

to losing address by the prosecuting officer

although the Defender has succeeded in
confusing the main points of the charges
against the accused. I would ask
the Court to consider carefully the
facts brought out by the witnesses
for the prosecution.

They have shown that Apr. Haydaway
did refuse to take the D.R. & S. man
when ordered to do so and that ^{he} stated
^{himself} he did not attempt to return his
bike to the M.T. Section, ^{for repairs} after he
returned from the morning, ^{when}
states he had trouble. He also stated
that he knew he was scheduled for anything more than
the carriages was shown that.

the accused was removed from his quarters while under open arrest and that he ~~was~~ ^{has} returned to barracks, although there have not been definite statements as to his ~~condition~~ ^{conduct} at the time of return.

The witnesses have shown how to be
absent from approximately 0900 hrs.

while under close arrest, and that

He was definitely apprehended outside
of barracks. The condition of persons under arrest
~~is not~~ is, as I think, the least well known
the prosecution not its case and
ask the court to consider carefully the
evidence given.