

SOMETHING WRONG AT CONFERENCE

Colonial Delegate Intimates That There Is Serious Trouble.

DOMINION'S FINE ARMY

Can Place 100,000 Men in the Field Within a Few Days.

London, Aug. 5.—A colonial delegate to the imperial defence conference remarked today:

"All we are doing is so far absolutely secret. All I can tell you is that things are going the wrong way and I'm sorry for it."

Canada's Army.

London, July 5.—At the United Service Club dinner to the delegates to the imperial defence conference, Major-General Arbuthnot in the chair, Sir Frederick Borden, speaking in response to the toast "Guests," said:

"Some few years ago the forces of Canada were an unorganized mob. Now we have got our different departments in the army, and we could at once put 50,000 men in the field and in a few weeks another 50,000." (Cheers). They were also going to try to do their duty in regard to the navy. At the moment they in Canada were convinced that there was danger or risk they would do as they did at the time of the South African war. They would come to the rescue of the Empire with all the money they could get, and all the men they might be necessary in order to help this country man its fleets. (Cheers). They in Canada were going to begin at once to do their duty, to lay the foundations in building up a navy, which when trouble arose would co-operate with and be part of the great British navy. (Cheers).

General Smuts, of South Africa, said: "Blood is thicker than water, yet in another sense there is something that is thicker than blood; the tie of honor was even greater and stronger. (Cheers). He hoped that time went on it would be more and more realized that it was no tie of blood which held them together, but the tie of community of interests, justice, fair play and equality." (Cheers).

THE PRESIDENT'S TOUR

Sir Charles Rivers Wilson and Party at Stratford.

Stratford, Aug. 5.—Sir Charles Rivers Wilson and a party paid a visit of inspection to the local G.T.R. shops yesterday, and expressed satisfaction with the magnificent new million-dollar plant here. Referring to the prospects for a new station, Mr. Charles M. Hays stated that as soon as business warranted it a new station will be erected, and he is a credit to the city. Mr. Hays stated further that within a year, if it was possible, a large foundry would be added to the shops, greatly increasing the staff of employees.

CHOSEN BY LOT

Jap Assassin Was Picked To Kill a Honolulu Editor.

Honolulu, Aug. 5.—The police are working on the theory that the attempt on the life of Sumatara Sheba, editor of Shingee, a Japanese newspaper, was the result of the assassin being chosen by lot for the crime at a strikers' meeting, Monday. Sheba's opposition to the strike of plantation laborers was pronounced.

Mori admits it was his intention to kill Sheba, and that the Japanese editor is an enemy to his race, and that he intended to punish him for opposing the movement for higher wages. Police investigation revealed that Mori bought a knife and had it sharpened preparatory to his attack.

Up to two weeks ago Sheba's home was guarded by police because of threats made against him by his countrymen. Sheba, however, declared that there was no longer any danger, and he had his request for the guard withdrawn.

The better class of Japanese strongly disavow Mori's act, but in view of the attitude of others and a new stir feeling among the strikers, the police are taking every precaution to avoid further trouble. All concerned in the prosecution of the strike leaders now on trial, particularly their countrymen who are witnesses against them, were guarded by the police last night.

STOCKHOLM'S STRIKE

Staff Employed in Lighting Stations Join Those Out.

Stockholm, Aug. 6.—The situation with regard to the labor conflict was intensified today when the workmen employed in the power station which supplies the electricity for lighting the capital, joined the strikers. The service now is being maintained by officials of the lighting department.

As the strikers have been preventing farmers from bringing provisions into the city, troops have been detailed to patrol the country roads. The military authorities continue to disperse milk from the railroad stations for the use of children.

PINNED UNDER BOULDER.

Lethbridge, Aug. 6.—Norman Lewis Johnson, aged 36, single, a home-steader in the Porcupine Hills, met with a most peculiar and fatal accident. He was digging a hole beside a big boulder to bury it when the boulder slid in on him, half burying him, and forcing the pick into his leg from the ankle to the knee. He was there 48 hours before the neighbors discovered and rescued him. He was taken to Pincher Creek Hospital, but soon died. His brother is a doctor in Toronto.

