

STUDHOLME
AND HANNA.Labor Man Protests Against
Minister's Ruling.Amendment to Increase Business
Exemption Defeated.Men on Assessment Roll as
Owner of Wife's Property.

(Special Wire to the Times.)

Toronto, Ont., Nov. 25.—Mr. Allan Studholme entered a characteristically vigorous protest against one of Hon. W. J. Hanna's rulings in the Assessment Committee at the Parliament Buildings this morning. Mr. W. M. Southam and Julian Sale were on hand with an amendment to the Assessment Act, which was practically that argued at length by Mr. William Douglass two



ALLAN STUDHOLME.

days ago. It advocated practically the principles of single tax.

The committee with Mr. Hanna at its head was most unwilling to re-open the much vexed question.

"Have you any new arguments on the subject?" asked Mr. Hanna.

"I have made a long study of it," said Mr. Sale, "I shall be delighted to answer any questions."

"We have discussed this and it was closed," said the chairman.

"When?" demanded Mr. Studholme, getting to his feet.

"This question was never properly settled by this committee," retorted Mr. Studholme.

"Well, the question is settled."

"Then I protest against this method of procedure; that's all."

"Nonsense. Your objection is noted, however," said the chairman.

The amendment to increase the exemption in business assessments from \$100 to \$250 was lost. Other clauses relative to business taxation were thrown out as too radical. By a vote of 13 to 9 the committee decided to retain the present system of separate assessment for buildings and real property.

A proposal to strike out the subsection which allows a husband's name to appear on the roll as owner of property which is really his wife's, was thrown out.

W. C. Chisholm said that it frequently happened that a wife would object to having her husband's name on the roll.

"Some women in this city would object to anything," said D. Reed, and got a general laugh.

"Why should any man use his wife's vote?" asked Mr. Studholme.

COAL UP.

St. Catharines Dealer Raises Price
of Chestnut.

(Special Wire to the Times.)

St. Catharines, Ont., Nov. 25.—Local coal merchants have given out a statement to-day that because of difficulty of getting an adequate supply of chestnut coal from the mines, they have found it necessary to raise the price here from \$6.75 to \$7 per ton, but at the same time have readjusted the price of pea coal by reducing it to \$5.25 from \$5.50, beginning to-day. St. Catharines is said to use a larger proportion of chestnut coal according to its size than any other city in the Province.

STRUCK BY TRAIN.

Milk Wagon, Horse and Driver
Carried a Block.

(Special Wire to the Times.)

London, Ont., Nov. 25.—Another level crossing accident occurred here this morning, when a C.P.R. express engine, running light, struck a milk wagon and carried horse, wagon, and Fred Guest, driver, nearly a block. Guest was badly cut and bruised when taken from the battered wagon, but will recover. The horse died by the tracks as soon as released.

SCHOONER WRECKED.

Quebec, Nov. 25.—News has been received here from Bic that the schooner J. Levesque, Captain Arthur St. Pierre, was wrecked on the west end of St. Barnabé Island at 5 o'clock this morning. The crew was saved. The schooner was crossing from Bersimis to winter quarters at Bic.

THAT'S WHAT THEY GIVE.

Give the world the best you have. And the best will come back to you. It is a good old song and true; truer to-day than ever it was, and it is the principle on which Carroll's stores are run. They give the best goods, the best prices and the best values, and yet in return the best trade of this good city. See their regular weekly advertisement.

Be "From Missouri."

Let us show you—don't be uneasy who stands the loss. That falls on certain Montreal houses. Such quantities and prices are quickly acquired. \$18 suits and overcoats \$12.99; \$15 suits and overcoats \$9.99.—Fralich & Co., 13 and 15 James street north.

TEA TABLE GOSSIP.

—The liners are on page 6 to-day.

—The Fire Department has just purchased a Curtis fire stream gauge, which can be applied to a hose and the amount of water thrown on to a fire gauged.

—Emerald Street Young Men's Union will hold a debate to-night, the subject being, "Resolved, that Hamilton should take power from the Hydro-Electric Commission."

—The Men's Club of Christ's Church Cathedral meets to-night at 8, S. F. Washington, K.C., will speak on "Reminiscences of the Bar." There will be a musical programme.

