

Order Paper Questions

2.

Average Bi-Weekly Remuneration Paid to Sub Post Office Operators—1974 to Date

Quarterly Period	Alberta	British Columbia and Yukon	Manitoba	New Brunswick	Newfoundland	Nova Scotia	Ontario	Prince Edward Island	Québec	Saskatchewan
1974	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
(a) January, February, March	149.90	162.24	170.24	120.91	97.85	142.73	157.41	107.38	132.94	143.20
(b) April, May, June	156.43	164.38	178.67	151.39	78.67	134.39	162.53	119.63	135.98	146.70
(c) July, August, September	171.98	178.34	190.49	142.86	95.60	141.49	170.17	121.93	139.98	151.40
(d) October, November, December	136.66	148.64	182.46	130.40	82.26	139.41	144.20	84.20	121.91	128.62
1975										
(a) January, February, March	145.23	157.83	161.55	125.26	65.15	129.27	145.42	91.63	126.76	134.12
(b) April, May, June	201.49	219.35	219.46	160.09	97.88	168.35	195.51	140.32	149.94	178.58
(c) July, August, September	151.08	157.02	173.68	129.23	73.40	136.08	145.90	94.74	128.85	141.70
(d) October, November, December	151.27	161.56	173.23	114.23	69.05	131.76	148.67	87.48	119.13	139.84
1976										
(a) January, February, March	156.07	166.17	168.34	127.90	69.22	134.69	148.57	102.25	126.32	138.32
*(b) April, May, June	134.04	153.79	159.05	106.22	59.00	119.97	142.75	102.39	95.01	133.37
(c) July, August, September	165.35	176.31	182.43	130.45	73.18	147.42	158.30	101.95	138.41	154.12
(d) October, November, December	162.91	173.75	174.99	168.86	71.26	139.59	154.56	103.99	134.07	147.59
1977										
(a) January, February, March	174.46	175.24	182.39	165.61	63.61	153.24	158.11	116.07	138.21	150.43
(b) April, May, June	230.69	240.69	254.22	222.84	129.14	196.31	212.29	152.97	159.73	204.10
(c) July, August*	207.94	203.64	217.57	189.40	103.71	183.87	188.04	113.79	172.75	181.25

*The substantial decrease in payments made in the quarter April, May and June of 1976 was due to the Post Office Work Disruption that took place in October through December 1975.

*Average Bi-Weekly Payments During the Partial Quarter July-August.

3. Sub postmasters remunerations are now adjusted on a more timely basis to reflect the volume of sales for a given quarter. As such sub postmasters do not have to wait for a complete year of operation to be remunerated in accordance with their sales.

HANDBOOK FOR THE EVALUATION OF PROJECTS

Question No. 495—Mr. Herbert:

1. Has the Treasury Board developed a handbook of the approach and methods for the evaluation of projects to be used by departments?
2. What reporting procedures are required when projects have been evaluated?

Hon. Robert K. Andras (President of the Treasury Board):

1. The Treasury Board has not developed a handbook solely dedicated to the approach and methods to be used by departments for the evaluation of projects. Projects are extremely diverse in nature ranging from major unique construction projects to repetitive project activities of a social or scientific research nature that are evaluated utilizing specific techniques. A considerable and rapidly expanding volume of relevant literature exists relating to evaluative techniques and methodology. The need to apply these techniques where appropriate is stressed in the Treasury Boards' policies on program performance measurement and program evaluation. Specific aspects of the evaluation of projects are referenced in such documents as the Treasury Board's Benefit Cost Guide.

2. Widely diverse procedures pertain to the appropriate reporting of project evaluations depending upon the scope, nature, magnitude and significance of individual projects within departments and agencies. Departmental project

[Mr. Blais.]

evaluation procedures may be categorized in three broad areas: (a) prospective evaluation in support of project selection; (b) evaluation during project implementation, and (c) post evaluation of completed project results and benefits.

ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE OF SENIOR MANAGEMENT PERSONNEL

Question No. 496—Mr. Herbert:

1. Were changes necessary in the organizational structure of senior management personnel in departments affected by decentralization and, if so, what were they?
2. Has decentralization necessitated changes in the reporting lines and the responsibilities of such personnel and, if so, for what reason?

Hon. Robert K. Andras (President of the Treasury Board):

1. No.
2. No.

INCOME TAX DEDUCTIONS

Question No. 499—Mr. Laprise:

1. In (a) 1975 (b) 1976, did taxpayers claim exemption on income consisting of interest and, if so (i) how many (ii) what was the total amount claimed?
2. During the same years, did taxpayers claim exemptions for nursery costs and, if so (a) how many (b) what was the total amount claimed?
3. During the same years, did taxpayers claim exemptions for participation in a registered retirement savings plan through (a) employment (b) self-employment and, if so (i) how many (ii) what was the total amount claimed?

Hon. Joseph-Philippe Guay (Minister of National Revenue): 1. (a) Filers claiming interest and dividend income deduction in 1975: (i) 4,922,399; (ii) \$2,243,086,000. (b) (i)