LUBY'S

THAT THIN SPOT on top of your head will soon be covered with thick, healthy hair, if you use Luby's Parian Hair Renewer. You will be glad you tried it when you see how quickly and thickly it makes the hair grow. At all druggists. Fifty cents a bottle.

R. J. DEVINS, Ltd., Agents, Montreal.

NUMBER WHO PASSED SMALLER 32 LONDON MATRICULANTS

Examinations This Year Are Said To Be More Difficult Than Formerly—Principal McCutchen Gives His View on the Matter—Marking Was Very Strict.

Of the sixty-one names published today of successful matriculants for Middlesex County, thirty-two are from the London Collegiate. The remainder are from the five other high schools and colleges in the county.

The total number who passed is somewhat lower than in former years, the examinations on the whole being a trifle harder, and the marking strict. It will be impossible to tell what percentage of students were successful until the marks are published.

"In the list of names submitted are a number of those who wrote on only one subject to complete their matriculation," said Principal McCutchen, of the London Collegiate. "There are many others who wrote on all the subjects, but who expect to pass on all of them, but who undoubtedly were successful in the large majority of them. These would be given partial matriculation, being credited with the subjects on which they passed. However, their names do not appear, and I will not be able to state how many successful candidates wrote until I receive the marks next week."

75 Per Cent Successful.

"I can say that 75 per cent of those whom we recommended to write for full matriculation were successful. We have no record of the partial matriculants as yet."

"The percentage of successful students was this year smaller than usual. We, however, held our own, I think."

"Students are beginning to realize that it is impossible to go to these examinations unprepared, and win. They are becoming harder each year, and the students must have their work up."

THE STRIKERS WIN IN EVICTION CASE

Judge Decides That Company Must Give Them a Month's Notice to Quit.

Sydney, N. S., Aug. 6.—Judge Finlayson gave the following decision this morning in a test case against Angus Young, by the Dominion Coal Company, as to whether the company can evict striking miners from their homes, without giving them statutory notice:

"This application is opposed on two principal grounds. First, that the tenant being illiterate, was not aware that he was signing a lease with conditions; the document was not read to him, and that, therefore, these conditions are not binding on him. Second, that the landlord, by accepting rent after the alleged breach of condition under which he claims the right of entry, waived the forfeiture so created by such acceptance of rent."

"I have been asked to decide this second question on the ground that it might settle many of the other cases. I have, however, concluded not necessary for the determination of the case under review, and as other questions may arise in the other cases which might render a determination of this question which I consider rather difficult, unnecessary. I have decided to leave it alone until I am forced to meet it squarely."

"The tenant swears positively that when he made his mark to what purpose he was not aware of the conditions, he understood it was an agreement to pay rent monthly for the house assigned him by the landlord. He acknowledged that he was satisfied with the conditions which he understood to be the payment of \$3.50 per month, and nothing more. In this case, however, I do not think the tenant should be bound. There was nothing in the document signed to indicate that it was a formal lease; nothing to put the ordinary man on his inquiry; that it was anything more than what he believed it to be at the beginning, an agreement to pay monthly for his house. I do not think he can be held to conditions which he never saw, and which he is really bound to the statutory right to determine his tenancy, which has not been given him. For that reason I dismiss this application."

"I am fully aware that in ordinary cases it is the duty of the person signing a document to make himself acquainted with its contents, and this is true whether he is literate or illiterate. In this case, however, I do not think the tenant should be bound. There was nothing in the document signed to indicate that it was a formal lease; nothing to put the ordinary man on his inquiry; that it was anything more than what he believed it to be at the beginning, an agreement to pay monthly for his house. I do not think he can be held to conditions which he never saw, and which he is really bound to the statutory right to determine his tenancy, which has not been given him. For that reason I dismiss this application."