—Misses Carrie and Annie MacDonald, Pearl street, were among those from this city who contributed to the programme at the Plains West Methodist Church entertainment last evening.

—In the Beamsville suit of Crain vs. Bell, E. C. Cattanauch, for defendant, moved to change venue from Hamilton to St. Catharines. S. F. Washington, K. C., for plaintiff, contra. Reserved.

—Anniversary services will be held in the Plains East Methodist Church on Sunday next. Rev. J. W. Smith, B. A., President of the Hamilton Conference, will preach at 2.30 p. m. and Rev. D. A. Walker, of Watford, at 7 o'clock p. m.

—This is missionary week in Gospel Tabernacle. Park and Merrick streets. Last night Rev. Mr. Steven, of the China Inland Mission, gave a fine illustrated address, which all enjoyed. To-night Rev. Mr. Gingham, of Toronto, home secretary, will be the speaker.

—All Saints' Church is rapidly nearing completion, and it is expected that the New Year's services will be held in the church. This morning the roof was completed. Now that the building is so near completion its fine proportions can be readily seen.

—Bishop DuMoulin will preside at the meeting to-night in Association Hall under the auspices of the Anglican Laymen's Missionary movement. R. W. Allen, travelling secretary of the movement, will speak on "Western Canada," and Dr. White, bishop-elect of China, will also give an address.

—The committee with Mr. Hanna at its head was most unwilling to re-open the much vexed question.

"Have you any new arguments on the subject?" asked Mr. Hanna.

"I have made a long study of it," said Mr. Sale, "I shall be delighted to answer any questions."

"We have discussed this and it was closed," said the chairman.

"When?" demanded Mr. Studholme, getting to his feet.

"This question was never properly settled by this committee," retorted Mr. Studholme.

"Well, the question is settled."

"Then I protest against this method of procedure; that's all."

"Nonsense. Your objection is noted, however," said the chairman.

The amendment to increase the exemption in business assessments from \$100 to \$250 was lost. Other clauses relative to business taxation were thrown out as too radical. By a vote of 13 to 9 the committee decided to retain the present system of separate assessment for buildings and real property.

A proposal to strike out the subsection which allows a husband's name to appear on the roll as owner of property which is really his wife's, was thrown out.

W. C. Chisholm said that it frequently happened that a wife would object to having her husband's name on the roll.

"Some women in this city would object to anything," said D. Reed, and got a general laugh.

"Why should any man use his wife's vote?" asked Mr. Studholme.

"When?" demanded Mr. Studholme, getting to his feet.

"This question was never properly settled by this committee," retorted Mr. Studholme.

"Well, the question is settled."

"Then I protest against this method of procedure; that's all."

"Nonsense. Your objection is noted, however," said the chairman.

The amendment to increase the exemption in business assessments from \$100 to \$250 was lost. Other clauses relative to business taxation were thrown out as too radical. By a vote of 13 to 9 the committee decided to retain the present system of separate assessment for buildings and real property.

A proposal to strike out the subsection which allows a husband's name to appear on the roll as owner of property which is really his wife's, was thrown out.

W. C. Chisholm said that it frequently happened that a wife would object to having her husband's name on the roll.

"Some women in this city would object to anything," said D. Reed, and got a general laugh.

"Why should any man use his wife's vote?" asked Mr. Studholme.

"When?" demanded Mr. Studholme, getting to his feet.

"This question was never properly settled by this committee," retorted Mr. Studholme.

"Well, the question is settled."

"Then I protest against this method of procedure; that's all."

"Nonsense. Your objection is noted, however," said the chairman.

The amendment to increase the exemption in business assessments from \$100 to \$250 was lost. Other clauses relative to business taxation were thrown out as too radical. By a vote of 13 to 9 the committee decided to retain the present system of separate assessment for buildings and real property.

A proposal to strike out the subsection which allows a husband's name to appear on the roll as owner of property which is really his wife's, was thrown out.

W. C. Chisholm said that it frequently happened that a wife would object to having her husband's name on the roll.

"Some women in this city would object to anything," said D. Reed, and got a general laugh.

"Why should any man use his wife's vote?" asked Mr. Studholme.

"When?" demanded Mr. Studholme, getting to his feet.

