crime of crossing the boundary line which they have drawn between a Church and no Church.

Now we fully admit that Korah and his company were dissenters, and that the earth opened her mouth and swallowed them up; and moreover, that this is a fearful warning to all dissenters; and if it serve the purpose of the Bishop of Toronto and all other Prelates in the British dominions, we shall even go so far as to say that it is of the Lord's mercy, and of His mercy only, that an earth-quake or some other terrible judgment of the living God, is not sent to avenge the heinous crime of dissent.

But who, let us ask, is the dissenter? Is he the Presbyterian, or is he the Congregationalist, or is he the Wesleyan, or is he the Baptist? We say no! He is neither one, nor all of them together. The dissenter is the Pusevite. For proof of this position we invite attention to the fact, that the sin of Korah, Dathan and Abiram consisted in their attempting to do what the priests, the family of Aaron alone, could do, and were officially authorized by God to do. This was the nature and extent of their guilt. Now this High Priesthood was a type of Christ, and not a type of the Archbishops or Bishops of modern times. This even Dr. Pusey would admit. If then, the High Priest was a type of Christ, and the dissenter a man who dared to do what He only could and had s right to do, the dissenter now in the present day is surely the man who pretends that he can do, or who attempts to do, that which Christ alone has the power and prerogative to do. Now the Pusevite says that HE can regenerate by the rite of baptism and justify by the administration of the Eucharist; but it is Christ, the High Priest, who alone, according to Holy Scripture, can justify by his blood and regenerate by his Spirit. Now the man who dares usurp the place or functions of Christ, as the Priest of His Church, is unquestionably the New Testament dissenter—but we find Puseyites and Popish Priests pretending to do these spir ritual acts; therefore, by the shewing of their own illustration, the Pusevites are the real dissenters from the Church in the Christian dispensation—they usurp the place and assume the office of the Great High Priest of our profession. hence infer that our Pusevite friends are as far astray in their logic as they are in their theology. In both points of view they have erred in affixing the sin of Korah, among others, upon the good sturdy followers of John Knox in North Britain or elsewhere. A little attention to the academic prelections of Aristotilian Oxford would surely have removed the mist of misconception from the minds of those visionaries, and led them to see that the case of Korah was most unfortunate one for their purpose.

We think we have thus shewn that, in applying the judgment of "Core" to the "community of Presbyterians," our Tractarian and high church friends are somewhat wide of the mark. If like answers to like, the Puseyite and not the Presbyterian is the dissenter; and we defy the most learned Biblical scholar, either by his criticism or his logic, to make the case of "Core" to prove any thing else than this. The error into which many Episcopalians have fallen, in the use of this case for their own purpose, lies in the fact that they assume the very thing which they ought to prove, and which they never prove, namely, that Episcopacy is the only true and infallible Church. Assuming this, the straightway regard the Hebrew Church to be a type of their own Church, rather a bold assumption, and instead of regarding Aaron as exclusively a type of Christ they in effect make him a type of their Archbishop or Primate, just as the Romanist makes him a type of the Pope. It would thus appear that the Papist and the Pusevite cordially agree in their views of the representative character of Aaron and his sons, both forgetting that they only represented Christ, the High Priest of our profession, and that to infringe upon the sacerdotal office of Chrish either in the matter of confession, absolution, justification or regeneration, is, is