"I am fully aware that in ordinary cases it is the duty of the person signing a document to make himself acquainted with its contents, and this is true whether he is literate or illiterate. In this case, however, I do not think the tenant should be bound. There was nothing in the document signed to indicate that it was a formal lease; nothing to put the ordinary man on his inquiry; that it was anything more than what he believed it to be at the beginning, an agreement to pay monthly for his house. I do not think he can be held to conditions which he never saw, and which he is really bound to the statutory right to determine his tenancy, which has not been given him. For that reason I dismiss this application."

"I am fully aware that in ordinary cases it is the duty of the person signing a document to make himself acquainted with its contents, and this is true whether he is literate or illiterate. In this case, however, I do not think the tenant should be bound. There was nothing in the document signed to indicate that it was a formal lease; nothing to put the ordinary man on his inquiry; that it was anything more than what he believed it to be at the beginning, an agreement to pay monthly for his house. I do not think he can be held to conditions which he never saw, and which he is really bound to the statutory right to determine his tenancy, which has not been given him. For that reason I dismiss this application."

"I am fully aware that in ordinary cases it is the duty of the person signing a document to make himself acquainted with its contents, and this is true whether he is literate or illiterate. In this case, however, I do not think the tenant should be bound. There was nothing in the document signed to indicate that it was a formal lease; nothing to put the ordinary man on his inquiry; that it was anything more than what he believed it to be at the beginning, an agreement to pay monthly for his house. I do not think he can be held to conditions which he never saw, and which he is really bound to the statutory right to determine his tenancy, which has not been given him. For that reason I dismiss this application."

"I am fully aware that in ordinary cases it is the duty of the person signing a document to make himself acquainted with its contents, and this is true whether he is literate or illiterate. In this case, however, I do not think the tenant should be bound. There was nothing in the document signed to indicate that it was a formal lease; nothing to put the ordinary man on his inquiry; that it was anything more than what he believed it to be at the beginning, an agreement to pay monthly for his house. I do not think he can be held to conditions which he never saw, and which he is really bound to the statutory right to determine his tenancy, which has not been given him. For that reason I dismiss this application."

"I am fully aware that in ordinary cases it is the duty of the person signing a document to make himself acquainted with its contents, and this is true whether he is literate or illiterate. In this case, however, I do not think the tenant should be bound. There was nothing in the document signed to indicate that it was a formal lease; nothing to put the ordinary man on his inquiry; that it was anything more than what he believed it to be at the beginning, an agreement to pay monthly for his house. I do not think he can be held to conditions which he never saw, and which he is really bound to the statutory right to determine his tenancy, which has not been given him. For that reason I dismiss this application."

"I am fully aware that in ordinary cases it is the duty of the person signing a document to make himself acquainted with its contents, and this is true whether he is literate or illiterate. In this case, however, I do not think the tenant should be bound. There was nothing in the document signed to indicate that it was a formal lease; nothing to put the ordinary man on his inquiry; that it was anything more than what he believed it to be at the beginning, an agreement to pay monthly for his house. I do not think he can be held to conditions which he never saw, and which he is really bound to the statutory right to determine his tenancy, which has not been given him. For that reason I dismiss this application."

"I am fully aware that in ordinary cases it is the duty of the person signing a document to make himself acquainted with its contents, and this is true whether he is literate or illiterate. In this case, however, I do not think the tenant should be bound. There was nothing in the document signed to indicate that it was a formal lease; nothing to put the ordinary man on his inquiry; that it was anything more than what he believed it to be at the beginning, an agreement to pay monthly for his house. I do not think he can be held to conditions which he never saw, and which he is really bound to the statutory right to determine his tenancy, which has not been given him. For that reason I dismiss this application."