"This question was never properly settled by this committee," retorted Mr. Studholme.

"Well, the question is settled."

"Then I protest against this method of procedure; that's all."

"Nonsense. Your objection is noted, however," said the chairman.

The amendment to increase the exemption in business assessments from \$100 to \$250 was lost. Other clauses relative to business taxation were thrown out as too radical. By a vote of 13 to 9 the committee decided to retain the present system of separate assessment for buildings and real property.

A proposal to strike out the subsection which allows a husband's name to appear on the roll as owner of property which is really his wife's, was thrown out.

W. C. Chisholm said that it frequently happened that a wife would object to having her husband's name on the roll.

"Some women in this city would object to anything," said D. Reed, and got a general laugh.

"Why should any man use his wife's vote?" asked Mr. Studholme.

"When?" demanded Mr. Studholme, getting to his feet.

"This question was never properly settled by this committee," retorted Mr. Studholme.

"Well, the question is settled."

"Then I protest against this method of procedure; that's all."

"Nonsense. Your objection is noted, however," said the chairman.

The amendment to increase the exemption in business assessments from \$100 to \$250 was lost. Other clauses relative to business taxation were thrown out as too radical. By a vote of 13 to 9 the committee decided to retain the present system of separate assessment for buildings and real property.

A proposal to strike out the subsection which allows a husband's name to appear on the roll as owner of property which is really his wife's, was thrown out.

W. C. Chisholm said that it frequently happened that a wife would object to having her husband's name on the roll.

"Some women in this city would object to anything," said D. Reed, and got a general laugh.

"Why should any man use his wife's vote?" asked Mr. Studholme.

"When?" demanded Mr. Studholme, getting to his feet.

"This question was never properly settled by this committee," retorted Mr. Studholme.

"Well, the question is settled."

"Then I protest against this method of procedure; that's all."

"Nonsense. Your objection is noted, however," said the chairman.

The amendment to increase the exemption in business assessments from \$100 to \$250 was lost. Other clauses relative to business taxation were thrown out as too radical. By a vote of 13 to 9 the committee decided to retain the present system of separate assessment for buildings and real property.

A proposal to strike out the subsection which allows a husband's name to appear on the roll as owner of property which is really his wife's, was thrown out.

W. C. Chisholm said that it frequently happened that a wife would object to having her husband's name on the roll.

HOOKWORM
DIVORCE

San Francisco, Nov. 25.—Judge

Graham has divorced Anita Coover from David R. Coover. The

hookworm was the cause. "My husband was dull, stupid, lazy,

laund, slow," said Mrs. Coover. "He must have been a victim of the hookworm," said the court.

Mrs. Coover expressed some doubt as to this diagnosis, but Judge Graham stuck to his opinion, and granted the decree.

DAVID BURN'S
MILLIONS.Letters of Contradictory Nature
Received in Toronto.

Toronto Telegram: Mr. David Burn

—who was David Kidd—with Mrs. Kidd and their son, have left Toronto

for Australia, where they have gone to claim some \$1,400,000, as their friends say.

"I understand that some one has made an advance of \$2,000, or \$3,000 to Mr. Burn, some friend of his here in the city," said a man this morning, who thinks that David Burn is going to be a millionaire.

David Kidd is the lame evangelist and tea merchant who announced a year ago that an Australian millionaire, W. G. Burn, had left him a huge sum of money with which to endow a home for unfortunate women here, in return for the Kidds having rescued Lucy Burn from a downward path in Chicago. Last July a letter was written from here to the chief of police of Melbourne, Australia, in an effort to ascertain how much was known there of this W. G. Burn. A reply was received to-day in which it was said that no millionaire of that name had died in Melbourne or in the state, and containing the information also that a street address given as that of the Burn's was incorrect.

The perfectly satisfied as to the genuineness of the legacy left to David Kidd," said a gentleman to-day. "I have seen a letter from the Attorney-General of Australia, in which he says that the estate is valued at some \$8,000,000."

David Kidd changed his name to David Burn. The gentleman above quoted said that Burn would receive in all for his own personal use about \$1,400,000, with \$250,000 to build the memorial spoken of.

The Burn's was incorrect.

