taking of a vote on the said motion;

In accordance with section (3) of provisional Standing Order 6, the said vote was postponed until 8.00 o'clock p.m. this day.

Debate was resumed on the motion of Mr. Wahn, seconded by Mr. Ryan,—That Bill S-10, An Act respecting Interprovincial Pipe Line Company, be now read a second time;

And debate continuing;

Mr. Scott (Danforth), seconded by Mr. Schreyer, proposed to move,—That Bill S-10 be not now read a second time, but that it be resolved that in the opinion of this House the said Interprovincial Pipe Line Company be brought under public ownership.

RULING BY MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: It is the considered opinion of the Chair that if the second part of this amendment were carried out there would be a financial obligation on the Crown. I would point out to the honourable Member that in the explanatory notes to the bill the following statement appears in the first sentence: "The purpose of this bill is to divide each of the 40,000,000 authorized shares of the par value of \$5.00 each of the capital stock of the Company into five shares of the par value of \$1.00 each."

In other words, the principle of this bill is a division of shares.

May I quote from citation 203 (1) page 171 of Beauchesne's 4th edition. "It is an imperative rule that every amendment must be relevant to the question on which the amendment is proposed."

I would also draw attention to what is said on page 527 of May's 17th edition. "The principle of relevancy in an amendment governs every such motion. The amendment must "strictly relate to the bill which the House, by its order, has resolved upon considering"

In the case now before us, the House is resolved upon considering the dividing of the shares of this particular company. The second part of the honourable Member's amendment is not relevant to that principle. I therefore rule the amendment out of order.

The hour for Private Members' Business expired.

Debate was resumed on the motion of Mr. Sharp, seconded by Mr. Hellyer,—That Bill C-3, An Act to amend the Bank Act and the Quebec Savings Banks Act, be now read a second time.

Whereupon the honourable Member for Yukon (Mr. Nielsen) rose on a question of privilege concerning certain remarks made by the honourable Minister of Justice (Mr. Cardin) earlier in this sitting.

And debate arising thereon and continuing;