"I am fully aware that in ordinary cases it is the duty of the person signing a document to make himself acquainted with its contents, and this is true whether he is literate or illiterate. In this case, however, I do not think the tenant should be bound. There was nothing in the document signed to indicate that it was a formal lease; nothing to put the ordinary man on his inquiry; that it was anything more than what he believed it to be at the beginning, an agreement to pay monthly for his house. I do not think he can be held to conditions which he never saw, and which he is really bound to the statutory right to determine his tenancy, which has not been given him. For that reason I dismiss this application."

"I am fully aware that in ordinary cases it is the duty of the person signing a document to make himself acquainted with its contents, and this is true whether he is literate or illiterate. In this case, however, I do not think the tenant should be bound. There was nothing in the document signed to indicate that it was a formal lease; nothing to put the ordinary man on his inquiry; that it was anything more than what he believed it to be at the beginning, an agreement to pay monthly for his house. I do not think he can be held to conditions which he never saw, and which he is really bound to the statutory right to determine his tenancy, which has not been given him. For that reason I dismiss this application."

"I am fully aware that in ordinary cases it is the duty of the person signing a document to make himself acquainted with its contents, and this is true whether he is literate or illiterate. In this case, however, I do not think the tenant should be bound. There was nothing in the document signed to indicate that it was a formal lease; nothing to put the ordinary man on his inquiry; that it was anything more than what he believed it to be at the beginning, an agreement to pay monthly for his house. I do not think he can be held to conditions which he never saw, and which he is really bound to the statutory right to determine his tenancy, which has not been given him. For that reason I dismiss this application."

"I am fully aware that in ordinary cases it is the duty of the person signing a document to make himself acquainted with its contents, and this is true whether he is literate or illiterate. In this case, however, I do not think the tenant should be bound. There was nothing in the document signed to indicate that it was a formal lease; nothing to put the ordinary man on his inquiry; that it was anything more than what he believed it to be at the beginning, an agreement to pay monthly for his house. I do not think he can be held to conditions which he never saw, and which he is really bound to the statutory right to determine his tenancy, which has not been given him. For that reason I dismiss this application."

"I am fully aware that in ordinary cases it is the duty of the person signing a document to make himself acquainted with its contents, and this is true whether he is literate or illiterate. In this case, however, I do not think the tenant should be bound. There was nothing in the document signed to indicate that it was a formal lease; nothing to put the ordinary man on his inquiry; that it was anything more than what he believed it to be at the beginning, an agreement to pay monthly for his house. I do not think he can be held to conditions which he never saw, and which he is really bound to the statutory right to determine his tenancy, which has not been given him. For that reason I dismiss this application."

"I am fully aware that in ordinary cases it is the duty of the person signing a document to make himself acquainted with its contents, and this is true whether he is literate or illiterate. In this case, however, I do not think the tenant should be bound. There was nothing in the document signed to indicate that it was a formal lease; nothing to put the ordinary man on his inquiry; that it was anything more than what he believed it to be at the beginning, an agreement to pay monthly for his house. I do not think he can be held to conditions which he never saw, and which he is really bound to the statutory right to determine his tenancy, which has not been given him. For that reason I dismiss this application."

"I am fully aware that in ordinary cases it is the duty of the person signing a document to make himself acquainted with its contents, and this is true whether he is literate or illiterate. In this case, however, I do not think the tenant should be bound. There was nothing in the document signed to indicate that it was a formal lease; nothing to put the ordinary man on his inquiry; that it was anything more than what he believed it to be at the beginning, an agreement to pay monthly for his house. I do not think he can be held to conditions which he never saw, and which he is really bound to the statutory right to determine his tenancy, which has not been given him. For that reason I dismiss this application."

"I am fully aware that in ordinary cases it is the duty of the person signing a document to make himself acquainted with its contents, and this is true whether he is literate or illiterate. In this case, however, I do not think the tenant should be bound. There was nothing in the document signed to indicate that it was a formal lease; nothing to put the ordinary man on his inquiry; that it was anything more than what he believed it to be at the beginning, an agreement to pay monthly for his house. I do not think he can be held to conditions which he never saw, and which he is really bound to the statutory right to determine his tenancy, which has not been given him. For that reason I dismiss this application."