The perfectly satisfied as to the genuineness of the legacy left to David Kidd," said a gentleman to-day. "I have seen a letter from the Attorney-General of Australia, in which he says that the estate is valued at some \$8,000,000."

David Kidd changed his name to David Burn. The gentleman above quoted said that Burn would receive in all for his own personal use about \$1,400,000, with \$250,000 to build the memorial spoken of.

The Burn's was incorrect.

The perfectly satisfied as to the genuineness of the legacy left to David Kidd," said a gentleman to-day. "I have seen a letter from the Attorney-General of Australia, in which he says that the estate is valued at some \$8,000,000."

David Kidd changed his name to David Burn. The gentleman above quoted said that Burn would receive in all for his own personal use about \$1,400,000, with \$250,000 to build the memorial spoken of.

The Burn's was incorrect.

The perfectly satisfied as to the genuineness of the legacy left to David Kidd," said a gentleman to-day. "I have seen a letter from the Attorney-General of Australia, in which he says that the estate is valued at some \$8,000,000."

David Kidd changed his name to David Burn. The gentleman above quoted said that Burn would receive in all for his own personal use about \$1,400,000, with \$250,000 to build the memorial spoken of.

The Burn's was incorrect.

The perfectly satisfied as to the genuineness of the legacy left to David Kidd," said a gentleman to-day. "I have seen a letter from the Attorney-General of Australia, in which he says that the estate is valued at some \$8,000,000."

David Kidd changed his name to David Burn. The gentleman above quoted said that Burn would receive in all for his own personal use about \$1,400,000, with \$250,000 to build the memorial spoken of.

The Burn's was incorrect.

The perfectly satisfied as to the genuineness of the legacy left to David Kidd," said a gentleman to-day. "I have seen a letter from the Attorney-General of Australia, in which he says that the estate is valued at some \$8,000,000."

David Kidd changed his name to David Burn. The gentleman above quoted said that Burn would receive in all for his own personal use about \$1,400,000, with \$250,000 to build the memorial spoken of.

The Burn's was incorrect.

The perfectly satisfied as to the genuineness of the legacy left to David Kidd," said a gentleman to-day. "I have seen a letter from the Attorney-General of Australia, in which he says that the estate is valued at some \$8,000,000."

David Kidd changed his name to David Burn. The gentleman above quoted said that Burn would receive in all for his own personal use about \$1,400,000, with \$250,000 to build the memorial spoken of.

The Burn's was incorrect.

The perfectly satisfied as to the genuineness of the legacy left to David Kidd," said a gentleman to-day. "I have seen a letter from the Attorney-General of Australia, in which he says that the estate is valued at some \$8,000,000."

David Kidd changed his name to David Burn. The gentleman above quoted said that Burn would receive in all for his own personal use about \$1,400,000, with \$250,000 to build the memorial spoken of.

The Burn's was incorrect.

The perfectly satisfied as to the genuineness of the legacy left to David Kidd," said a gentleman to-day. "I have seen a letter from the Attorney-General of Australia, in which he says that the estate is valued at some \$8,000,000."

David Kidd changed his name to David Burn. The gentleman above quoted said that Burn would receive in all for his own personal use about \$1,400,000, with \$250,000 to build the memorial spoken of.

The Burn's was incorrect.

The perfectly satisfied as to the genuineness of the legacy left to David Kidd," said a gentleman to-day. "I have seen a letter from the Attorney-General of Australia, in which he says that the estate is valued at some \$8,000,000."

David Kidd changed his name to David Burn. The gentleman above quoted said that Burn would receive in all for his own personal use about \$1,400,000, with \$250,000 to build the memorial spoken of.

The Burn's was incorrect.

The perfectly satisfied as to the genuineness of the legacy left to David Kidd," said a gentleman to-day. "I have seen a letter from the Attorney-General of Australia, in which he says that the estate is valued at some \$8,000,000."

David Kidd changed his name to David Burn. The gentleman above quoted said that Burn would receive in all for his own personal use about \$1,400,000, with \$250,000 to build the memorial spoken of.

The Burn's was incorrect.

The perfectly satisfied as to the genuineness of the legacy left to David Kidd," said a gentleman to-day. "I have seen a letter from the Attorney-General of Australia, in which he says that the estate is valued at some \$8,000,000."

