Friday October 25, 1957

THE BRUNSWICKAN

Page Three

THE BRUNSWICKAN .	201
TUNSWICKAIN	
Established 1867 Semi-weekly Journal of the University of New Brunn Member of the Canadian University Press Office: Memorial Student Centre, U.N.B. Campus. Ph Subscription \$2.50 per year Opinions expressed not necessarily those of the Stud Representative Council Honorary Editor in Chief: Rt. Hon. Lord Beaverbro Faculty Advisor: Albert Tunis	one 8424 ents'
Editor-in-chief	MacPHEE ORBIERRE

1200 Students Cheated

Do you realize that you paid \$16 to this university to support Student Activities?

We thought not.

Do you honestly feel that you are getting your money's worth for that \$16?

We hope not. Because your're not.

By this we do not imply that you are being cheated by anyone. Far from it. It is simply that you are cheating yourselves.

Here, let us illustrate.

Suppose that there are 1400 students here; which there are. Suppose further that there are 35 organizations to which these 1400 can belong. (That we checked too.) This would certainly appear to be an ample number of "clubs" for a campus this size.

The bind is, how many of you belong to how many of these organizations.

Not very d-m many. At best we would estimate 150-200. So we now have a situation, wherein 1400 students pay 200 of

their number for the privilege of enjoying activities open to all. Not a very pretty picture is it?

It is perfectly true that a number of these groups can not operate with great numbers of members. Still more are open to a selected few. (The Arts Union, whose total membership out of 450 odd, is only 11, according to last week's meeting; The Engineering society which could command the percentage of the registration, but which does not.)

The remaining organizations, a larger percentage are open to all. No where near "all" support them. We wonder why.



By Theodore Goodridge Roberts Written especially for the Brunswickan

A Wind came to me crying, "On that far river that you love and know The silver shallows chatter in the sun, The slim white paddles dip, the red barks go Silent as a dream; and day is just begun With lifting mist along the meadows brim

The snipe are running in the dewy fern;



BRINGING HIS WARES TO CANADA

One of the many statements which was made at the Recent NFCUS conferance was that Canadian educational institutions paid too much attention to scientific and technological training and too

ISAAC BICKERSTAFF

little to the liberal Arts. Something of this nature was said: "Let us train more liberal Arts people for they will be the

ones who will lead the country." A brave statement. And an empty one. To win this fight for world supremecy, if indeed it is to be a fight, something more than "liberal arts" will have to grace our universities. Our protaganists the strongest links in this chain in the battle for world control have concentrated on turning out is the Royal Family. Destroy scientists and technicians. Their skill was exhibited a few weeks that Family, and the commonago when "spudnick" joined terrestial bodies.

It is quite clear that this latest display of scientific powers on the part of the Russians has had a profound effect on the rest of the world. If we do not concentrate a little more of our effort in that direction ourselves, we again may be taken by surprise.

It is estimated that the Soviet Union turns out ten times as many scientists as the United States. This figure will not be reduced been gaining support lately from unless we do something to improve scientific training at universities. (Continued on page 4)



The Queen is back in Great Britain after a short and hectic visit to North America. Now that the party's over for another few years, and the hundred's of radio, T.V. and newspaper reporters have left their brief royal assignment for more prosaic work, we begin to detect murmurings of anti-royalism all over the country.

This type of thing is not new. Each royal visit brings to the surface this undercurrent of royal dissention.

The question is, is this criticism valid.

There are those who believe that Royality is as out-fashioned as the Pierce-Arrow. If by "outfashioned" these critics mean something which has been around long enough to have passed its first birthday, then we agree. On the other hand, if they mean that royalty has outlived its usefulness, then we cannot agree. As long as there are large numbers of people to whom the Royal Family is a symbol of National Unity then it definitely serves a useful purpose. If Canadians are proud of their British heritage (and we believe they are) then they are proud of the supreme symbol of that heritage, the Royal Family. Though bandied about toc much by enthusiastic statesmen, the concept of the commonwealth is a valuable one. Held together by nothing more than common sentiment and allegience to one queen, this group of nations presents an unbroken line to any protaganists. One of

Finally there are those to whom the Royal Family is a sign of British domination. This quaint idea, arising for the most part in the Province of Quebec, has