"I am fully aware that in ordinary cases it is the duty of the person signing a document to make himself acquainted with its contents, and this is true whether he is literate or illiterate. In this case, however, I do not think the tenant should be bound. There was nothing in the document signed to indicate that it was a formal lease; nothing to put the ordinary man on his inquiry; that it was anything more than what he believed it to be at the beginning, an agreement to pay monthly for his house. I do not think he can be held to conditions which he never saw, and which he is really bound to the statutory right to determine his tenancy, which has not been given him. For that reason I dismiss this application."

"I am fully aware that in ordinary cases it is the duty of the person signing a document to make himself acquainted with its contents, and this is true whether he is literate or illiterate. In this case, however, I do not think the tenant should be bound. There was nothing in the document signed to indicate that it was a formal lease; nothing to put the ordinary man on his inquiry; that it was anything more than what he believed it to be at the beginning, an agreement to pay monthly for his house. I do not think he can be held to conditions which he never saw, and which he is really bound to the statutory right to determine his tenancy, which has not been given him. For that reason I dismiss this application."

"I am fully aware that in ordinary cases it is the duty of the person signing a document to make himself acquainted with its contents, and this is true whether he is literate or illiterate. In this case, however, I do not think the tenant should be bound. There was nothing in the document signed to indicate that it was a formal lease; nothing to put the ordinary man on his inquiry; that it was anything more than what he believed it to be at the beginning, an agreement to pay monthly for his house. I do not think he can be held to conditions which he never saw, and which he is really bound to the statutory right to determine his tenancy, which has not been given him. For that reason I dismiss this application."

"I am fully aware that in ordinary cases it is the duty of the person signing a document to make himself acquainted with its contents, and this is true whether he is literate or illiterate. In this case, however, I do not think the tenant should be bound. There was nothing in the document signed to indicate that it was a formal lease; nothing to put the ordinary man on his inquiry; that it was anything more than what he believed it to be at the beginning, an agreement to pay monthly for his house. I do not think he can be held to conditions which he never saw, and which he is really bound to the statutory right to determine his tenancy, which has not been given him. For that reason I dismiss this application."

"I am fully aware that in ordinary cases it is the duty of the person signing a document to make himself acquainted with its contents, and this is true whether he is literate or illiterate. In this case, however, I do not think the tenant should be bound. There was nothing in the document signed to indicate that it was a formal lease; nothing to put the ordinary man on his inquiry; that it was anything more than what he believed it to be at the beginning, an agreement to pay monthly for his house. I do not think he can be held to conditions which he never saw, and which he is really bound to the statutory right to determine his tenancy, which has not been given him. For that reason I dismiss this application."

"I am fully aware that in ordinary cases it is the duty of the person signing a document to make himself acquainted with its contents, and this is true whether he is literate or illiterate. In this case, however, I do not think the tenant should be bound. There was nothing in the document signed to indicate that it was a formal lease; nothing to put the ordinary man on his inquiry; that it was anything more than what he believed it to be at the beginning, an agreement to pay monthly for his house. I do not think he can be held to conditions which he never saw, and which he is really bound to the statutory right to determine his tenancy, which has not been given him. For that reason I dismiss this application."

"I am fully aware that in ordinary cases it is the duty of the person signing a document to make himself acquainted with its contents, and this is true whether he is literate or illiterate. In this case, however, I do not think the tenant should be bound. There was nothing in the document signed to indicate that it was a formal lease; nothing to put the ordinary man on his inquiry; that it was anything more than what he believed it to be at the beginning, an agreement to pay monthly for his house. I do not think he can be held to conditions which he never saw, and which he is really bound to the statutory right to determine his tenancy, which has not been given him. For that reason I dismiss this application."