David Kidd changed his name to David Burn. The gentleman above quoted said that Burn would receive in all for his own personal use about \$1,400,000, with \$250,000 to build the memorial spoken of.

The Burn's was incorrect.

The perfectly satisfied as to the genuineness of the legacy left to David Kidd," said a gentleman to-day. "I have seen a letter from the Attorney-General of Australia, in which he says that the estate is valued at some \$8,000,000."

David Kidd changed his name to David Burn. The gentleman above quoted said that Burn would receive in all for his own personal use about \$1,400,000, with \$250,000 to build the memorial spoken of.

The Burn's was incorrect.

The perfectly satisfied as to the genuineness of the legacy left to David Kidd," said a gentleman to-day. "I have seen a letter from the Attorney-General of Australia, in which he says that the estate is valued at some \$8,000,000."

David Kidd changed his name to David Burn. The gentleman above quoted said that Burn would receive in all for his own personal use about \$1,400,000, with \$250,000 to build the memorial spoken of.

The Burn's was incorrect.

The perfectly satisfied as to the genuineness of the legacy left to David Kidd," said a gentleman to-day. "I have seen a letter from the Attorney-General of Australia, in which he says that the estate is valued at some \$8,000,000."

David Kidd changed his name to David Burn. The gentleman above quoted said that Burn would receive in all for his own personal use about \$1,400,000, with \$250,000 to build the memorial spoken of.

The Burn's was incorrect.

The perfectly satisfied as to the genuineness of the legacy left to David Kidd," said a gentleman to-day. "I have seen a letter from the Attorney-General of Australia, in which he says that the estate is valued at some \$8,000,000."

David Kidd changed his name to David Burn. The gentleman above quoted said that Burn would receive in all for his own personal use about \$1,400,000, with \$250,000 to build the memorial spoken of.

The Burn's was incorrect.

The perfectly satisfied as to the genuineness of the legacy left to David Kidd," said a gentleman to-day. "I have seen a letter from the Attorney-General of Australia, in which he says that the estate is valued at some \$8,000,000."

David Kidd changed his name to David Burn. The gentleman above quoted said that Burn would receive in all for his own personal use about \$1,400,000, with \$250,000 to build the memorial spoken of.

The Burn's was incorrect.

The perfectly satisfied as to the genuineness of the legacy left to David Kidd," said a gentleman to-day. "I have seen a letter from the Attorney-General of Australia, in which he says that the estate is valued at some \$8,000,000."

David Kidd changed his name to David Burn. The gentleman above quoted said that Burn would receive in all for his own personal use about \$1,400,000, with \$250,000 to build the memorial spoken of.

The Burn's was incorrect.

The perfectly satisfied as to the genuineness of the legacy left to David Kidd," said a gentleman to-day. "I have seen a letter from the Attorney-General of Australia, in which he says that the estate is valued at some \$8,000,000."

David Kidd changed his name to David Burn. The gentleman above quoted said that Burn would receive in all for his own personal use about \$1,400,000, with \$250,000 to build the memorial spoken of.

The Burn's was incorrect.

The perfectly satisfied as to the genuineness of the legacy left to David Kidd," said a gentleman to-day. "I have seen a letter from the Attorney-General of Australia, in which he says that the estate is valued at some \$8,000,000."

David Kidd changed his name to David Burn. The gentleman above quoted said that Burn would receive in all for his own personal use about \$1,400,000, with \$250,000 to build the memorial spoken of.

The Burn's was incorrect.

The perfectly satisfied as to the genuineness of the legacy left to David Kidd," said a gentleman to-day. "I have seen a letter from the Attorney-General of Australia, in which he says that the estate is valued at some \$8,000,000."

David Kidd changed his name to David Burn. The gentleman above quoted said that Burn would receive in all for his own personal use about \$1,400,000, with \$250,000 to build the memorial spoken of.

The Burn's was incorrect.

The perfectly satisfied as to the genuineness of the legacy left to David Kidd," said a gentleman to-day. "I have seen a letter from the Attorney-General of Australia, in which he says that the estate is valued at some \$8,000,000."

Christmas
Illustrated
Papers