"I am fully aware that in ordinary cases it is the duty of the person signing a document to make himself acquainted with its contents, and this is true whether he is literate or illiterate. In this case, however, I do not think the tenant should be bound. There was nothing in the document signed to indicate that it was a formal lease; nothing to put the ordinary man on his inquiry; that it was anything more than what he believed it to be at the beginning, an agreement to pay monthly for his house. I do not think he can be held to conditions which he never saw, and which he is really bound to the statutory right to determine his tenancy, which has not been given him. For that reason I dismiss this application."

"I am fully aware that in ordinary cases it is the duty of the person signing a document to make himself acquainted with its contents, and this is true whether he is literate or illiterate. In this case, however, I do not think the tenant should be bound. There was nothing in the document signed to indicate that it was a formal lease; nothing to put the ordinary man on his inquiry; that it was anything more than what he believed it to be at the beginning, an agreement to pay monthly for his house. I do not think he can be held to conditions which he never saw, and which he is really bound to the statutory right to determine his tenancy, which has not been given him. For that reason I dismiss this application."

"I am fully aware that in ordinary cases it is the duty of the person signing a document to make himself acquainted with its contents, and this is true whether he is literate or illiterate. In this case, however, I do not think the tenant should be bound. There was nothing in the document signed to indicate that it was a formal lease; nothing to put the ordinary man on his inquiry; that it was anything more than what he believed it to be at the beginning, an agreement to pay monthly for his house. I do not think he can be held to conditions which he never saw, and which he is really bound to the statutory right to determine his tenancy, which has not been given him. For that reason I dismiss this application."

"I am fully aware that in ordinary cases it is the duty of the person signing a document to make himself acquainted with its contents, and this is true whether he is literate or illiterate. In this case, however, I do not think the tenant should be bound. There was nothing in the document signed to indicate that it was a formal lease; nothing to put the ordinary man on his inquiry; that it was anything more than what he believed it to be at the beginning, an agreement to pay monthly for his house. I do not think he can be held to conditions which he never saw, and which he is really bound to the statutory right to determine his tenancy, which has not been given him. For that reason I dismiss this application."

"I am fully aware that in ordinary cases it is the duty of the person signing a document to make himself acquainted with its contents, and this is true whether he is literate or illiterate. In this case, however, I do not think the tenant should be bound. There was nothing in the document signed to indicate that it was a formal lease; nothing to put the ordinary man on his inquiry; that it was anything more than what he believed it to be at the beginning, an agreement to pay monthly for his house. I do not think he can be held to conditions which he never saw, and which he is really bound to the statutory right to determine his tenancy, which has not been given him. For that reason I dismiss this application."

"I am fully aware that in ordinary cases it is the duty of the person signing a document to make himself acquainted with its contents, and this is true whether he is literate or illiterate. In this case, however, I do not think the tenant should be bound. There was nothing in the document signed to indicate that it was a formal lease; nothing to put the ordinary man on his inquiry; that it was anything more than what he believed it to be at the beginning, an agreement to pay monthly for his house. I do not think he can be held to conditions which he never saw, and which he is really bound to the statutory right to determine his tenancy, which has not been given him. For that reason I dismiss this application."

"I am fully aware that in ordinary cases it is the duty of the person signing a document to make himself acquainted with its contents, and this is true whether he is literate or illiterate. In this case, however, I do not think the tenant should be bound. There was nothing in the document signed to indicate that it was a formal lease; nothing to put the ordinary man on his inquiry; that it was anything more than what he believed it to be at the beginning, an agreement to pay monthly for his house. I do not think he can be held to conditions which he never saw, and which he is really bound to the statutory right to determine his tenancy, which has not been given him. For that reason I dismiss this application."

"I am fully aware that in ordinary cases it is the duty of the person signing a document to make himself acquainted with its contents, and this is true whether he is literate or illiterate. In this case, however, I do not think the tenant should be bound. There was nothing in the document signed to indicate that it was a formal lease; nothing to put the ordinary man on his inquiry; that it was anything more than what he believed it to be at the beginning, an agreement to pay monthly for his house. I do not think he can be held to conditions which he never saw, and which he is really bound to the statutory right to determine his tenancy, which has not been given him. For that reason I dismiss this application."

"I am fully aware that in ordinary cases it is the duty of the person signing a document to make himself acquainted with its contents, and this is true whether he is literate or illiterate. In this case, however, I do not think the tenant should be bound. There was nothing in the document signed to indicate that it was a formal lease; nothing to put the ordinary man on his inquiry; that it was anything more than what he believed it to be at the beginning, an agreement to pay monthly for his house. I do not think he can be held to conditions which he never saw, and which he is really bound to the statutory right to determine his tenancy, which has not been given him. For that reason I dismiss this application."

"I am fully aware that in ordinary cases it is the duty of the person signing a document to make himself acquainted with its contents, and this is true whether he is literate or illiterate. In this case, however, I do not think the tenant should be bound. There was nothing in the document signed to indicate that it was a formal lease; nothing to put the ordinary man on his inquiry; that it was anything more than what he believed it to be at the beginning, an agreement to pay monthly for his house. I do not think he can be held to conditions which he never saw, and which he is really bound to the statutory right to determine his tenancy, which has not been given him. For that reason I dismiss this application."

"I am fully aware that in ordinary cases it is the duty of the person signing a document to make himself acquainted with its contents, and this is true whether he is literate or illiterate. In this case, however, I do not think the tenant should be bound. There was nothing in the document signed to indicate that it was a formal lease; nothing to put the ordinary man on his inquiry; that it was anything more than what he believed it to be at the beginning, an agreement to pay monthly for his house. I do not think he can be held to conditions which he never saw, and which he is really bound to the statutory right to determine his tenancy, which has not been given him. For that reason I dismiss this application."

"I am fully aware that in ordinary cases it is the duty of the person signing a document to make himself acquainted with its contents, and this is true whether he is literate or illiterate. In this case, however, I do not think the tenant should be bound. There was nothing in the document signed to indicate that it was a formal lease; nothing to put the ordinary man on his inquiry; that it was anything more than what he believed it to be at the beginning, an agreement to pay monthly for his house. I do not think he can be held to conditions which he never saw, and which he is really bound to the statutory right to determine his tenancy, which has not been given him. For that reason I dismiss this application."

"I am fully aware that in ordinary cases it is the duty of the person signing a document to make himself acquainted with its contents, and this is true whether he is literate or illiterate. In this case, however, I do not think the tenant should be bound. There was nothing in the document signed to indicate that it was a formal lease; nothing to put the ordinary man on his inquiry; that it was anything more than what he believed it to be at the beginning, an agreement to pay monthly for his house. I do not think he can be held to conditions which he never saw, and which he is really bound to the statutory right to determine his tenancy, which has not been given him. For that reason I dismiss this application."

"I am fully aware that in ordinary cases it is the duty of the person signing a document to make himself acquainted with its contents, and this is true whether he is literate or illiterate. In this case, however, I do not think the tenant should be bound. There was nothing in the document signed to indicate that it was a formal lease; nothing to put the ordinary man on his inquiry; that it was anything more than what he believed it to be at the beginning, an agreement to pay monthly for his house. I do not think he can be held to conditions which he never saw, and which he is really bound to the statutory right to determine his tenancy, which has not been given him. For that reason I dismiss this application."

"I am fully aware that in ordinary cases it is the duty of the person signing a document to make himself acquainted with its contents, and this is true whether he is literate or illiterate. In this case, however, I do not think the tenant should be bound. There was nothing in the document signed to indicate that it was a formal lease; nothing to put the ordinary man on his inquiry; that it was anything more than what he believed it to be at the beginning, an agreement to pay monthly for his house. I do not think he can be held to conditions which he never saw, and which he is really bound to the statutory right to determine his tenancy, which has not been given him. For that reason I dismiss this application."

"I am fully aware that in ordinary cases it is the duty of the person signing a document to make himself acquainted with its contents, and this is true whether he is literate or illiterate. In this case, however, I do not think the tenant should be bound. There was nothing in the document signed to indicate that it was a formal lease; nothing to put the ordinary man on his inquiry; that it was anything more than what he believed it to be at the beginning, an agreement to pay monthly for his house. I do not think he can be held to conditions which he never saw, and which he is really bound to the statutory right to determine his tenancy, which has not been given him. For that reason I dismiss this application."

"I am fully aware that in ordinary cases it is the duty of the person signing a document to make himself acquainted with its contents, and this is true whether he is literate or illiterate. In this case, however, I do not think the tenant should be bound. There was nothing in the document signed to indicate that it was a formal lease; nothing to put the ordinary man on his inquiry; that it was anything more than what he believed it to be at the beginning, an agreement to pay monthly for his house. I do not think he can be held to conditions which he never saw, and which he is really bound to the statutory right to determine his tenancy, which has not been given him. For that reason I dismiss this application."

"I am fully aware that in ordinary cases it is the duty of the person signing a document to make himself acquainted with its contents, and this is true whether he is literate or illiterate. In this case, however, I do not think the tenant should be bound. There was nothing in the document signed to indicate that it was a formal lease; nothing to put the ordinary man on his inquiry; that it was anything more than what he believed it to be at the beginning, an agreement to pay monthly for his house. I do not think he can be held to conditions which he never saw, and which he is really bound to the statutory right to determine his tenancy, which has not been given him. For that reason I dismiss this application."

"I am fully aware that in ordinary cases it is the duty of the person signing a document to make himself acquainted with its contents, and this is true whether he is literate or illiterate. In this case, however, I do not think the tenant should be bound. There was nothing in the document signed to indicate that it was a formal lease; nothing to put the ordinary man on his inquiry; that it was anything more than what he believed it to be at the beginning, an agreement to pay monthly for his house. I do not think he can be held to conditions which he never saw, and which he is really bound to the statutory right to determine his tenancy, which has not been given him. For that reason I dismiss this application."

"I am fully aware that in ordinary cases it is the duty of the person signing a document to make himself acquainted with its contents, and this is true whether he is literate or illiterate. In this case, however, I do not think the tenant should be bound. There was nothing in the document signed to indicate that it was a formal lease; nothing to put the ordinary man on his inquiry; that it was anything more than what he believed it to be at the beginning, an agreement to pay monthly for his house. I do not think he can be held to conditions which he never saw, and which he is really bound to the statutory right to determine his tenancy, which has not been given him. For that reason I dismiss this application."

Baby's Health

The best way to guard the little tot's health during the coming warm weather is to give him only wholesome food. Infant foods that have been long in any dealer's stock are apt to cause trouble. Such foods are quite sure to have undergone a change that makes them unfit for any baby's delicate stomach. Baby foods must be fresh, and we handle our stock in such a way that only fresh foods can ever be found here.

Strong's Drug Store

184 DUNDAS ST., London, Ont.
STRONG'S CARMINATIVE will keep the baby's food natural, mild medicine which regulates the system—prevents indigestion—restlessness—and the disorders of the feeding period. Price, 25 cents.

MAHON NOT GOING

Chicago Street Railwaymen To Settle Troubles Themselves.

Chicago, Aug. 6.—Late last night it was announced that President W. D. Mahon of the Amalgamated Association of Street and Electric Railway Employees, who were expected here today to attend a conference between the state board of arbitration and representatives of the street railway companies and the unions, would not come until the result of the strike vote announced tomorrow. The local officials, it developed, are inclined to think that they can handle the situation without any aid from the international officials.

The ballots will be counted tomorrow morning and conference of the union will be held to consider the next step in the negotiation. If the laws of the international association are carried out the result of the vote must be communicated to the general office in Detroit and the consent of the president and the international executive board be received before any strike can be ordered.

CANADA'S CREDIT HIGH

Should Not Be Affected by Recent Borrowings.